Title page

5   Hypoxia occurs also in small highly turbid estuaries: the example of the Charente (Bay of Biscay)

Sabine Schmidt[1], Ibrahima Iris Diallo[2]

[1] Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, Bordeaux INP, EPOC, UMR 5805, F-33600 Pessac, France
[2] Rectorat de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, 33000, France

Correspondence to: Sabine Schmidt (sabine.schmidt@cnrs.fr)

Hypoxia also occurs in small highly turbid estuaries: the example of the Charente (Bay of Biscay)

Sabine Schmidt[1], Ibrahima Iris Diallo[2]

[1] Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, Bordeaux INP, EPOC, UMR 5805, F-33600 Pessac, France
[2]Rectorat de Bordeaux, Bordeaux, 33000, France

Correspondence to: Sabine Schmidt (sabine.schmidt@cnrs.fr)

Key works: estuary, Charente, turbidity maximum, dissolved oxygen, monitoring, high-frequency, long-term trend

Abstract. The French coast facing the Bay of Biscay (north-east Atlantic) is characterised by the presence of small macrotidal and turbid estuaries, including the Charente, ~~which is~~ geographically located between the two large estuaries of the Gironde and the Loire (south-west France). Multi-year, multi-site, high-frequency water quality surveys have shown that the Loire, and to a lesser extent the Gironde, suffer from summer hypoxia. These observations raised the question of the possible occurrence of hypoxia, particularly in one of these small estuaries, the Charente, which flows into the Bay of Marennes-Oléron, the first oyster-~~producing~~ farming area in France. Unlike its two large neighbours, the Charente estuary is not continuously monitored, although it is subject to similar climatic changes and anthropogenic pressures, making it impossible to assess potential risks to the ecosystem. Here we present a first ~~investigation~~ study of dissolved oxygen in the Charente estuary, based on a combination of longitudinal studies along the estuary axis and instrumented sites to determine the intensity and spatial extent of deoxygenation. Oxygen and conductivity/salinity sensors were deployed at several sites during the summers of 2018, 2019 and 2020 to record temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen every 15 minutes. This high-frequency dataset ~~will be~~is compared with a long-term low-frequency dataset (1975-2022; 8-12 measurements per year) to determine whether or not there is a ~~degradation~~ deterioration ~~of~~ in the oxygenation ~~of~~in the Charente estuary. The high-frequency dataset shows a high variability of dissolved oxygen (DO)~~,~~ with a clear influence of ~~the~~ tidal cycle~~s~~. During summer, DO concentrations are often below 5 mg L^{-1}~~,~~ and sometimes even below 2 mg L^{-1}, indicating the presence of a summer oxygen minimum zone with an extension of about 20-25 km. Temperature is the ~~DO~~ main ~~controlling~~ factor controlling DO in the Charente estuary, which ~~limits~~ing preventive management strategies and, in the context of global warming, ~~raising~~ raises questions about the long-term suitability of ~~the~~ estuarine conditions for ~~community~~ the needs of the biological communities, ~~in~~ particular~~ly~~ migratory fish~~es~~.

1 Introduction

Globally, there has been a decrease in dissolved oxygen (DO) in the oceans, which is more pronounced in coastal waters, resulting in more frequent hypoxia events characterised by DO concentrations below 2 mg L^{-1} (Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008; Zhang et al., 2010; Stramma et al., 2011; Gilbert et al., 2010). Dissolved oxygen ~~(DO)~~ is an important parameter for diagnosing ~~of~~ water quality. ~~Dissolved oxygen is one of the key physico-chemical quality elements that the EU Water Framework Directive (WFD) requires to be considered in order to achieve "good ecological status" (Best et al., 2007).~~ Essential for the survival of aquatic ~~species~~animals and plants, oxygen levels are the main factor determining the type and abundance of organisms that can live there (Breitburg, 2002; Sampaio et al., 2021). Dissolved oxygen comes from two natural processes: diffusion from the atmosphere and photosynthesis by aquatic plants. The mixing of surface waters by wind and waves increases the rate at which oxygen from the air can be dissolved or absorbed into the water. Conversely, bacteria and other ~~decomposing~~ decomposers ~~organisms~~ ~~use~~consume oxygen to break down organic matter. It is therefore common to observe deviations from the theoretical saturation value predicted by temperature and salinity (Benson and Krause, 1984). ~~Dissolved oxygen depletion occurs when the measured dissolved oxygen concentration is below the theoretical saturation value. This can occur when~~ When large inputs of nutrients, usually from wastewater discharges, stimulate excessive growth of aquatic plants

(eutrophication), ~~whose~~ their decomposition can lead to oxygen depletion, even to hypoxia (< 2 mg L^{-1}) or anoxia (0 mg L^{-1}). Stratification of the water column can also lead to oxygen depletion in bottom waters. Conversely, supersaturation of dissolved oxygen can occur, usually during the day, associated with the presence of aquatic plants. Given the ~~variety~~ diversity of processes affecting DO, the variability of dissolved oxygen in coastal systems is still poorly documented, making it difficult to estimate its ~~long-term~~ evolution over several decades. In particular, the prediction of DO trajectories in estuaries is more complex than in coastal waters due to hydroclimatic but also anthropogenic forcing (Villate et al., 2013). Indeed, during the 20th century, many estuaries adjacent to cities experienced significant water quality degradation due to excessive discharges of untreated domestic and industrial wastewater. Severe hypoxia was observed in estuaries such as the lower Hudson, Thames and Scheldt, among others (Brosnan and O'Shea, 1996; Billen et al., 2005). However, during the 1980s and 1990s, improvements in wastewater collection and treatment helped to improve DO levels in some estuaries (Andrews and Rickard, 1980; García-Barcina et al., 2006).

Situated at the interface between the ocean and the continent, estuaries are dynamic natural collectors of liquid and solid inputs from watersheds, that are highly variable both spatially and seasonally. Downstream, the large volumes of seawater entering with each rising tide are progressively diluted, ~~influencing~~ affecting the chemistry of estuarine waters. The physico-chemical quality of estuarine waters ~~provides the necessary support for biological life and~~ determines the distribution of species, on which many ecosystem services (e.g. commercial or recreational fisheries) depend. Estuaries are habitats of great ecological interest for many biological groups, including fish, which use them as nurseries or spawning grounds (Delage et al., 2019). ~~Understanding future changes in the quality of these transitional waters is therefore crucial, given their large impact on aquatic organisms.~~ In macrotidal estuaries, where the tidal range is greater than 3 metres, tidal asymmetry (longer and weaker ebb currents; shorter and stronger flood currents) causes net upstream transport and trapping of suspended sediment in the inner and upper reaches (Allen et al., 1980). This results in the occurrence of a mobile region of high suspended particulate matter (SPM) concentration, the so-called turbidity maximum zone (TMZ). ~~the asymmetry of the tidal wave as it propagates upstream, creates a well-developed turbidity maximum zone (TMZ)~~ The TMZ is characterised by ~~with suspended particulate matter~~ (SPM) loads ~~from~~ of several hundred milligrams to several grams of dry sediments per litre (~~Allen et al., 1980;~~ Uncles et al., 2002). Such high particle concentrations affect water chemistry by limiting gas exchange with the atmosphere (Abril et al., 2009). In addition, high particle concentrations ~~SPM~~ limits light penetration and hence ~~photosynthetic activity~~ phytoplankton primary production, but also provide substrate for microbial activity, promoting mineralisation of organic matter and DO consumption (Goosen et al., 1999). ~~and gas exchange with the atmosphere (Goosen et al., 1999). In addition, the degradation of the organic content of SPM consumes DO, all~~ ~~All of which~~ this is likely to promote deoxygenation in macrotidal turbid estuaries (Talke et al., 2009).

Along the French coast facing the Bay of Biscay (~~north~~ North-east Atlantic), there are many small tidal and turbid estuaries, geographically located between the two large estuaries of the Gironde and the Loire, which are natural corridors for migratory fish (Arevalo et al., 2023). The spatio-temporal DO dynamics of the two large systems are well described by long-term, high-frequency and multi-site~~s~~ ~~measurements of~~ water quality monitoring. While episodic summer hypoxia events have been recorded in the fluvial Gironde estuary, the Loire estuary experiences permanent summer hypoxia in its lower reaches (Lanoux et al., 2013; Schmidt et al., 2019). These observations have raised the question of the possible occurrence of hypoxia in the small estuaries ~~that are located~~ between the Loire and the Gironde~~m~~. Indeed, the question of the evolution of the quality and ecological status of estuarine waters will become increasingly critical in the face of rising temperatures and ~~water~~ sea level~~ss~~ (Simon et al., 2023; Chust et al., 2010), decreasing rainfall and runoff (Sperna Weilang et al., 2021), and the expected growth of coastal populations ~~growth forecast for the south-west France by 2030~~ (Neumann et al., 2015). In addition to global warming, climate projections predict an increase in the number of summer heat wave days: these climatic extremes are particularly worrying in terms of the tolerance of biological communities such as fish, and the vulnerability of macrotidal estuaries to deoxygenation.

