

Supplementary information - Discontinuity of concentration and composition of dissolved organic matter at peat-pool interface in a boreal peatland

Antonin Prijac^{1,2,3}, Laure Gandois⁴, Michelle Garneau^{1,2,5}, Laurent Jeanneau⁶, Pierre Taillardat^{1,7}

¹ Centre de Recherche sur la Dynamique du Système Terre (GÉOTOP), Université du Québec à Montréal, Canada

² Groupe de Recherche Inter-universitaire en Limnologie (GRIL), Université du Québec à Montréal, Canada

³ Institut des Sciences de l'Environnement (ISE), Université du Québec à Montréal, Canada

⁴ Laboratoire d'Écologie Fonctionnelle et Environnement, UMR 5245, CNRS-UPS-INPT, Toulouse, France

⁵ Département de Géographie, Université du Québec à Montréal, Canada

⁶ Laboratoire Géosciences Rennes, UMR 6118, CNRS-Université de Rennes, France

⁷ Integrated Tropical Peatland Research Program (INTPREP), National University of Singapore, Singapore

Correspondence to: Antonin Prijac (prijac.antonin@courrier.uqam.ca)




Figure SI.2. Variation of pool depth as the difference between peat surface at a reference point and water surface and water table depth at the reference point.





Figure SI.3. Daily average air, peat temperature and pool temperature (for 2019 exclusively) and precipitation in a) 2018 and b) 2019. Vertical band represented sampling period and color depending on seasons (blue = spring, yellow = summer, red = autumn). Box plot of seasonal c) air temperature, punctual measurements of d) peat porewater and e) pool water temperatures. For boxplot, box represented the lower (25th percentile) and the upper quartile (75th percentile) and median (50th percentile), whiskers represented the interquartile range.



b)




Figure SI.4. a) Picture of the sampled pool, hollow and lawn. **b)** Variations of DOC concentrations (in mg C L⁻¹), DOC:DON ratio, SUVA₂₅₄ (in L mg C⁻¹ cm⁻¹), E2:E3 ratio and spectral slope ratio in two contiguous microforms (one lawn and one hollow) and their adjacent pool (M11 on Fig. 1) at 3 depths in peat porewater (-0.3 m, -0.75 m and -1 m) and at the surface and in depth of the pool. Samples were taken in June, August, and September 2019. Points represents the average of each variable and error bar the standard deviation. Variables were always significantly different between pool and lawn and pool and hollow, except for E2:E3 ratio, but never significantly different between lawn and hollow.

Table SI.1. List of compounds analyzed by THM-GC-MS and their related *m/z* and mass spectra factor (msf) used for their integration and molecular groups associated with them

Compounds	<i>m/z</i>	msf	Groups
2-butenedioic acid dimethyl ester (fumaric acid)	113	3.3	SOA
Butanedioic acid dimethyl ester (succinic acid)	115	4.5	SOA
heptadienoic acid methyl ester	111	3.9	SOA
2-methylbutanedioic acid dimethyl ester (methylsuccinic acid)	59	2.4	SOA
Pentanedioic acid dimethyl ester (glutaric acid)	59	5.6	SOA
Pentose	129	4	CAR
Desoxyhexose	129	4	CAR
Hexose	129	4	CAR
C _{8:0}	74	3	FA
C _{9:0}	74	3.6	FA
C _{10:0}	74	3	FA
C _{12:0}	74	3	LMW FA
<i>α,ω</i> C _{9:0}	74	10.2	FA
C _{13:0}	74	4.1	LMW FA
<i>br</i> C _{14:0}	74	3.1	LMW FA
C _{14:0}	74	3.1	LMW FA
<i>i</i> C _{15:0}	74	3.3	LMW FA
<i>α</i> C _{15:0}	74	3.3	LMW FA

