# **Supplementary Information**

## SI TEXT S1: Spinup Simulation (PI\_1750)

The spin up simulation PI\_1750 consists of two stages: first is a 5000-year prescribed  $CO_2$  simulation with an atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration of 280ppm and agricultural land corresponding to the year 1750. This is followed in

5 the 2<sup>nd</sup> stage by a 2500-year zero emissions simulation with the same values for the agricultural land as in the first stage. The steady state values of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and surface air temperature (SAT) averaged over the last 30 years of the spin up simulation are 280.8 ppm and 13.2°C, respectively. The evolution of key climate and carbon cycle variables in PI\_1750 are shown in Figure S2. Figure S2c and d shows the evolution of global mean precipitation and evaporation in PI\_1750. The steady state value of global mean precipitation and evaporation is 1.05m

10  $yr^{-1}$ . The top of atmosphere net radiation and carbon fluxes approach zero at the end of PI\_1750 (Fig. S2e, f).

#### SI TEXT S2: Historical Simulation (HIST\_1750\_2005)

The historical simulation starts from 1750 and ends in 2005 by prescribing fossil fuel emissions (Hoesly et al., 2018), agricultural land (cropland and pastureland) (Chini et al., 2014) and volcanic forcing (Crowley, 2000). The evolution of atmospheric  $CO_2$  and SAT in our historical simulations is compared with the observations in Figure S3. The atmospheric  $CO_2$  and SAT values averaged over the last 30 years of HIST\_1750\_2005 are 349.1ppm and 13.5°C, respectively. In our simulations, the UVic model simulation underestimates atmospheric  $CO_2$  and global mean SAT

by 5ppm and  $0.2^{\circ}$ C, respectively. The evolution of other important parameters in HIST\_1750\_2005 are shown in Figure S4. The precipitation and evaporation are approximately the same as the preindustrial values of 1.05 m yr<sup>-1</sup>

20 (Figure S4e, f). The abrupt decreases in the top of the atmosphere (TOA) net radiation in the historical simulation (Figure S4e) corresponds to volcanic forcing. The sea ice area extent decreases from 2.23×10<sup>13</sup>m<sup>2</sup> in the spinup simulation (averaged over the last 30 years of PI\_1750) to 2.18×10<sup>13</sup>m<sup>2</sup> during the historical simulation (averaged over the last 30 (1976-2005) years of the HIST\_1750\_2005 simulation).

25

15

### SI TEXT S3: VEGBURN

30

In the UVic model, when the agricultural land is specified, the natural vegetation is removed to satisfy the specified agricultural land fraction. A part of the carbon from the removal of natural vegetation goes into the atmosphere and the rest goes into the soil depending on a variable called burn fraction (BF). If BF is 1, the entire carbon from removal of natural vegetation goes into the atmosphere. In our simulations BF is set to 0.5. Thus, half of the carbon from removal of natural vegetation goes into atmosphere and rest goes into the soil.

In the dynamic vegetation model, the trees and shrubs can grow in the prescribed agricultural land, and this regrowth of trees and shrubs into the agricultural land is continually removed to maintain the specified agricultural

land fraction. VEGBURN indicates the amount of carbon that is released into the atmosphere either from the removal

35 of natural vegetation for the expansion of agricultural land or from the removal of trees and shrubs that regrow on the prescribed agricultural land fraction.

## Figures



45 Figure S1. A schematic representation of the two cases used in our study for the comparison of the climate and carbon cycle effects of afforestation and reduced fossil fuel emissions. In case 1, emissions follow three SSP scenarios (SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0 and SSP5-8.5) and some amount of carbon is removed from the atmosphere by afforestation. In case 2, fossil fuel emissions are reduced by the same amount that is additionally stored on land by afforestation in case 1.