This paper presents a first study of the ~~present day~~ dynamics of dissolved oxygen in one of the small estuaries of the Bay of Biscay: the Charente estuary. The objectives were to determine the occurrence and extent of a possible oxygen minimum zone and ~~to determine~~ whether hypoxia could be triggered there. We used a complementary strategy based on a combination of longitudinal studies along the estuary axis and a few instrumented sites to determine the intensity and spatial extent of potential deoxygenation. This work focused on the summer period when low discharge, the presence of the turbidity maximum zone and summer heat are likely to promote low dissolved oxygen.

2 Material and method

2.1 The Charente estuary

Located on the French Atlantic coast, the Charente estuary is a small, shallow, macrotidal estuary with an average depth of approximately 9 m around Rochefort. It is a partially mixed to well-mixed macrotidal estuary, with stratified conditions occurring at very high river discharge (Toublanc et al., 2016). The tides are semidiurnal with ~~an~~ an average ~~tidal range~~ amplitude of 4.2 m, reaching up to 7 m during spring tides (Figure 1). The tidal influence is stopped 50 km upstream of the mouth by a dam at Saint-Savinien, which is opened during spring tides to prevent flooding of downstream areas (Modéran et al., 2012). The high tidal range and upstream tidal asymmetry and subsequent tidal pumping promote a turbidity maximum zone (TMZ), as observed in two large estuaries, the Loire and the Gironde (Jalon-Rojas et al., 2017; Toublanc et al., 2016). ~~High concentrations of S~~suspended particulate matter (SPM) concentrations are typically several grams of dry sediment per litre (Auguet et al., 2005). The ~~position~~ location of the TMZ varies longitudinally between the river mouth and 30-40 km upstream, depending on river discharge and tidal cycles.

The Charente River is 360 km long and its catchment area covers almost 10,549 km^2. The Charente ~~river~~ River ~~discharges flows~~ through the Charente estuary (Figure 1) into the Bay of Marennes-Oléron, the first oyster-~~producing~~ farming area in France (Goulletquer and Heral, 1997) and a major nursery ground for juveniles of the Bay of Biscay sole population (Le Pape et al., 2003; Modéran et al., 2012). There is a strong contrast between the densely populated coastal fringe (80 to 100 inhabitants km^{-2}) and the rural interior (40 to 60 inhabitants km^{-2}). The largest town in the estuary is Rochefort, with an estimated urban population of over ~~63~~ 63,000. The rest of the catchment area is largely agricultural (75% of the surface area): vineyards, maize, mixed farming and livestock. For the period 2006-2022, the minimum, average and maximum daily flows of the Charente are 6.5, 65.2 and 584 m^3 s^{-1} respectively (Table A1). The target low flow, defined as "the reference flow which allows good water status to be achieved and above which all uses are satisfied on average in 8 ~~years~~ out of 10 years ", is 15 m^3 s^{-1}. In fact, flows below this threshold are recorded almost every year, demonstrating that the Charente has experienced severe low flows in recent decades, making this estuary particularly sensitive to water quality problems. Managing water during these critical periods to meet socio-economic needs ~~while maintaining good water status~~, but also to protect migratory fish is therefore a major challenge (EPTB Charente, 2023).

Figure 1: (a) The Charente estuary between the Loire and Gironde ~~rivers~~ in ~~the W~~western France. (b) Location of the different instrumented sites along the Charente estuary

2.2 Data sources

The study of dissolved oxygen variability in the Charente estuary is based on three different datasets (Table 1). The first two datasets were acquired between 2018 and 2020, based on a strategy previously developed in the Loire and Gironde estuaries (Schmidt et al., 2019). The principle is to combine instrumented sites and longitudinal investigations. In the course of this work, we discovered the existence of an institutional ~~long term low~~ low-frequency long-term monitoring of water quality, started in 1975, whose data were also used.

2.2.1 High-frequency summer monitoring of the Charente estuary

In order to better document the DO variability during the summer, the selection of a site to monitor was based on the experience gained in the Loire and Gironde estuaries (Schmidt et al., 2019), with the hypothesis that a minimum oxygen zone ~~might~~ could develop in the inner estuary, just upstream of the urban area of Rochefort. The first site was therefore located in Tonnay-

Charente, 6.1 km upstream of Rochefort and 26 km (PK26) from the ~~estuary~~ mouth of the estuary (in the following, the
150 kilometre distance from the ~~estuary~~ mouth is indicated as PK followed by the value). HOBO oxygen (optode) and conductivity
sensors with antifouling protection were used for a period of three weeks in summer 2018 to record temperature, salinity and
dissolved oxygen every 15 minutes. The sensors were deployed on a floating pontoon at a depth of approximately ~~50~~ 0.5 ~~c~~m
below the surface. Sensor calibration was checked immediately before and after deployment. In summer 2019, Tonnay-
Charente was instrumented again, complemented by a site further upstream at L'Houmée (PK37). In summer 2020, the
155 downstream sector was instrumented with the same sensors at two sites, Rochefort (PK20) and Martrou (PK16). In addition,
to test the possibility of making it a permanent site, Tonnay-Charente was equipped with a SAMBAT multi-sonde (NKE
Instrumentation, France)~~parameter sensor (SAMBAT, NKE Instrumentation)~~ from the end of April to November 2020. The
multisonde was configured with sensors to measure conductivity/salinity, temperature, turbidity and dissolved oxygen.
Turbidity (NTU) was converted to particle concentration (g L^{-1}) by establishing a relationship between turbidity and SPM in
160 the Charente estuary. As part of a preliminary study, the advantage of placing the sensors on floating pontoons is to limit the
cost of the study, as they are accessible without nautical equipment. However, in the case of macrotidal rivers such as the
Charente, when the pontoons are not far from the shore, they tend to be grounded at low tide, especially during spring tides;
this was the case at the L'Houmée, Rochefort and Matrou sites. This explains the gaps in the time series at these three sites.

165 **Table 1 Detail~~s~~ of ~~the~~ available data per site: site name, distance from ~~estuary~~ the mouth ~~(PK, in km), depth range (m),~~ type of
dataset, measurement period and link to the data repository. Sites are listed from upstream to downstream. The measured
parameter~~s~~ are salinity, temperature ~~and~~, dissolved oxygen and SPM (where * is indicated). ~~PK: distance in km from the mouth of
the considered site~~. LF: low-frequency (6 – 12 ~~measures~~ measurements per year); HF: high-frequency (1 measure~~ment~~ every 10 to
20 minutes); LS: longitudinal survey. ~~* SPM avalaible.~~**

Site	PK	Depth	Type	Measurement periods	Data repository
	Km	m			
Saint-Savinien	47.6 /	1-5	LF	2011-2022*	https://adour-garonne.eaufrance.fr/station 05006860
L'Houmée	37.0	0.3-6	HF	29 June to 7 Sept. 2019*	doi.org/10.17882/95886
Tonnay-Charente	25.9	1.5-7.5	LF HF	2011-2022* 19 July to 9 August 2018; 29 June to 7 Sept. 2019; 24 April, to 16 Nov. 2020*	https://adour-garonne.eaufrance.fr/station 05002350 doi.org/10.17882/95886
Rochefort	19.8	0.5-6.5	LF HF	1975-2022* 7 July to 7 Sept 2020	https://adour-garonne.eaufrance.fr/station 05001500 doi.org/10.17882/95886
Martrou	16.1	0 - 6	HF	22 July to 19 August 2020	doi.org/10.17882/95886
Saint-Savinien to Soubise			LS	August 9 2018	doi.org/10.17882/95886
Tonnay-Charente to Soubise			LS	August 8 2019	doi.org/10.17882/95886

170

2.2.2. Longitudinal investigation of the Charente estuary

A complementary approach was to carry out longitudinal studies. In 2018, this was done on 7 August 2018 between Soubise
(PK12) and Saint-Savinien (PK47) from the pontoons. This first survey took advantage of the return to the Charente estuary
to remove the sensors that had been installed for 3 weeks in the Tonnay-Charente. At each station, the sensors were placed
175 about ~~50~~ 0.5 ~~c~~m below the surface for about 15 minutes to ensure that the sensors were in equilibrium with the water. In 2019,
this approach was repeated, for the first time on 23 and 24 July, allowing us to measure dissolved oxygen at low and high tide
at L'Hounée. On ~~the~~ 7~~th of~~ August, the ~~transit~~ transect between Soubise and Tonnay-Charente was made ~~by sea~~from a boat
with a SAMBAT multi-~~sond~~parameter prob~~e~~ ~~(SAMBAT, NKE Instrumentation)~~ permanently submerged at a depth of 0.5
metr~~es~~ below the surface ~~and~~, recording data every 2 minutes. The 2018 transect covered the longest distance along the
180 estuary, ~~approximately~~ about 35 km from Soubise, near the mouth, to Saint-Savinien~~;~~. ~~the~~ The 2019 transects ~~were~~ have been
reduced in upstream extension in ~~the~~ light of the 2018 observations ~~obtained in 2018~~.