C _{15:0}	74	3.3	LMW FA
<i>br</i> C _{16:0}	74	4.9	LMW FA
C _{16:1}	74	14.5	LMW FA
C _{16:1}	74	14.5	LMW FA
C _{16:0}	74	4.9	FA
<i>i</i> C _{17:0}	74	3.9	LMW FA
<i>a</i> C _{17:0}	74	3.9	LMW FA
C _{17:1}	74		LMW FA
C _{17:0}	74	3.9	LMW FA
C _{18:1}	74	14.6	LMW FA
C _{18:0}	74	4.5	FA
<i>ω</i> OHC _{16:0}	74	11.3	HMW FA
C _{20:0}	74	4.9	HMW FA
<i>ω</i> OHC _{18:0}	74	17.3	HMW FA
C _{21:0}	74	7.5	HMW FA
<i>α,ω</i> C _{18:0}	74	17.7	HMW FA
C _{22:0}	74	4.9	HMW FA
<i>ω</i> OHC _{20:0}	74	12.9	HMW FA
C _{23:0}	74	8.4	HMW FA
<i>α,ω</i> C _{20:0}	74	10.8	HMW FA
C _{24:0}	74	4.4	HMW FA
<i>ω</i> OHC _{22:0}	74	13.5	HMW FA
C _{25:0}	74	7.7	HMW FA
<i>α,ω</i> C _{22:0}	74	10.8	HMW FA
C _{26:0}	74	4.5	HMW FA
<i>ω</i> OHC _{24:0}	74	13.5	HMW FA
C _{27:0}	74	5.1	HMW FA
<i>α,ω</i> C _{24:0}	74	9.7	HMW FA
C _{28:0}	74	4.9	HMW FA
benzoic acid methyl ester	105	2.9	PHE
1,2-dimethoxybenzene	138	4.7	PHE
1,4-dimethoxybenzene	138	6	PHE
1,3-dimethoxybenzene	138	3.8	PHE
dimethoxytoluene	152	5.7	PHE
3-methoxybenzaldehyde	136	4.3	PHE
4-methoxybenzaldehyde	136	3.8	PHE
methoxyacetophenone	135	3.5	PHE
3-methoxybenzoic acid methyl ester	135	3.9	PHE
4-methoxybenzoic acid methyl ester	135	2.8	PHE
1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene	168	3.8	PHE
1,2,4-trimethoxybenzene	168	4.3	PHE
1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene	168	3	PHE
trimethoxytoluene	167	7	PHE
1,2,3,4-tetramethoxybenzene	198	3.5	PHE
1,2,3,5-tetramethoxybenzene	198	13.5	PHE
3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (vanilaldehyde)	166	4.2	PHE
3,4-dimethoxyacetophenone (acetovanilone)	165	2.8	PHE
3,5-dimethoxybenzoic acid methyl ester	196	5.1	PHE
3,4-dimethoxybenzoic acid methyl ester (vanilic acid)	196	5.6	PHE
3,4,5-trimethoxybenzaldehyde (syringaldehyde)	196	6.7	PHE
3-(4-methoxyphenyl)prop-2-enoic acid methyl ester	192	6.7	PHE
3,4,5-trimethoxyacetophenone (acetosyringone)	195	4.8	PHE
<i>cis</i> -1,2-Dimethoxy-4-(2-methoxyethenyl)benzene	194	5.1	PHE
<i>trans</i> -1,2-Dimethoxy-4-(2-methoxyethenyl)benzene	194	5.1	PHE

3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoic acid methyl ester (syringic acid)	226	5.3	PHE
3-(4-methoxyphenyl)but-2-enoic methyl ester	206	8.8	PHE
4-Methoxycarbonylmethoxybenzoic acid methyl ester	193	5.1	PHE
<i>cis</i> -1,2,3-Trimethoxy-5-(2-methoxyethenyl)benzene	224	7.0	PHE
<i>trans</i> -1,2,3-Trimethoxy-5-(2-methoxyethenyl)benzene	224	7.0	PHE
3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-enoic acid methyl ester	222	3.7	PHE

Table SI.2. Results of statistical tests for physico-chemical variables (water temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen and specific conductivity), DOC concentrations, DOC:DON ratio, absorbance indices (SUVA₂₅₄, E2:E3 ratio and S_R) fluorescence indices (FI and β:α index), molecular index (deoxyC6:C5 ratio, fVEG, C/V ratio and Ac:Al(V) ratio) and δ¹³C-DOC. Statistical tests were based on models for comparison between years of sampling, peat porewater and pools and between seasons of sampling for peat porewater and pools. Significant differences were represented in bold. In the table, statistical test was abbreviating as K-W for Kusrall and Wallis test, WAOV for Welsh analyses of variances and AOV for analyses of variances and environments were abbreviated as P-P for peat porewater and Po for pools. There was no test to compare the effect of years on fluorescent indices considering all data came from samples of the same year of sampling periods.