**Figure S2.** The evolution of a) global mean atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, b) global mean surface air temperature c) global mean precipitation d) global mean evaporation e) top of the atmosphere (TOA) net radiation and f) global mean atmosphere to ocean (fluxa20; blue) and atmosphere to land carbon fluxes (fluxa21; red) in the spinup simulation PI\_1750. The equilibrium values of global mean atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and surface air temperature are 280.84ppm and 13.19°C respectively. The TOA net radiation and carbon fluxes approach zero at the end of PI\_1750. The equilibrium value of global mean precipitation and evaporation is 1.05m yr<sup>-1</sup>.



**Figure S3.** The evolution of global mean a) atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration and b) surface air temperature (SAT) in the historical simulation (HIST\_1750\_2005) and observations. The observations of atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations and global surface air temperature are obtained from Rubino *et al* 2019 and Berkeley Earth Surface Temperatures (BEST) observations. In our historical simulation, the UVic model simulation underestimates atmospheric  $CO_2$  and global mean SAT by 5ppm and  $0.2^{\circ}C$  (averaged over 1976-2005), respectively.



70 Figure S4. The evolution of a) global mean precipitation b) global mean evaporation c) top of the atmosphere (TOA) net radiation and d) global sea ice area in our historical simulation (HIST\_1750\_2005). The global mean precipitation and evaporation remains unchanged at the preindustrial state value of 1.05 m yr<sup>-1</sup>. The abrupt decreases in the TOA net radiation in the historical simulation correspond to volcanic forcing. The sea ice area decreases from 2.23×10<sup>13</sup>m<sup>2</sup> in the spinup simulation (averaged over the last 30 years of PI 1750) to  $2.18 \times 10^{13}$  m<sup>2</sup> in the last 30 years (1976-2005)

75 of the historical simulation (HIST\_1750\_2005).



Figure S5. The evolution of tree fraction (sum of broad leaf trees and needle leaf trees) in the FIXED\_AGR,
AFFOREST and REDCUED\_FF simulations for the a) SSP 2-4.5 b) SSP 3-7.0 and c) SSP 5-8.5 scenarios. In the AFFOREST case, agricultural land is set to zero after the year 2005. Therefore, forests regrow over the abandoned agricultural land resulting in a larger tree fraction in the AFFOREST case in the three SSP scenarios.



**Figure S6.** The left (right) panel shows spatial pattern of the difference in tree fraction (averaged over 2471-2500) between the AFFOREST (REDUCED\_FF) and FIXED\_AGR cases. The top, middle and bottom panels correspond to the SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0 and SSP5-8.5 scenarios, respectively. The tree fraction is higher in the AFFOREST case compared to the FIXED\_AGR case regionally because of the regrowth of forests over the abandoned agricultural land after the year 2005, while the REDUCED\_FF and FIXED\_AGR cases have similar tree fraction in all regions.



**Figure. S7** The evolution of global total land carbon in the FIXED\_AGR, AFFOREST, REDUCED\_FF, and HIST\_1750\_2005 simulations in the a) SSP2-4.5, b) SSP 3-7.0, and c) SSP 5-8.5 scenarios. In the AFFOREST case, the agricultural land is set to zero and the forests are allowed to regrow after 2005. This results in larger carbon stored over land in the AFFOREST case in the three SSP scenarios.



Figure S8. The evolution of vegetation burning flux (VEGBURN) in the FIXED\_AGR, AFFOREST, REDUCED\_FF,
and HIST\_1750\_2005 simulations in the a) SSP2-4.5, b) SSP 3-7.0, and c) SSP 5-8.5 scenarios. During the historical period, VEGBURN represents the amount of carbon emitted into the atmosphere for the expansion and maintenance of the agricultural land fraction. In the AFFOREST case VEGBURN is zero because the agricultural land fraction is zero.