2.2.3 Low-frequency long-term monitoring ~~dataset~~

The French Water Agency carries out ~~Dissolved oxygen data collected from 2018 to 2020 revealed hypoxic situations, which was surprising as the Charente estuary was not known to have such a problem, unlike the Loire and Charente estuaries. We therefore searched for historical data, which enabled us to discover the existence of a~~ long-term, low-frequency monitoring of surface water quality (0.5 m) in the Charente estuary.~~, carried out by the French Water Agency, in particular to assess the ecological status of transitional waters.~~ This monitoring ~~is part of the water quality assessment carried out by the French Water Agency, in accordance with~~follows the guidelines of the European Water Framework Directive for the assessment of the ecological status of transitional waters~~, which~~. It includes the measurement of a ~~number~~ range of chemical species such as organic and metallic pollutants, as well as temperature, SPM, salinity and dissolved oxygen. All data are freely available on a dedicated website (SIE Adour Garonne, 2023). There are three water quality stations in the Charente estuary. Rochefort (station number 5001500) has been monitored since 1975, initially with 8 visits per year, then from 1982 with 10 to 12. The extension of ~~the~~ monitoring to Tonnay-Charente (station number 5002350) and Saint-Savinien (station number 5006860) is more recent, in 2011, with a lower frequency (6 ~~times~~ visits per year).

2.2.4 Water quality criteria by DO values

The Water Framework Directive (WFD, 2000/60/EC) aims to achieve 'good status' for all ground and surface waters (rivers, lakes, transitional waters and coastal waters) in the European Union. The Directive identifies dissolved oxygen (DO) as one of the "five general chemical and physico-chemical elements supporting the biological elements". In this paper~~,~~ we use the classification proposed by Best et al (2007) for water status according to DO levels: bad below 2 mg L^{-1}, poor to moderate between 2 and 5 mg L^{-1}, good above 5 mg L^{-1}. Such management issues in estuaries ~~that~~ justify the use of ~~the unit in~~ mg L^{-1}. The correspondence between mg L^{-1} and µmol L^{-1} is as follow~~s~~: 1 mg L^{-1} corresponds to 31.3 µmol L^{-1}~~;~~, 2 mg L^{-1} to 62.5 µmol L^{-1} and 5 to 156.3 µmol L^{-1}.

2.2.5 Path analysis

Partial least squares structural equation modelling (PLS-SEM) was used to quantify the relationship between potential controlling variables and SPM and DO concentrations. Path analysis was performed using SmartPLS4 software (Ringle et al., 2022). The strength of the relationships between variables is represented by a path coefficient (β). The path coefficient is equivalent to the standardised regression coefficient. β indicates the direction and strength of the relationship between the explanatory variables and the explained variable. We used the following variables: discharge, tidal range, salinity, temperature, SPM and DO.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Long-term, low-frequency monitoring of dissolved oxygen

The low-frequency dataset acquired at Rochefort covers 48 years, corresponding to very contrasting hydrological and climatic conditions. During this period, river discharge~~s~~ varies between 7.3 and 479 m^3 s^{-1}, covering the whole range of ~~the Charente~~ discharge in the Charente. As river discharge decreases, salinity increases, ~~ranging~~ from 0.25 to 17.8 (Figures 2a & 3a; Table A2). The highest salinities are usually observed in summer and autumn, during the low water period ~~of~~ of the Charente ~~river~~. SPM concentrations show a wide range of variability, from 0.002 to 12 g L^{-1}, i.e. more than 4 orders of magnitude (Fig. 2b & 3e). The lowest values are observed in winter, then there is an increase in SPM to several g L^{-1} throughout the seasons. As for salinity, the highest SPM values are usually observed in summer and autumn. The TMZ is present at Rochefort at least from July to November.

Figure 2: Low frequency records of (a) salinity(a), (b) suspended particulate matter (b), temperature (c) and dissolved oxygen (e) concentration (d) and (d) saturation (e) in surface waters (0.5 m) **at Rochefort for the period 1975-2022 and at Tonnay-Charente and** ~~Matrou~~ Saint-Savinien **for the period 2011-2022. The panel on the right shows the box plot (min, max, median, mean, 25%-75%) of each parameter per station (Roc: Rochefort; ToC: Tonnay-Charente; StS: Saint-Savinien) for the period 2011-2022. The dashed and bold line shows the threshold of 5 and 2 (hypoxia) mg L⁻¹, respectively.**

~~It is also during this period that the water~~ Water temperature is also ~~the~~ highest in summer, typically between 20.5 and 27.0°C, while in winter it ranges between 3 and 10.2°C (Fig. 2c & 3b). ~~On the basis of the high summer salinity and temperature, it was already possible to speculate that these conditions were not favourable for good oxygenation of the Rochefort waters, since solubility decreases as these parameters increase. An additional parameter in this highly turbid estuary is the occurrence of TMZ: SPM, also monitored, confirms the presence of TMZ at Rochefort when the Charente discharge is less than 70 m³ s⁻~~

235 ~~¹, which is usually the case in summer and early autumn (data not shown). Indeed,~~ DO concentration and saturation show ~~a~~ strong seasonal signal (Fig. 2d/e & 3c/d). The highest values are observed in winter and early spring, ~~when water temperature is the lowest and salinity negligible,~~ with concentrations and saturation usually ~~higher than~~above 10 mg L^{-1} and 90 %. This corresponds to the period when water temperature is lowest and salinity and SPM are negligible. There are even a few occasions in spring when there ~~are~~ is strong oversaturation, up to 154 %, which may be associated with the spring bloom, as already

240 reported in turbid estuaries (Goosen et al, 1999). Then, from June to October, the Rochefort estuarine water~~s~~s ~~of Rochefort are~~ are always undersaturated as the water is the warmest, saltiest and most turbid~~, the lowest value recorded being 15%~~. August is the month of consistently low dissolved oxygen, with minimum monthly saturations and ~~maximum~~ concentrations of ~~8~~253% and ~~2~~4.~~2~~6 mg L^{-1}~~,~~ respectively~~, due to the warmest and saltiest waters~~. However, critical DO ~~levels~~ values ~~were~~ are also observed in July, with the lowest value measured by the low frequency survey being 1.3 mg L^{-1} (15% of saturation) on 23 July

245 1990. As the water cools in autumn, although still turbid, ~~the~~ oxygenation gradually improves, with DO reaching values > 5 mg L^{-1} from October onwards and returning to a situation close to saturation.

250

Figure 3: Monthly box plots of (a) salinity, (b) temperature, and dissolved oxygen (c) concentration and (d) saturation, and (e) suspended particulate matter (SPM) based on the long-term low-frequency monitoring at Tonnay-Charente for the period 1975-2022. In Figures 2c and 2d the thresholds of 5 mg L^-1 and 2 mg L^-1 or 30%, and 100% are indicated by dashed, bold and dotted lines
255 **respectively. Grey vertical lines separate the seasons. The numbers in brackets (Figure 3e) correspond to the maximum SPM value for the months considered.**

About 6 km upstream, at Tonnay-Charente, the water quality station was added much later, starting in 2011 (Figure 2 ; Table A2). Not surprisingly, the salinity range is slightly lower than ~~in~~ at Rochefort, from 0.3 to 14.2. Saline intrusion (salinity > 1) is usually observed in summer and autumn. Temperatures are ~~rather~~ quite similar, with a general monthly mean temperature

260 difference of less than 0.5°C between Tonnay-Charente and Rochefort. Dissolved oxygen concentrations are also ~~the~~ highest in winter and spring, ranging from 8.3 to 12.4 mg L^-1 and being close to the saturation equilibrium (95-104%). Spring is a transitional period, after which there is a ~~strong~~ sharp deterioration ~~of~~ in water oxygenation. In July and September, the average

monthly DO for the period 2011-2022 is 4.4 and 4.7 mg L⁻¹, with extremes ranging from 1.9 to 5.1 mg L⁻¹. In November, DO concentrations return to ~~good levels, with~~ values above 5.9 mg L⁻¹, although undersaturation remains (57-93%)~~,~~ as the Charente

265 discharge increases and the water is cooler. ~~There is a striking feature in the WDO minimum in the Tonnay-Charente record (Figure 2): whereas~~ hile the lowest minimum DO recorded at Tonnay-Charente ~~value~~ (3.8 mg L⁻¹) ~~during the period 2011-2015~~ was observed on 27 July 2012 during the period 2011-2015, ~~since 2016~~ there ~~is~~ is a ~~tendency~~ trend ~~to reach~~ towards ~~even~~ concentrations below 3.5 mg L⁻¹ almost every year since 2016 (Figure 2d). Unfortunately, the small number of measurements (6 per year) does not allow conclusions to be draw~~n~~ ~~conclusions~~ on ~~this~~ a possible trend.

270 The seasonal pattern of DO variability is ~~rather~~ similar between the stations of Rochefort and Tonnay-Charente, 6 km apart, both located in the turbidity maximum zone from spring to autumn. On the other hand, at Saint-Savinien, ~~which is~~ located ~~at~~ about 28 and 22 km ~~away~~ upstream of~~from~~ the other two ~~other~~ stations, the low-frequency survey shows a large difference in salinity and dissolved oxygen (Figure 2; Table A2). This water quality station is located in the fluvial Charente estuary, ~~which means that~~ as confirmed by the negligible salinity ~~is negligible~~ throughout the year (< 0.53). The main difference concerns DO

275 concentrations, which range from 5.9 mg L⁻¹ to 13.9 mg L⁻¹ (saturation between 63 and 168 %), indicating good conditions for biological communities regarding ~~this the criteria~~ parameter.