Variable	~ Year			~ Env			~ Season						
	Stat	p-value	Test	Stat	p-value	Test	Env	Stat	p-value	Test	SPR/SUM	SPR/FAL	SUM/FAL
Water Temperature	1.62	0.203	K-W	14.06	0.0002	K-W	P-P	11.36	0.0003	WAOV	0.048	0.963	0.00023
							Po	66.15	<0.0001	WAOV	<0.0001	0.534	<0.0001
pH	15.86	<0.0001	K-W	25.24	<0.0001	WAOV	P-P	10.9	0.0003	WAOV	0.001	0.108	0.0499
							Po	7.16	0.002	AOV	0.752	0.501	0.00107
Dissolved Oxygen	1.42	0.233	K-W	89.34	<0.0001	K-W	P-P	1.41	0.26	AOV	0.227	0.627	0.618
							Po	12.88	0.0016	K-W	0.771	0.00236	0.00835
Specific Conductivity	0.129	0.719	K-W	45.67	<0.0001	K-W	P-P	2.91	0.23	K-W	0.0914	0.408	0.311
							Po	47.1	<0.0001	WAOV	0.0003	<0.0001	<0.0001
DOC	0.1	0.751	K-W	27.5	<0.0001	WAOV	P-P	14.183	<0.0001	AOV	0.0003	0.00003	0.605
							Po	9.376	0.0003	AOV	0.0431	0.000156	0.138
DOC:DON	4.84	0.028	K-W	30.99	<0.0001	K-W	P-P	15.3	<0.0001	WAOV	0.015	<0.0001	0.804
							Po	3.93	0.024	AOV	0.0367	0.0405	0.987
DOC:Cl	27.65	<0.0001	WAOV	8.9	0.0029	K-W	P-P	24.03	<0.0001	WAOV	0.0001	0.002	0.995
							Po	4.89	0.087	K-W	0.356	0.32	0.0814
δ ¹³ C-DOC	0.616	0.432	K-W	0.764	0.382	K-W	P-P	11.706	0.0006	AOV	0.00516	0.000555	0.721
							Po	2.1	0.151	AOV	0.676	0.148	0.546
SUVA	0.986	0.323	AOV	57	<0.0001	K-W	P-P	0.11	0.0173	K-W	0.0513	0.826	0.00748
							Po	16.5	0.0002	K-W	0.773	0.00298	0.000606
E2E3	1.05	0.306	K-W	312.395	<0.0001	AOV	P-P	1.683	0.199	AOV	0.814	0.195	0.43

							Po	29.196	<0.0001	AOV	0.0487	<0.0001	<0.0001
SR	1.68	0.198	WAOV	108	<0.0001	WAOV	P-P	2.264	0.118	AOV	0.185	0.994	0.17
							Po	26.6	<0.0001	K-W	0.141	0.0417	<0.0001
Fluorescence Index	*	*	*	19.6	0.0002	WAOV	P-P	1.459	0.259	AOV	0.158	0.602	0.0547
							Po	0.353	0.705	AOV	0.726	0.991	0.464
β : α Index	*	*	*	0.898	0.347	AOV	P-P	1.256	0.308	AOV	0.872	0.583	0.313
							Po	5.41	0.013	WAOV	0.015	0.998	0.026
fVEG	5.324	0.027	AOV	4.655	0.038	AOV	P-P	7.412	0.006	AOV	0.918	0.148	0.00545
							Po	0.395	0.68	AOV	0.701	0.937	0.804
deoxyC6C5	4.05	0.054	WAOV	17.3	<0.0001	K-W	P-P	1.183	0.333	AOV	0.987	0.562	0.377
							Po	7.38	0.025	K-W	0.0156	0.364	0.041
CV	0.102	0.749	K-W	8.65	0.0033	K-W	P-P	5.129	0.02	AOV	0.995	0.187	0.0217
							Po	0.542	0.763	K-W	0.48	0.511	0.946
%Phenols	0.474	0.495	AOV	0.38	0.846	AOV	P-P	0.27	0.767	AOV	0.805	0.749	0.991
							Po	0.509	0.611	AOV	0.584	0.719	0.951
%Car	0.452	0.832	K-W	0.0231	0.879	K-W	P-P	0.42	0.675	WAOV	0.635	0.955	0.883
							Po	0.0145	0.993	K-W	0.904	0.930	0.965
%SOA	4.918	0.033	AOV	0.016	0.9	AOV	P-P	4.352	0.032	AOV	0.92	0.341	0.0295
							Po	2.122	0.152	AOV	0.908	0.54	0.137
%LMW FA	0.00831	0.927	K-W	0.208	0.649	K-W	P-P	0.96	0.405	AOV	0.908	0.54	0.137
							Po	2.67	0.263	K-W	0.475	1	0.593
%HMW FA	7.82	0.00518	K-W	2.13	0.145	K-W	P-P	0.96	0.405	AOV	0.628	0.991	0.423
							Po	2.67	0.263	K-W	0.475	1	0.593