**Figure S9.** Evolution of the global land mean vegetation net primary productivity (NPP) in the FIXED\_AGR, AFFOREST, REDUCED\_FF, and HIST\_1750\_2005 simulations in the a) SSP2-4.5, b) SSP 3-7.0, and c) SSP 5-8.5 scenarios. NPP increases initially in all simulations because of the  $CO_2$  fertilization effect wherein elevated  $CO_2$  concentrations lead to larger plant productivity. As the emissions are reduced to zero by 2250 (Figure S11), NPP becomes relatively constant because of the absence of  $CO_2$  fertilization effect after 2250.



Figure S10. The evolution of global land soil respiration in the FIXED\_AGR, AFFOREST, REDUCED\_FF, and HIST\_1750\_2005 simulations in the a) SSP2-4.5, b) SSP 3-7.0, and c) SSP 5-8.5 scenarios. Soil respiration increases initially in all simulations because of the increase in temperature associated with the increase in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and becomes relatively constant after the year 2250 in all simulations because emissions are zero after 2250.



**Figure S11.** Historical and future projections of fossil fuel emissions in the SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, SSP5-8.5 scenarios used in the current study (Meinshausen et al., 2020).



**Figure S12.** Panel (a) shows the evolution of the mean atmosphere to land carbon fluxes in the AFFOREST case of the SSP3-7.0 (blue) and SSP5-8.5(red) scenarios. Panel (b) shows the difference in soil respiration (orange) and net primary productivity (green) between the AFFOREST case of the SSP5-8.5 and SSP3-7.0 scenarios. As shown in (a), the atmosphere to land carbon flux is larger in the SSP3-7.0 scenario compared to SSP5-8.5 during 2100-2300, because the difference in soil respiration in the AFFOREST case between the SSP5-8.5 and SSP3-7.0 scenarios is more than the corresponding difference in net primary productivity during this period. Therefore, the additional storage of land carbon in the SSP3-7.0 scenario is larger than in the SSP5-8.5 scenario



**Figure S13.** The left (right) panel shows spatial pattern of the difference in total land carbon (averaged over 2471-2500) between the AFFOREST (REDUCED\_FF) and FIXED\_AGR simulations. The top, middle and bottom panels correspond to the SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0 and SSP5-8.5 scenarios, respectively. The AFFOREST case has larger land carbon compared to the FIXED\_AGR in regions where forests regrow (Figure S6), while REDUCED\_FF has similar land carbon as that of FIXED\_AGR in the three SSP scenarios.



Figure S14. The amount of carbon additionally stored over land in each year in the AFFOREST simulation of a)
SSP2-4.5 b) SSP3-7.0 c) SSP5-8.5. The initial peak in additional carbon storage is due to the rapid growth of vegetation over abandoned agricultural in the AFFOREST case. The second peak is due to the gradual increase in tree fraction in the AFFOREST case (Figure S6).



Figure S15. The evolution of land mean surface albedo in the FIXED\_AGR, AFFOREST, REDUCED\_FF and
HIST\_1750\_2005 simulations in the SSP 2-4.5, SSP 3-7.0 and SSP 5-8.5 scenarios. In the AFFOREST case, forests are allowed to regrow over abandoned agricultural land after 2005. Since forests have lower albedo compared to grasslands, land surface albedo is less in the AFFOREST case in the three SSP scenarios.



**Figure S16.** The left (right) panel shows the spatial pattern of the difference in land surface albedo (averaged over the last 30 years) between the AFFOREST (REDUCED\_FF) and FIXED\_AGR simulations. The top, middle and bottom panels correspond to the SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0 and SSP5-8.5 scenarios, respectively. The AFFOREST case has smaller land surface albedo compared to the FIXED\_AGR case in regions where forest regrow, while the REDUCED\_FF case has almost similar land surface albedo as that of the FIXED\_AGR in the three SSP scenarios.