Figure 4: High-frequency record of (a) salinity, (b) suspended particulate matter, (c) temperature and (d) dissolved oxygen in the surface waters of Tonnay-Charente during the summers of 2018 and 2019, and from spring to autumn 2020. The Charente river
280 **discharge (b) is also shown in the upper panel.** Details ~~on~~ of the instrumented periods are given in Table 1. T~~The blue curve corresponds to the (b) fluvial Charente discharge and the grey line to t~~he theoretical dissolved oxygen concentration at saturation, calculated from temperature and salinity, **is plotted along the measured DO concentration. The dashed and bold lines indicate the threshold of 5 and 2 mg L⁻¹, respectively.**

Long-term, ~~low~~ low-frequency monitoring highlights that severe summer deoxygenation occurs in the inner Charente estuary~~,~~ near and upstream of the town of Rochefort. Values below 3 mg L^{-1} were measured several times from 1975 to 2022, with ~~out~~ no a clear trend over decades. Given the low number of measurements (6 to 12 per year, depending on the water quality station concerned), it is not possible to estimate whether the lowest values have been reported and the duration of po~~tential~~ssible hypoxic events.

3.2 High-frequency monitoring of dissolved oxygen

3.2.1 DO dynamic at Tonnay-Charente

The first high-frequency record at Tonnay-Charente ~~covers~~ covered three weeks~~,~~. from 17 July to 9 August 2018, when the Charente River entered a low-water period~~,~~ with a decrease in discharge from 45 to 23 m^3 s^{-1} ~~and the presence of a moderate TMZ~~ (Figure 4). At the same time, a short heat wave occurred at the beginning of August, causing a rapid increase in temperature, with a maximum of 28.4°C, and highlighting the high variability of dissolved oxygen. In this context, it can be seen that dissolved oxygen ~~is~~ was already highly undersaturated at the end of July, with the difference between measured and theoretical DO being around 2.3 and 3.8 mg L^{-1} depending on the tidal moment. Then, coinciding with the rise in temperature, which peaked on 6 August, there was a rapid decrease in dissolved oxygen, with daily concentrations falling from 5.4 to 3.1 mg L^{-1} (63.3% to 37.9%) in a few days. The DO deficit reached ~~up to~~ 5.7 mg L^{-1}, indicating an increase in DO consumption. ~~Then, there~~ There ~~is~~ was then a ~~restoration~~ recovery of DO with the decrease in temperature to reach almost 5 mg L^{-1} at the end of the summer 2018 observation. The minimum DO ~~reached~~ at the beginning of August 2018 is 2.5 mg L^{-1}, just above the hypoxic level. However, if we consider~~ing~~ the threshold of 5 mg L^{-1} for daily mean DO as good for the ecosystem (Best et al~~.~~, 2007), 13 of the 22 ~~days~~ observed days were below this criterion.

In 2019, the instrumentation period ~~will be~~was 70 days, with an early implementation date of 29 June 2019 due to a first heat wave at the end of June. The low water period was particularly severe in the summer of 2019, with a~~n mean~~ average river discharge of 12 m^3 s^{-1} (July to September) compared to 25 and 17 m^3 s^{-1} in 2019 and 2020 (Figure 3). ~~These~~ This very low discharge~~flows~~ of the Charente in 2019 ~~are~~ was reflected in much higher salinities in 2019 compared to 2018. Semi-diurnal tidal and spring/neap cycles ~~are~~ were clearly visible in the salinity signal. Temperature variations~~, on the other hand, are~~ were influenced by the different warm periods and heat waves. DO concentration ~~is~~ was also highly variable, influenced by the tidal cycle, which ~~is~~ was outweighed by the effect of warm periods, during which minima~~,~~ as low as 1.65 mg L^{-1}~~,~~ ~~are~~ were measured. From 11 July 2019~~,~~ dissolved oxygen ~~will be~~was below 5 mg L^{-1} most of the time. On 23 July and 9 August 2019, the concentration even ~~reaches~~ reached very critical levels, below the hypoxic threshold (2 mg L^{-1}) and an oxygen deficit ~~higher of~~ more than 6 mg L^{-1}. 69 of the 71 observed days ~~observed~~ were below ~~below~~ 5 mg L^{-1}, but always ~~higher than~~above 2 mg L^{-1}.

In 2020, still at Tonnay-Charente, monitoring started at the end of April~~,~~ when the Charente discharge decreased to levels that allowed TMZ to develop and persist until the autumn floods (Fig. 4). SPM concentrations varied ~~between~~ from 0.1 ~~and~~ to ~~up to~~ 8 g L^{-1} during the summer (~~data not shown~~Fig. 4c). ~~T~~SPM showed the presence of TMZ when the Charente discharge was less than 70 m^3 s^{-1}, from mid-May to November. ~~he extended monitoring period supports the observations from the low-frequency monitoring, with DO concentrations approaching equilibrium in spring and autumn and hypoxic events in summer. From June to September 2020, representing a total of 92 days, there were 74 and 2 days during which the daily mean DO was below the threshold of 5 and 2 mg L^{-1}, respectively.~~ The autumn cooling in 2020 ~~is~~ was accompanied by a rapid recovery of ~~the~~ oxygenation to higher levels (i.e.~~,~~ > 5 mg L^{-1}), although ~~the suspended matter load~~SPM concentrations ~~is~~ are still high (> 1 g L^{-1}). From June to September 2020, representing a total of 92 days, there were 74 and 2 days, respectively, ~~during which~~where the daily mean DO was below the threshold of 5 and 2 mg L^{-1}~~, respectively.~~

The extended monitoring period supports the observations from the low-frequency monitoring, with DO concentrations approaching equilibrium in spring and autumn and hypoxic events in summer.

The periods during which hypoxia levels ~~are~~ have been measured are usually short, typically between 3 and 6 days, which calls into question the frequency of measurements ~~to be able~~ to capture them. The low frequency measurements have been plotted along the high-frequency records (Fig. 4). A first comment is the good agreement between the two datasets~~, which came as a pleasant surprise. Indeed, o~~One might have expected a problem with the quality of the low-frequency monitoring data, which is carried out by operators rather than scientific teams. The agreement between the two datasets~~This~~ validates the use of these data, which are often discarded by research teams. However, the main problem is the inability of the low-frequency data to capture large variations in DO. This is not surprising with only two measurements in summer at the water quality station of Tonnay-Charente (July, September). On the basis of the low-frequency monitoring, the water quality in 2018 and 2019 would be described as relatively good, i.e. DO > 5 mg L^{-1}, ~~in terms of dissolved oxygen~~. In 2020, ~~as it happens~~coincidentally, both measurements were taken when ~~the~~ dissolved oxygen was at hypoxic levels. But these comparisons illustrate, if proof were needed, that high-frequency monitoring is essential in macrotidal estuaries. ~~However~~Furthermore, ~~the~~ compar~~ison~~ng the 2018-2020 high-frequency survey with the long-term, low-frequency AEAG ~~survey~~ monitoring does not allow us to determine whether these severe deoxygenations have changed significantly since 1975.

3.2.2 DO dynamic apart Tonnay-Charente

A second site was instrumented in 2019 at L'Houmée (PK37), ~~roughly~~ halfway between Tonnay-Charente (PK25.9) and Saint-Savinien (PK47.6). The data show the same trends as those for Tonnay-Charente, but with ~~attenuated~~ attenuation in the case of salinity due to ~~a~~ the more upstream location ~~(data not shown)~~: salinity never exceeds 2. Dissolved oxygen also shows a temporal variability similar to that of Tonnay-Charente, but with a slightly wider range of values (Figure 5). During the tidal cycle, minimum values, typically between 2.1 and 2.4 mg L^{-1} (24.4-30.4%), are always observed at high tide, indicating the advection of oxygen-poor water from downstream (Figure 6). As a result, the minimum DO is always equal to or higher than the values recorded at Tonnay-Charente. Conversely, at low tide, the river water, which tends to be more oxygenated, as shown for Saint-Savinien, influences the site: the DO range is then much higher between 4.7 and 7.9 mg L^{-1} (58-92%) (Figures 5 & 6). As a result, the daily mean DO ~~in L'Houmée~~ is higher in L'Houmée than in Tonnay-Charente, with only half of the observation days having a daily mean of less than 5 mg L^{-1} (most of them in Tonnay-Charente).

Figure 5: High-frequency record of salinity and dissolved oxygen in the surface waters of L'Houmée during summer 2019 (a) and Martrou and Rochefort during summer 2020 (b). The ~~Comparison of summer~~ summer DO range (minimum, ~~mean,~~ maxim~~al~~um) at ~~Martrou, Rochefort,~~ Tonnay-Charente ~~and L'Houmée during summer 2019 and 2020~~is shown for comparison.