Table SI.3. Synthesis of published DOC concentrations in peat porewater and pool in northern hemisphere peatlands

Reference	Peatland type	Location	Biome	Sampled environments	DOC concentrations (mg L ⁻¹)
<i>Peat Porewater</i>					
<i>This study</i>	Bog	Bouleau peatland (Québec, Canada)	Boreal	6 wells collecting porewater	18.0 ± 8.4
Arsenault et al., 2019	Bog	Grande Plée Bleue (Québec, Canada)	Temperate	10 wells collecting porewater	39.0 ± 9.1
Austnes et al., 2010	Bog	Afon Ddu (Wales)	Temperate	<i>Sphagnum</i> porewater (Sph) and 3 porewater samples: one in micro rhizons (MR), one from a lysimeter at 5cm (ZT5) below surface and one from a lysimeter at 10cm below surface (ZT10)	Sph : 27-55 MR : 25.5-32 ZT5 : 21.5-33.5 ZT10 : 27-38
Beer and Blodau, 2007	Bog	Mer Bleu Peatland (Ontario, Canada)	Temperate	A multi-level piezometer collecting water from 35 to 165 cm at 10 cm interval and one well collecting peat porewater	from 10 to 50 mg L ⁻¹ and concentrations always higher to 20 mg L ⁻¹ in first to 100 cm of peat column
Deshpande et al., 2016	Bog	Sasapimakwananisikw River Valley (Québec, Canada)	Subarctic	Concentration measured from peat solubilized in Milli-Q water from 3 depths at every 2 cores sampled in active layer of peat	13.9-28.8
Gandois et al., 2019	Thermokarst bogs	Igarka region (Siberia, Russia)	Subarctic	Water collected by wells in the active layer	Small bogs: 44.1 ± 10.1 Large bogs: 16.7 ± 1.2
Raudina et al., 2017	5 bogs along a longitudinal gradient	Western Siberia Lowlands (Russia)	Arctic	Porewater collected in histosols with tension lysimeter	33.7-97.9

Tipping et al., 2010	<i>Sphagnum</i> peat bog	Cottage Hill Sike (North Pennines, England)	Temperate	Porewater collected with tension lysimeter at 10 and 50 cm depth	20.6 ± 4.1
Pools					
<i>This study</i>	Bog	Bouleau Peatland (Québec, Canada)	Boreal	9 pools	10.5 ± 4.1
Arsenault et al., 2018	Bog	Grande Plée Bleue (Québec, Canada)	Temperate	62 pools	25.8 ± 6.2
Arsenault et al., 2019	Bog	Grande Plée Bleue (Québec, Canada)	Temperate	5 pools	18.3 ± 4.7
Deshpande et al., 2016	Bog	Sasapimakwananisikw River Valley (Québec, Canada)	Subarctic	3 thermokarst lakes	8.5-11
Laurion and Mladenov, 2013	Bog	Sirmilik National Park on Bylot Island (Nunavut, Canada)	Arctic	2 pool types: 1. ponds on top of low-centred polygons 2. water from degraded ice wedges	8.5-13.1
Laurion et al., 2021	Bog	Sirmilik National Park on Bylot Island (Nunavut, Canada)	Arctic	11 pools from low centred polygons	9.2
Peura et al., 2016	Palsa bog	Whapmagoostui-Kuujuarapik (Québec, Canada)	Arctic	12 thaw pools (development stage: emerging, developing, and mature pools)	25.8 ± 10.1
Turner et al., 2016	Bog	3 regions: Flow Country (north Scotland), Silver Flowe (southwest Scotland), Northern Ireland	Temperate	66 pools in 6 peatlands	Flow Country: 13.58 ± 4.58 Silver Flowe: 6.7 ± 2.0 Northern Ireland: 14.5 ± 3.1