**Figure S17.** The evolution of global mean atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the FIXED\_AGR, AFFOREST, REDUCED\_FF and HIST\_1750\_2005 simulations in the a) SSP2-4.5, b) SSP3-7.0 and c) SSP5-8.5 scenarios. The AFFOREST and REDUCED\_FF cases simulate similar atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations because the same amount of carbon is removed from the atmosphere in these cases.



Figure S18. The evolution of global mean surface air temperature (SAT) in the FIXED\_AGR, AFFOREST,
REDUCED\_FF and HIST\_1750\_2005 simulations in the a) SSP2-4.5, b) SSP3-7.0 and c) SSP5-8.5 scenarios. The AFFOREST case simulates larger warming than the REDUCED\_FF case in all three scenarios because of the offset between the cooling effect of removal of carbon from the atmosphere and the warming effect of the regrowth of trees in the AFFOREST case.



**180 Figure S19.** The evolution of ocean carbon content in the FIXED\_AGR, AFFOREST, REDUCED\_FF and HIST\_1750\_2005 simulations in the a) SSP2-4.5, b) SSP3-7.0 and c) SSP5-8.5 scenarios. Ocean carbon content is less in the AFFOREST and REDUCED\_FF cases compared to the FIXED\_AGR case because of the lower atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the AFFOREST and REDUCED\_FF cases.



**Figure S20.** The left (right) panel shows spatial pattern of the difference in vertically integrated ocean carbon content (averaged over 2471-2500) between the AFFOREST (REDUCED\_FF) and FIXED\_AGR. The top, middle and bottom panels correspond to the SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0 and SSP5-8.5 scenarios, respectively. Both AFFOREST and REDUCED\_FF cases have smaller ocean carbon content than the FIXED\_AGR case because the reduced atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration in the AFFOREST and REDUCED\_FF cases results in smaller ocean carbon to maintain equilibrium with atmosphere.



Figure S21. The left (right) panel shows the spatial pattern of the difference in zonally averaged vertical ocean carbon content (averaged over 2471-2500) between the AFFOREST (REDUCED\_FF) and FIXED\_AGR cases. The top, middle and bottom panels correspond to the SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0 and SSP5-8.5 scenarios, respectively. Both the AFFOREST and REDUCED\_FF cases simulate lower ocean carbon content than the FIXED\_AGR case because the reduced atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the AFFOREST and REDUCED\_FF cases results in smaller ocean carbon to maintain equilibrium with atmosphere.



Figure S22. The evolution of global mean surface ocean pH in the FIXED\_AGR, AFFOREST, REDUCED\_FF and
HIST\_1750\_2005 simulations in the a) SSP2-4.5, b) SSP3-7.0 and c) SSP5-8.5 scenarios. Surface ocean pH is larger in the AFFOREST and REDUCED\_FF cases compared to the FIXED\_AGR case because of the smaller ocean carbon content in the AFFOREST and REDUCED\_FF cases.

# Tables

215

Parameter	Preindustrial State (PI_1750)	Historical State (HIST_1750_2005)
Atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	280.8	349.1
Surface Air Temperature (°C)	13.2	13.5
Surface ocean pH	8.15	8.09
Evaporation	1.054	1.055
Precipitation (m yr <sup>-1</sup> )	1.054	1.055
Sea ice area (million km <sup>2</sup> )	22.3	21.8
Land Carbon (PgC)	1789	1779
Ocean Carbon (PgC)	37287	37369
Land Surface Albedo	0.28	0.28

**Table S1.** Key climate and carbon cycle variables averaged over the last 30 years of the PI\_1750 and HIST\_1750\_2005 simulations which represent the preindustrial and recent (1976-2005) state of the climate system, respectively.