In 2020, two stations were instrumented in the downstream sector between Soubise (KP12.2) and Tonnay-Charente (KP25.9) at Matrou (KP16.1) and Rochefort (KP19.8). Matrou has a slightly higher salinity than Rochefort due to its position further upstream and closer to the mouth, but both are higher than Tonnay-Charente: maximum salinities are 15.0, 14.4 and 7.7 respectively (Figures 5 & 6). The DO concentration in Rochefort and Tonnay-Charente shows limited differences (Fig. 5). In summer, the minimum DO values are usually the same, but in September, when the maximum DO values are higher in Tonnay-Charente, Rochefort appears as the station with the lowest DO. Martrou was monitored for a shorter period, and its minimum values are similar to those of the other stations. Only briefly, during high tide, DO could be 1 to 2 mg L^{-1} higher than at the other two stations due to the advection of more oxygenated seawater. In short, the waters of Rochefort always showed the lower maximum values, which could be explained by the fact that this station is surrounded by oxygen-poor waters, whereas Tonnay-Charente and Martrou could benefit from the advection of ~~marine or~~ fluvial or marine waters with a higher DO concentration, depending on the Charente discharge and the tidal range.

370

Figure 6: Comparison of changes in salinity and dissolved oxygen with the tidal cycle: left panel (a; b) at L'Houmé and Tonnay-Charente on 2 and 3 July 2019 and right panel (c and d) at Martrou, Rochefort, Tonnay-Charente on 10 and 11 August 2020. PK corresponds to the kilometric distance of the site from the estuary mouth. LT and HT correspond to low and high tide, respectively; the solid and dashed rectangles underline these periods. The dottet lines on the DO curve at L'Houmée (Fig. 6b) are an indication
375 **of the missing data due to the grounding of the pontoon at low tide.**

3.3 Loca~~lisa~~tion of the ~~Oxygen~~ oxygen minimum zone

The identification of hypoxia in the Charente estuary then required an assessment of the area of the oxygen minimum zone (OMZ), based on several approaches. Firstly, the low-frequency data set has already been used to define the maximum extent
380 of the OMZ. For the period 2011-2022, the mean DO at Saint-Savinien is 9.1 ± 1.5 mg L^{-1} (87.9 ± 9.1%) and the lowest value recorded, in September 2022, is 5.9 mg L^{-1} (62.7%) (Table A2; Fig. 2). Thus, ~~although there is evidence of summer deoxygenation, it remains well above the hypoxic threshold (< 30%). A~~at Saint-Savinien, in the fluvial estuary about 48 km from the mouth of the Charente, the oxygenation of the water remains well above the hypoxic threshold (< 2 mg L^{-1} or 30%). ~~seems to be good.~~ On the other hand, the two water quality stations in the inner estuary (Rochefort and Tonnay-Charente) are
385 clearly located in an area where severe deoxygenation has already been observed in summer and early autumn. In Tonnay-Charente, ~~the 2018-2020~~ high-frequency monitoring in 2018-2020 confirms the occurrence of low DO levels in summer~~the decreasing trend in summer DO, as already~~ ~~detected~~ shown by ~~the~~ low-frequency monitoring since 2011. ~~At this stage~~On the basis of these observations, ~~this~~it is already possible to place~~s~~ an OMZ in a sector between 19.8 and 25.9 km from the mouth, with an upstream extension ~~below~~ of less than 47.6 km.
390 More recent instrumentation ~~of~~ at sites upstream and downstream of Tonnay-Charente may provide additional clues (Figure 5). Firstly, the high-frequency records confirm ~~that~~ the presence of the OMZ ~~is~~ in this sector, with strong deoxygenations and more frequent summer hypoxia than suggested by the low-frequency monitoring. Secondly, the low DO recorded at L'Houmée (PK37) shows that the upstream extent of the OMZ is not very far from Saint Savinien (PK47.6). In the lower reaches, the occurrence of severe deoxygenation at Martrou (PK16.1) ~~reveals~~ shows that the OMZ extends ~~toward~~ downstream.

395

Figure 67: Longitudinal distributions of (a) salinity, (b) temperature and (c) dissolved oxygen in surface water according distance from the mouth. The position of the instrumented sites is indicated by the dashed lines

To determine the spatial extentsion of deoxygenation, we applied a complementary strategy based on longitudinal surveys along the estuary axis was applied, as developed in the Gironde estuary (Schmidt et al., 2019) (Figure 67). The three

400 longitudinal transects show a concave profile, with minimum values in a sector between 16 and 37 km from the mouth, which correspondsing to a larger area than that estimated on the basis of monitoring. On 23/24 July, L'Houmée (PK37) was visited twice, at low and high tide. At low tide, DO was 6.14 mg L^{-1}, a high level explained by the arrival of oxygenated river water, such as that observed at Saint-Savinien, 10.5 km further upstream. At high tide, however, DO drops to 2.65 mg L^{-1}, indicating the advection of DO-depleted estuarine water. This site must therefore be close to the maximum upstream extension extent of

405 the oxygen minimum zone. DO values are higher, typically > 4-5 mg L^{-1}, at low tide at L'Houmée and at high tide at Matrou, due to the downward and upstream migration of well oxygenated fluvial and marine waters respectively. We can therefore assume that the boundaries of the OMZ are close to these two sites. The OMZ thus appears to be anchored around Rochefort, with an extension of several tens of kilometres upstream and less than 10 kilometreskm downstream of the town. It should be noted that the measurements were taken in surface water, and that values close to anoxia are to be expected at the bottom of

410 the water column. The spatial extension extent of the deoxygenated zone is critical for benthic species and fish, especially for migratory species (Hugman et al, 1984; Alabaster and Gough, 1986; Vaquer-Sunyer and Duarte, 2011; Woodland et al., 2009).

Figure ~~7~~8: (a) daily-mean DO and (b) number of hours per day during which dissolved oxygen concentration of surface water in Tonnay-Charente is within the range of: < 2, 2-5, and >5 mg L⁻¹, from May, 1 to October, 15 2020.

3.4 Duration of hypoxic periods

All the data presented in this work show that the Charente estuary has a summer ~~minimum~~ oxygen minimum zone with DO below 5 mg L⁻¹, with a spatial extent of about 25 km under current discharge and temperature conditions. ~~Estuarine~~ The estuarine waters in this OMZ could reach borderline or even hypoxic~~,~~ levels during tidal cycles (Figures 4 & 5). Depending on species and stage of development, fish can tolerate hypoxic conditions for short periods (Curran and Henderson, 1988). Therefore, in addition to estimating the extent of the OMZ, it is necessary to evaluate the duration of hypoxia to assess whether an estuary is of sufficient quality to maintain healthy conditions, particularly for migratory species (Hugman et al, 1984). For this evaluation, we ~~have~~ calculated the number of hours per day during which the DO concentration of the surface water in Tonnay-Charente was in the range of~~:~~ < 2, 3-5, >5-7 mg L⁻¹ in 2020, based on the high frequency monitoring, which has the interest ~~to~~ of cover~~ing~~ a large temporal period from spring to autumn. As described in section 3.2.1, DO levels are higher than 5 mg L⁻¹ until the beginning of July and after the end of September. ~~During the~~In summer, the daily average is usually ~~comprised~~ between 2 and 5 mg L⁻¹, with only two days ~~present of hypoxic~~ hypoxiaconditions ~~on the~~ 10th and ~~11th of~~ August. In the nearby Gironde estuary, water managers have ~~defined~~set a target of a minimum daily average DO target of 5 mg L⁻¹ to significantly improve water quality for ~~the~~ ecosystems and ~~for~~ downstream migration of juvenile fish (Schmidt et al., 2017). ~~In the light of~~According to these quality criteria, summer DO levels in Tonnay-Charente can already be considered insufficient. In addition, there are several periods where the daily mean DO is higher than 2 mg L⁻¹, but the measured DO is below the hypoxic threshold for 0.7 to 15.9 hours ~~a~~ per day (Figure ~~7~~8). As a result, fish in the Charente estuary can be exposed to hypoxic conditions for several hours a day or over several tens of kilometres in summer. The synthesis by Breitburg et al (2002) provides a comprehensive inventory of the effects of hypoxia on fish, which can lead to large reductions in the abundance, diversity~~,~~ and yield of fish in affected waters. Hypoxia and warming may also act synergistically, as hypoxia tolerance is generally lower in warmer waters, especially for larger fish (Sampaio et al., 2021; Verberk et al., 2022). Based on a review of the literature focusing on temperate estuaries, Arevalo et al. (2023) concluded that periods of low DO are generally episodic and do not necessarily pose a serious threat to estuarine organisms if they occur for very short periods and do not

recur. However, it is clear that the number of studies is limited ~~and rather dated~~. There is therefore a need for a better understanding of the thresholds required by biological organisms in estuarine waters.

Figure 89: Path- analysis to define the relative importance of the factors controlling the temporal variability of dissolved oxygen, (bold lines) and of suspended particulate matter (dashed lines) based on the Tonnay-Charente 2020 dataset ~~of Tonnay-Charente~~. The path analysis was performed ~~using~~ with the SmartPLS4 software (Ringle et al., 2023) using the following parameters: discharge, tidal range, salinity, temperature, ~~suspended particulate matter~~SPM and dissolved oxygen. The numbers on arrows correspond to the path coefficient (β), its thickness to the strength of the relationship between the possible controlling factor and the explained variable. The numbers in dark circles (SPM, DO) correspond to R².

3.5 Hierarchy in forcing factors

The restoration of good ecological status for transitional waters is an objective of the European Water Framework Directive (Best et al., 2007). Various measures could be implemented to mitigate hypoxia, and there are examples of improvements in European estuaries achieved by ~~the~~ install~~ation~~ng or ~~renovation~~ renovating ~~of~~ a wastewater treatment plant to reduce organic matter and nutrient inputs (Lajaunie-Salla et al., 2019). To optimise management strategies, modelling is an efficient tool to quantitatively test ~~the~~ potential solutions to mitigate hypoxia and ~~to~~ provide guidance for setting targets to maintain good water

455 quality in estuaries (Kemp et al., 2009; Lajaunie-Salla et al., 2019). However, such an exercise requires a good knowledge of the DO dynamics in the system under consideration, as estuarine deoxygenation is the result of a complex interaction of environmental factors.

The time series recorded in the Charente estuary show the high variability of DO and the occurrence of short and recurrent hypoxia in summer. For a first estimation of the controlling factor of ~~the~~ DO variability using a path-analysis, we used only
460 ~~the~~the high frequency ~~2020~~ data set ~~,~~ from 2020. ~~which~~ This has the ~~interest~~ advantage ~~to of include~~ including ~~also the~~SPM concentrations ~~of suspended particulate particles~~in addition to temperature, salinity and DO data (Schmidt and Diallo, 2023). It also covers a ~~temporal~~ time period from April to November, which encompasses the DO deficit ~~DO~~ period and then the temporal variability of ~~the~~ water quality parameters in different hydrological and meteorological contexts. Fig.
Based on the path analysis, three groups of parameters can be ~~First, the regression of the environmental parameters, i.e.~~
465 ~~discharge, tidal range, salinity, temperature, suspended particulate matter, with DO allowed to~~ distinguished ~~two groups~~ according to their influence on DO ~~variability~~. River discharge and tidal range have little direct influence (β < 0.10), but act indirectly by ~~affecting~~influencing the variability of salinity and SPM (Fig. ~~8~~9). SPM (b=-0.28) and salinity (b=-0.22) ~~The~~have a negative influence. ~~resulting model show that temperature, salinity and SPM account for 96% of the variability of DO~~However, temperature appears to be ~~The~~ the main ~~parameter controlling~~contributor to DO variability ~~is undoubtedly~~(β=-
470 0.71) ~~temperature~~. This is explained by the decrease in oxygen solubility (Conley et al., 2009) and the acceleration of ~~DO~~DO-consuming biogeochemical processes with increasing water temperature (Goosen et al., 1999). For the period 2018-2020, DO is always higher than 5 mg L^{-1} when the water temperature was below 18°C, even when TMZ is still present. To date, the water temperature at Rochefort and Tonnay-Charente is always below this threshold from October to April. Given the expected temperature rise in ~~the~~ south-west France, which could be as high as +4°C by 2100, the question arises as to the evolution of
475 the timing of the onset of unfavourable temperatures. The Charente estuary is a corridor for migratory species such as allis ~~chad~~shad, salmon and eel. The downstream migration of juvenile fish is most frequent in ~~the~~ autumn, when ~~the current~~present-day conditions are favourable for entering the estuary (EPTB, 2013). Just to the south, in the Gironde-Garonne-Dordogne estuary continuum, it has been shown that there is a limited period of favourable temperature and dissolved oxygen conditions for the European sturgeon breeding season (Delage et al., 2019). ~~The ongoing~~Continued increases in temperature and ~~the~~
480 associated deoxygenation are expected to further reduce their survival ~~periods even further~~, leading to a possible shift ~~of~~in their repartition northwards in the long term (Lassalle et al, 2010; Arevalo et al, 2023).

4. Concluding remarks

In short, the combination of historical low-frequency data and newly acquired high-frequency data has made it possible to highlight the occurrence of severe summer oxygen ~~deficiency~~deficit, even hypoxia, in the Charente estuary. The oxygen
485 minimum zone is located in the inner estuary, ~~in the vicinity of~~near Rochefort and Tonnay-Charente, and ~~has an~~ extension~~s~~ ~~for~~of 20-30 km. This work has demonstrated the need to monitor this small estuary ~~as well as those of the Loire and the Gironde~~, which ~~will is~~ be effective ~~from~~from 2021, ~~through~~ by the exten~~sion~~ding ~~of~~ a regional monitoring network ~~that was~~ initially developed in the Gironde estuary since 2004 (Etcheber et al., 2011). ~~Globally, there has been a decrease in dissolved oxygen in the oceans, which is more pronounced in coastal waters, leading to more frequent hypoxia events. However, hypoxia~~
490 ~~in estuaries receive less the attention than in coastal waters. Transferred to the macrotidal and highly turbid estuaries facing the Bay of Biscay, this led to the study of DO dynamics in the Charente estuary, one of the small estuaries between the large Loire and Gironde estuaries.~~ The evidence of summer hypoxia in the Charente estuary also ~~urges~~underlines the need to ~~investigate~~ study all the macrotidal and highly turbid small estuaries between the large Loire and Gironde estuaries~~facing the Bay of Biscay~~.

495

In any case, this highlights the need to focus on small estuaries in order to understand and predict future changes in the face of anthropogenic and climatic pressures. Reductions in wastewater pollution in recent decades have contributed to improvements in estuarine DO. However, the trajectory of estuarine DO is difficult to predict and will depend on their vulnerability to the effects of climate change. A better understanding of the thresholds required by biological organisms in estuarine waters is also needed to develop appropriate monitoring, remediation restoration and mitigation strategies. Temperature is a key parameter in macrotidal estuaries, which often have a turbidity maximum zone: such a feature promotes the occurrence of severe deoxygenation up to hypoxia. At the scale of an estuary and its catchment, there are almost no levers to limit water temperature increases. Supporting low summer flows with water stored in reservoirs can limit water warming, reduce the residence time of estuarine water, increase the inflow of more oxygenated river water, and limit the TMZ concentration and hence the oxygen consumption associated with bacterial degradation.

5. Appendice A

Table A1 Annual range (minimum, mean, maximum) of the Charente discharge for the period 2006-2022. Data are from
510   https://hydro.eaufrance.fr/sitehydro/R4230010/fiche corresponding to station Chaniers [Beillant].

Year	minimum	mean	maximum
2006	9.8	65.3	380
2007	14.4	75.2	584
2008	15.5	68.1	227
2009	8.4	48.6	425
2010	9.1	53.7	184
2011	6.7	33.1	294
2012	6.5	63.4	414
2013	17.5	99.4	464
2014	18.3	99.1	453
2015	14.7	52.5	200
2016	12.5	80.2	507
2017	8.5	31.0	181
2018	13.8	101.4	508
2019	7.4	69.1	321
2020	11.2	65.5	263
2021	14.9	71.4	485
2022	7.3	32.0	148

Table A1 Range of salinity, temperature (°C), dissolved oxygen, in concentration (mg L^{-1}) and saturation (%) for each water quality station whose data series is plotted in Figure 2. For Rochefort, calculations have been made for the whole dataset (1975-2022) and for the period 2011-2022 to allow comparison with the other two other stations which only cover the period 2011-2022. Bold and underlined numbers correspond to concentrations and saturation less than 3 mg L^{-1} or 35% and greater than 10 mg L^{-1} or 100% respectively.