Parameter	SSP2-4.5		SSP3-7.0		SSP5-8.5	
	AFFORES T minus FIXED_AG R	REDCUCED _FF minus FIXED_AGR	AFFOREST minus FIXED_AGR	REDUCED_ FF minus FIXED_AG R	AFFOREST Minus FIXED_AGR	REDUCE D_FF minus FIXED_A GR
Atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	-87.5	-81.13	-158.25	-171.31	-151.79	-165.65
Atmospheric Surface Air Temperature (°C)	-0.31	66	-0.10	56	0.05	-0.36
Surface ocean pH	0.06	0.056	0.05	0.054	0.032	0.035
Land Surface Albedo	-0.011	0.0002	-0.011	0.001	-0.011	0
Land carbon (PgC)	319.76	-34	418.93	20.83	379.22	20.28
Ocean carbon (PgC)	-134.88	-113.33	-82.76	-75.58	-56.75	-47.25

**Table S2.** Key climate and carbon cycle variables in the AFFOREST and REDUCED\_FF simulations relative to the FIXED\_AGR case in the SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.5 and SSP5-8.5 scenarios (difference in each variable averaged over 2471-2500). The difference between the AFFOREST (REDUCED\_FF) and FIXED\_AGR gives the effects of afforestation (reduced fossil fuel emission) on the climate or carbon cycle variables.

Variable	SSP2-4.5		SSP3-7.0		SSP5-8.5				
	FIXED_ AGR	AFFOREST	REDUCED _FF	FIXED_ AGR	AFFOREST	REDUCED _FF	FIXED_A GR	AFFOREST	REDUCED _FF
Atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> (ppm)	231	144	150	982	823	810	1675	1523	1509
Surface Air Temperature (°C)	2.7	2.3	2	6.3	6.2	5.7	8.0	8.0	7.6
Surface ocean pH	-0.2	-0.14	-0.14	-0.52	-0.47	-0.47	-0.69	-0.66	-0.65
Land Carbon (PgC)	82	402	48	68	487	89	-18	361	1.9
Ocean Carbon (PgC)	694	559	580	1191	1109	1116	1417	1360	1369
Land Surface Albedo	-0.008	-0.02	-0.006	-0.016	-0.028	-0.016	-0.02	-0.031	-0.019

Table S3. Changes in the key climate and carbon cycle variables averaged over 2471-2500 of FIXED\_AGR,
AFFOREST and REDUCED\_FF simulations in three SSP scenarios with respect to the average over 1976-2005 of HIST\_1750.

235

#### References

Chini, L. P., Hurtt, G. C., and Frolking, S.: LUH1: Harmonized Global Land Use for Years 1500-2100, V1, ORNL DAAC, 2014.

 Crowley, T. J.: Causes of climate change over the past 1000 years, Science (80-. )., 289, 270–277, https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.289.5477.270/ASSET/F912AC33-9AD4-4809-BCB0-D30391499765/ASSETS/GRAPHIC/SE2708679006.JPEG, 2000.

Hoesly, R. M., Smith, S. J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Pitkanen, T., Seibert, J. J., Vu, L., Andres, R. J., Bolt, R. M., Bond, T. C., Dawidowski, L., Kholod, N., Kurokawa, J. I., Li, M., Liu, L., Lu, Z., Moura, M. C. P., O'Rourke, P. R., and Zhang, Q.: Historical (1750-2014) anthropogenic emissions of reactive gases and parcosols from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS). Gaossi Model Day, 11, 360, 408

245 aerosols from the Community Emissions Data System (CEDS), Geosci. Model Dev., 11, 369–408, https://doi.org/10.5194/GMD-11-369-2018, 2018. Rubino, M., Etheridge, D. M., Thornton, D. P., Howden, R., Allison, C. E., Francey, R. J., Langenfelds, R. L., Paul Steele, L., Trudinger, C. M., Spencer, D. A., Curran, M. A. J., Van Ommen, T. D., and Smith, A. M.: Revised records of atmospheric trace gases CO 2, CH 4, N 2 O, and  $\delta$ 13 C -CO 2 over the last 2000 years from Law Dome, Antarctica, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 11, 473–492, https://doi.org/10.5194/ESSD-11-473-2019, 2019.