Site	Years	Month	N	Salinity			Temperature (°C)			DO (mg L^{-1})			Saturation (%)		
				mean	min.	max.	mean	min.	max.	mean	min.	max.	mean	min.	max.
Rochefort	1975-2022	Jan	23	0.6	0.3	1.2	7.6	3.0	11.4	10.7	8.3	_12.4_	_89_	76	95
Rochefort	2011-2022	Jan	13	0.5	0.4	1.1	7.3	3.5	11.3	10.9	8.3	_12.4_	_89_	76	95
Tonnay-Ch.	2011-2022	Jan	11	0.6	0.4	2.1	7.9	5.2	10.3	10.9	9.0	_12.1_	_90_	78	98
St-SAvinien	2011-2022	Jan	12	0.5	0.4	0.5	7.6	4.9	10.3	10.7	8.3	_12.0_	_88_	70	97
Rochefort	1975-2022	Feb	31	0.7	0.3	3.0	9.0	4.0	12.7	10.8	8.3	_15.1_	94	69	_136_
Rochefort	2011-2022	Feb	13	0.6	0.4	2.7	10.0	7.3	12.7	10.8	9.6	_12.6_	94	85	_107_
Rochefort	1975-2022	Mar	38	0.8	0.3	6.7	10.9	5.9	14.7	10.8	9.3	_16.0_	_97_	85	_146_
Rochefort	2011-2022	Mar	12	0.5	0.4	0.7	11.6	8.5	14.7	10.4	9.6	_11.7_	94	88	_104_
Tonnay-Ch.	2011-2022	Mar	11	0.4	0.4	0.6	11.9	9.6	13.5	10.5	9.6	_11.0_	_96_	88	_104_
St-SAvinien	2011-2022	Mar	11	0.4	0.4	0.4	11.6	9.1	13.2	10.0	6.7	_10.8_	90	63	97
Rochefort	1975-2022	Apr	44	0.8	0.3	3.9	13.7	10.0	17.6	9.4	6.1	_13.2_	91	57	_129_
Rochefort	2011-2022	Apr	12	0.6	0.3	1.9	14.7	11.4	16.7	9.1	7.1	_10.5_	90	72	99
Rochefort	1975-2022	May	41	1.0	0.3	4.1	17.0	12.3	23.1	8.0	**2.7**	_12.4_	83	**28**	_146_
Rochefort	2011-2022	May	12	1.0	0.4	3.7	17.4	15.1	21.8	7.6	4.1	9.0	78	46	92
Tonnay-Ch.	2011-2022	May	11	0.7	0.3	3.8	17.7	14.8	20.8	7.9	5.8	9.3	84	64	96
St-SAvinien	2011-2022	May	11	0.3	0.3	0.4	17.6	14.9	21.0	8.8	7.7	_12.0_	92	82	_119_
Rochefort	1975-2022	Jun	48	1.5	0.3	9.7	20.1	14.0	25.3	6.9	**2.4**	_13.2_	77	**29**	_154_
Rochefort	2011-2022	Jun	12	1.1	0.3	6.2	21.3	18.4	25.3	6.1	**2.4**	8.3	68	**29**	92
Rochefort	1975-2022	Jul	44	2.6	0.3	9.5	22.4	18.8	26.5	5.4	**1.3**	_11.6_	62	**15**	_130_
Rochefort	2011-2022	Jul	11	3.9	0.3	9.5	23.7	21.2	25.8	4.8	3.1	7.5	56	36	79
Tonnay-Ch.	2011-2022	Jul	11	2.6	0.3	8.6	23.2	19.6	25.0	4.4	**2.0**	8.5	50	**24**	96
St-SAvinien	2011-2022	Jul	12	0.3	0.3	0.3	23.1	20.1	25.5	8.5	6.6	_13.9_	99	76	_168_
Rochefort	1975-2022	Aug	42	4.7	0.3	17.8	22.3	18.0	27.0	4.6	**2.2**	7.4	53	**26**	82
Rochefort	2011-2022	Aug	12	4.8	0.5	11.4	22.8	20.2	24.9	4.2	**2.2**	6.6	48	**26**	73
Rochefort	1975-2022	Sep	47	4.2	0.4	11.9	19.8	15.5	23.1	5.3	**2.7**	9.0	58	**32**	95
Rochefort	2011-2022	Sep	12	4.1	0.4	11.6	20.2	17.9	22.5	5.1	3.6	7.6	55	**39**	82
Tonnay-Ch.	2011-2022	Sep	12	4.1	1.0	14.2	19.8	18.0	22.0	4.7	**1.9**	6.7	51	**22**	73
St-SAvinien	2011-2022	Sep	12	0.3	0.3	0.4	19.6	17.7	22.3	7.7	5.9	8.8	84	68	93
Rochefort	1975-2022	Oct	45	4.4	0.3	17.7	16.0	10.5	20.1	6.5	3.9	_10.0_	66	40	97
Rochefort	2011-2022	Oct	12	4.1	0.4	13.4	16.2	13.2	18.6	6.6	4.4	8.2	66	46	83
Rochefort	1975-2022	Nov	40	2.3	0.4	9.3	11.1	6.5	14.3	8.7	5.3	_13.6_	80	50	_124_
Rochefort	2011-2022	Nov	13	1.8	0.5	7.0	11.5	8.4	14.3	8.6	6.9	9.8	78	66	87
Tonnay-Ch.	2011-2022	Nov	11	2.7	0.4	9.1	11.7	9.1	14.5	8.6	5.9	_10.7_	79	57	93
St-SAvinien	2011-2022	Nov	11	0.4	0.4	0.5	11.4	8.8	14.0	9.0	7.7	9.8	82	73	89
Rochefort	1975-2022	Dec	35	1.6	0.3	13.4	8.5	4.0	12.0	9.8	8.0	_11.4_	84	68	98
Rochefort	2011-2022	Dec	11	1.5	0.5	4.3	8.2	5.8	11.1	10.3	8.9	_11.3_	86	78	93

515

520

6. Data Availability

Data are available on data repositories as detailed in Table 1

7. Author contribution

SS: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Data Curation, Date analysis; I.I.D. Investigation, Resources, Project administration. SS prepared the manuscript with contributions from the co-author.

8 Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

9. Acknowledgment

This work was a contribution to the project QUEtSCHE (trajectoires de la QUalité des eaux et du fonctionnement des écosystèmes des EStuaires aquitains face au CHangEment climatique) funded by the OASU (Aquitaine Observatory of the Sciences of the Universe) and the AEAG (Agence de l'Eau Adour Garonne).

10. Special issue statement

The article is submitted to the special issue 'Low-oxygen environments and deoxygenation in open and coastal marine waters' edited by Grégoire M. et al

11. References

Abril, G., Commarieu, M.-V., Sottolichio, A., Bretel, P., and Guérin, F.: Turbidity limits gas exchange in a large macrotidal estuary, Estuar. Coast. Shelf S., 83, 342-348, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2009.03.006, 2009.

Allen, G. P., Salomon, J. C., Bassoullet, P., Du Penhoat, Y., and De Grandpré, C.: Effects of tides on mixing and suspended sediment transport in macrotidal estuaries, Sediment. Geol., 26, 69–90, https://doi.org/10.1016/0037-0738(80)90006-8, 1980.

Andrews, M.J., and Rickard, D.G.: Rehabilitation of the inner Thames estuary, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 11, 327–332, https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-326X(80)90051-X, 1980.

Arevalo E., Cabral, H.N., Villeneuve, B., Possémé, C., and Lepage M.: Fish larvae dynamics in temperate estuaries: A review on processes, patterns and factors that determine recruitment, Fish and Fisheries Fish., 27, 3200-3217. https://10.1111/faf.12740, 2023

Alabaster, J. S., and Gough, P. J.: The dissolved oxygen and temperature requirements of Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L., in the Thames Estuary, J. Fish Biol., 29, 613–621, https://doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1986.tb04977.x, 1986.

Auguet ,J.C., Montanié, H., Delmas, D., Hartmann , H.J., and Huet, V.: Dynamic of virioplankton abundance and its environmental control in the Charente estuary (France), Microbial Microb. Ecology Ecol., 50, 337–349, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-005-0183-2, 2005.

Benson, B.B., and Krause, D.: The concentration and isotopic fractionation of oxygen dissolved in freshwater and seawater in equilibrium with the atmosphere, Limnol. Oceanogr., 29, 620-632, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1984.29.3.0620, 1984

Best, M. A., Wither, A. W., and Coastes, S.: Dissolved oxygen as a physico-chemical supporting element in the water framework directive, Mar. Pol. Bull., 55, 53–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2006.08.037, 2007.

Billen, G., Garnier, and Rousseau V.: Nutrient fluxes and water quality in the drainage network of the Scheldt basin over the last 50 years. Hydrobiol., 540, 47-67, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-004-7103-1, 2005.

Breitburg, D.: Effects of hypoxia, and the balance between hypoxia and enrichment, on coastal fishes and fisheries, Estuaries 25, 767–781, https://doi: 10.1007/BF02804904, 2002.

Brosnan, T.M., and O'Shea, M.L.: Long-term improvements in water quality due to sewage abatement in the lower Hudson river, Estuaries 19, 890–990, https://doi.org/10.2307/1352305, 1996.

Chust G., Caballero A., Marcos M., Liria P., Hernández C., and Borja A.: Regional scenarios of sea level rise and impacts on Basque (Bay of Biscay) coastal habitats, throughout the 21st century. Estuar. Coast. Shelf. R., 87, 113-124, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2009.12.021, 2010.

Conley, D. J., Carstensen, J., Vaquer-Sunyer, R., and Duarte, C. M.: Ecosystem thresholds with hypoxia, Hydrobiologia, 629, 21–29, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-009-9764-2, 2009.

Curran, J.C., and Henderdon, A.R.: The oxygen requirements of a polluted estuary for the establishment of a migratory salmon, Salmo salar L., population, J. Fish Biol. 33, 63-69, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1988.tb05559.x, 1988.

Delage, N., Couturier, B., Jatteau, Ph., Larcher, Th., Ledevin, M., Goubin, H., Cachot, J., and Rochard, E.: Oxythermal window drastically constraints the survival and development of European sturgeon early life phases, Environmental Environ. Science Sci. and Pollution Pollut. ResearchR., https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-4021-8, 2019.

Diaz, R.J., and Rosenberg, R.: Spreading dead zones and consequences for marine ecosystems. Science 321:926–929. https://doi:10.1126/science.1156401, 2008.

ETPB Charente: La préservation des poissons migrateurs, Protecting migratory fish, http://www.fleuve-charente.net/domaines/la-preservation-des-poissons-migrateurs, 2023.

Etcheber, H., Schmidt, S., Sottolichio, A., Maneux, E., Chabaux, G., Escalier, J.-M., Wennekes, H., Derriennic, H., Schmeltz, M., Quéméner, L., Repecaud, M., Woerther, P., and Castaing, P.: Monitoring water quality in estuarine environments: lessons from the MAGEST monitoring program in the Gironde fluvial-estuarine system, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 15, 831-840, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-15-831-2011, 2011.

Gilbert, D., Rabalais, N.N., Diaz R.J., and Zhang J.: Evidence for greater oxygen decline rates in the coastal ocean than in the open ocean. Biogeosciences, 7:., 2283–2296.-, https://doi:10.5194/bg-7-2283-2010, 2010.

García-Barcina, J.M., González-Oreja, J.A., and De la Sota, A.: Assessing the improvement of the Bilbao estuary water quality in response to pollution abatement measures. Wat. Res., 40, 951–960, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2006.01.004, 2006.

Goosen, N. K., Kromkamp, J., Peene, J., van Rijswijk, P., and Breugel, P. van: Bacterial and phytoplankton production in the maximum turbidity zone of three European estuaries: the Elbe, Westerschelde and Gironde, J. Marine Syst., 22, 151–171, 1999.

Goulletquer, P., and Heral,M.: Marine molluscan production trends in France: from fisheries to aquaculture. In: Mackenzie Jr., C.L., et al. (Eds.), The History, Present Condition and Future of the Molluscan Fisheries of North and Central America and Europe. Europe NOAA Technical Report NMFS, 129, 3, pp.137-164, 1997.

Hugman, S. J., O'Donnell, A. R., and Mance, G.: A survey of estuarine oxygen concentrations in relation to the passage of migratory salmonids. Wat. Res. Centre Rep. 745-M, 1984.

Jalón-Rojas, I., Schmidt, S., and Sottolichio, A.: Comparison of physical forcings affecting suspended sediments dynamics in two macrotidal, highly-turbid estuaries. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 198, 529-541, https://doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2017.02.017, 2017.

Kemp, W. M., Testa, J. M., Conley, D. J., Gilbert, D., and Hagy, J. D.: Temporal responses of coastal hypoxia to nutrient loading and physical controls, Biogeosciences, 6, 2985–3008, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-2985-2009, 2009.

Le Pape, O., Chauvet, F., Mahévas, S., Lazure, P., Guérault, D., and Désaunay, Y.: Quantitative description of habitat suitability for the juvenile common sole (Solea solea, L.) in the Bay of Biscay (France) and the contribution of different habitats to the adult population., J. Sea Res., 50,139-149, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1385-1101(03)00059-5, 2003.

Lanoux A., Etcheber H., Schmidt S., Sottolichio A., Chabaud G., Richard M., and Abril G.: Factors contributing to hypoxia in a highly turbid, macrotidal estuary (the Gironde, France)., Environ. Sci. Process Impacts 15, 585–595., https://doi: 10.1039/c2em30874f, 2013.

Lajaunie-Salla K., Sottolichio A., Schmidt S., Litrico X., Binet G., and Abril G.: Comparing the efficiency of hypoxia mitigation strategies in an urban, turbid tidal river, using a coupled hydro sedimentary– biogeochemical model.-v, Natural Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 19, 2551-2564, https://doi: 10.5194/nhess-19-2551-2019, 2019.

Lassalle, G., Crouzet, P., Gessner, J., and Rochard, E.: Global warming impacts and conservation responses for the critically endangered European Atlantic sturgeon. Biol. Conserv., 143 :, 2441–2452, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.06.008, 2010.

Modéran, J., David, V., Bouvais P., Richard, P., and Fichet D.: Organic matter exploitation in a highly turbid environment: Planktonic food web in the Charente estuary, France. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci S., 98, 126-137, https://doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2011.12.018, 2012.

Neumann, B., Vafeidis, A.T., Zimmermann, J., and Nicholls, R.J.: Future coastal population growth and exposure to sea-level rise and coastal flooding – a global assessment. Plos One 10, e0118571, https://doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118571, 2015

Ringle, C. M., Wende, S., and Becker, J.-M.: SmartPLS 4." Oststeinbek: SmartPLS GmbH, http://www.smartpls.com, 2022.

Ringle, Ch.M., Sarstedt, M., Sinkovics N., and Sinkovics, R.R.: A perspective on using partial least squares structural equation modelling in data articles, Data in Brief, 48, 109074, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2023.109074, 2023.

Sampaio, E., Santos, C., Rosa, I.C., Ferreira, V., Pörtner, H.-O., Duarte, C.M., Levin, L.A., and Rosa, R.: Impacts of hypoxic events surpass those of future ocean warming and acidification, Nat. Ecol. Evol. 5, 311–321, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-01370-3, 2021.

Schmidt, S., and Diallo I.I.: Temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, suspended particulate matter and fluorescence in surface waters of the Charente estuary (North East Atlantic, France). SEANOE, https://doi.org/10.17882/95886, 2023.

Schmidt S., Bernard C., Escalier J.-M., Etcheber H., and Lamouroux M.: Assessing and managing the risks of hypoxia in transitional waters: a case study in the tidal Garonne River (South-West France). Environ. Sci. Pollut. R., Env. Sci. Pol. Res. 24, 3251–3259, https:// 10.1007/s11356-016-7654-5, 2017.

Schmidt S., Diallo I.I., Derriennic H., Fallou H., and Lepage, M.: Exploring the susceptibility of turbid estuaries to hypoxia as a prerequisite to designing a pertinent monitoring strategy of dissolved oxygen. Front. Mar. Sci. 6, 352, https://doi: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00352, 2019.

SIE Adour Garonne, Adour Garonne Basin Water Information System, https://adour-garonne.eaufrance.fr/, 2023.

Simon, A., Poppeschi, C., Plecha, S., Charria, G., and Russo, A.: Coastal and regional marine heatwaves and cold spells in the northeastern Atlantic, Ocean Sci., 19, 1339–1355, https://doi.org/10.5194/os-19-1339-2023, 2023.

Sperna Weiland, F.C., Visser R.D., Greve P., Bisselink B., Brunner L., and Weerts A.H.: Estimating Regionalized Hydrological Impacts of Climate Change Over Europe by Performance-Based Weighting of CORDEX Projections. Front. Water, 3, https://doi.org/10.3389/frwa.2021.713537, 2021.

Stramma, L., Prince E.D., Schmidko S., Luo J., Hoolihan J.P., Visbeck M., Wallace D.W.R., Brandt P., and Körtzinger A.: Expansion of oxygen minimum zones may reduce available habitat for tropical pelagic fishes. Nat. Clim. Change 2, 33–37, https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1304, 2011.

Talke, S. A., Swart, H. E., and de Jonge, V.N.: An Idealized Model and Systematic Process Study of Oxygen Depletion in Highly Turbid Estuaries, Estuar. Coast., 32, 602–620, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-009-9171-y, 2009.

Toublanc, F., Brenon, I., and Coulombier, T., Formation and structure of the turbidity maximum in the macrotidal Charente estuary (France): Influence of fluvial and tidal forcing, EstuarineEstuar., Coastal Coast. and Shelf Science S., 169, 1-14, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2015.11.019, 2016.

Uncles, R. J., Stephens, J. A., and Smith, R. E.: The dependence of estuarine turbidity on tidal intrusion length, tidal range and residence time, Cont. Shelf Res., 22, 1835–1856, doi:10.1016/S0278-4343(02)00041-9, 2002.

Vaquer-Sunyer, R., and Duarte, C.M., Temperature effects on oxygen thresholds for hypoxia in marine benthic organisms. Glob. Change Biol., 17, 1788–1797, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02343.x, 2011.

Verberk, W.C.E.P., Sandker, J.F., van de Pol, I.L.E., Urbina, M.A., Wilson, R.W., McKenzie, D.J., and Leiva, F. P.: Body mass and cell size shape the tolerance of fishes to low oxygen in a temperature-dependent manner, Glob. Change Biol., 28, 5695-5707, https://doi: 10.1111/gcb.16319, 2022.

Villate, F., Iriarte, A., Uriarte, I., Intxausti, L., and de la Sota, A.: Dissolved oxygen in the rehabilitation phase of an estuary: Influence of sewage pollution abatement and hydro-climatic factors. Mar. Pol. Bul., 70, 234-246, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2013.03.010, 2013.

695

Wang, W., Zhang, F., Zhao, Q., Liu, C., Jim, C.Y., Johnson, V.C., Tan, M.L.: Determining the main contributing factors to nutrient concentration in rivers in arid northwest China using partial least squares structural equation modelling, J. Envir. Manage., 343, 118249, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.118249, 2023.

700   Woodland, R. J., Secor, D. H., and Niklitschek, E. J.: Past and future habitat suitability for the Hudson river population of shortnose sturgeon: a bioenergetic approach to modeling habitat suitability for an endangered species, Am. Fish. Soc. Symp. 69, 589–604, 2009.

Zhang, H., and Li, S.: Effects of physical and biochemical processes on the dissolved oxygen budget for the Pearl River Estuary
705   during summer. J. Mar. Syst., 79, 65-88, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2009.07.002, 2010.