Characterization of the benthic biogeochemical dynamics after flood events in the Rhône River prodelta: A data-model approach

Eva Ferreira[1,✱], Stanley Nmor[1,✱], Eric Viollier[1], Bruno Lansard[1], Bruno Bombled[1], Edouard Regnier[1], Gaël Monvoisin[2], Christian Grenz[3], Pieter van Beek[4], and Christophe Rabouille[1]

[1]Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, LSCE/IPSL, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ-Université Paris-Saclay, 91198, Gif sur Yvette, France
[2]Géosciences Paris-Saclay (GEOPS), CNRS and Université Paris-Saclay, 91405, Orsay, France
[3]Mediterranean Institute of Oceanography (MIO), Aix Marseille Université, Université de Toulon, CNRS, IRD, MIO UM 110, 13288, Marseille, France
[4]Laboratoire d'Etudes en Géophysique et Océanographie Spatiales (LEGOS), CNES/CNRS/IRD/Université Toulouse III Paul Sabatier, 31400, Toulouse, France

✱ : The two first authors (E. Ferreira and S. Nmor) contributed equally to this paper.

Correspondence to: Eva Ferreira (eva.ferreira@lsce.ipsl.fr)

Abstract.

At the land-sea interface, the benthic carbon cycle is strongly influenced by the export of terrigenous particulate material across the river-ocean continuum. Episodic flood events delivering massive sedimentary materials can occur, but their short-term impact on carbon cycling is poorly understood. In this paper, we use a coupled data-model approach to estimate the temporal variations of sediment-water fluxes, biogeochemical pathways and their reaction rates during these abrupt phenomena. We studied one episodic depositional event in the vicinity of the Rhône River mouth (NW Mediterranean Sea) during the fall-winter of 2021-2022. The distribution of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) and methane (CH_4) were measured in sediment porewaters collected every 2 weeks before and after the deposition of a 25 cm sediment layer during the main winter flood event. Significant changes in the distribution of DIC, SO_4^{2-} and CH_4, concentrations were observed in the sediment porewaters. The use of an early diagenetic model (FESDIA) to calculate biogeochemical reaction rates and fluxes revealed that this type of flood~~ing~~ event can increase the total organic carbon mineralization rate in the sediment by 75% a few days after deposition~~.~~, In this period, sulfate reduction is the main process contributing to the increase in total mineralization relative to non-flood deposition. ~~essentially by increasing the sulfate reduction contribution to total mineralization relative to non-flood depositional period. It~~ The model predicts a short-term decrease of the DIC flux out of the sediment from 100 to 55 $mmol\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$ after the deposition of the new sediment layer with a longer-term increase by 4%, therefore implying an initial internal storage of DIC in the newly deposited layer and a slow release over relaxation of the system. Furthermore, examination of the stoichiometric ratios of DIC and SO_4^{2-} as well as model output over this five-months window shows a decoupling between the two modes of sulfate reduction following the deposition - organoclastic sulfate reduction (OSR) intensified in the newly deposited layer below the sediment surface, whereas anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) intensified at depth below the former buried surface. The bifurcation depth of sulfate reduction pathways, i.e. the sulfate-methane transition zone (SMTZ), is shifted deeper by 25 cm in the sediment column following the flood deposition. ~~This depth-wise bifurcation of both pathways of sulfate reduction in the sediment column is clearly related to the deepening of the sulfate methane transition zone (SMTZ) by 25 cm after the flood deposition.~~ Our findings highlight the significance of short-term transient biogeochemical processes at the seafloor and provide new insights on the benthic carbon cycle in the coastal ocean.

1 Introduction

River-dominated ocean margins (RiOMars) are crucial areas linking land and open ocean. They play a key role in marine nutrient and carbon cycles (McKee et al., 2004; Cai, 2011; Bauer et al., 2013; Bianchi et al., 2018). These dynamic environments are known to have high riverine input and sedimentation rate (Aller, 1998). Furthermore, coastal sediments account for 85% of long-term organic carbon burial in the ocean, with deltaic environments accounting for the majority (Burdige, 2005), but they are also powerful biogeochemical reactors (Aller et al., 1996; Rassmann et al., 2016). The large deposition of riverine (or terrigenous) particulate organic matter (POM) on the seafloor can result in the storage of organic carbon (OC) but also in strong benthic mineralization rates, dominated by sulfate reduction and methanogenesis (Mucci et al., 2000; Burdige and Komada, 2011). In deltaic sediments, which receive large amounts of POM, anaerobic respiration is one of the most important pathways for the remineralization of organic carbon (Canfield 2004; Canfield and Thamdrup 2009; Pastor et al., 2011a). As an example, the prominent anoxic pathway in the Rhône River prodelta is sulfate reduction accounting for approximately 70% of the total organic carbon mineralization rate in these sediments (Pastor et al., 2011a). This anoxic mineralization of organic carbon is supplemented by methanogenesis which can account for up to 35% of total organic matter degradation in sediment where a portion of reactive organic matter remains after complete sulfate exhaustion (Egger et al., 2016). The methane fluxes are controlled by the anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) in the subsurface sulfate-methane transition zone (STMZ; Boetius et al., 2000). Together, these processes modulate anoxic-based carbon cycling in coastal and deltaic sediments, therefore generating large quantities of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), and RiOMar systems are often considered as CO_2 sources to the atmosphere (Cai, 2011; Bauer et al., 2013).

Flood events are especially significant along river-dominated margins and particularly for smaller river systems where sediment transport to the ocean preferentially occurs during extreme precipitation events (Bourrin et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2015). These materials can be subjected to secondary transport by waves and currents with a repeated cycle of resuspension and deposition (Ulses et al., 2008; Moriarty et al., 2017) as they discharge to the adjacent deltas and shelves. Furthermore, episodic events are also important in determining the locations and magnitude of hotspots OC burial on the coastal margin. This is especially true during large storms that can greatly increase both river discharge and sediment load, resulting in increased sediment discharge to depositional zones along the shelf (Eglinton 2008). During flood periods, large amounts of sediment and terrigenous OM are delivered to the adjacent delta and shelf. For example, the Eel River (Northern California) is a major source-to-sink conduit for large sediment transport, delivering between 60 and 80% of discharged fine-grained sediment to the adjacent marine depocenter during large winter storms (Wheatcroft and Sommerfield 2005). Similar large deposition of sediment over relatively short period of time have been documented elsewhere, near the Island of Taiwan (Liu et al., 2013), the Mekong delta (Manh et al., 2014) or the Yangtze River-estuary depositional system (Dai et al., 2018) to name a few.

In the Rhône River (NW Mediterranean Sea), flood events can account for 80% of annual terrigenous particle inputs (Antonelli et al., 2008; Eyrolle et al., 2012), which at times can deliver up to 30 cm of sediment deposition on the Rhône River prodelta located in the Gulf of Lion (Charmasson et al., 1998; Antonelli et al., 2008; Lansard et al., 2009; Cathalot et al., 2010). These sediments are mostly deposited in the prodelta as previously shown by Wu et al. (2018) using beryllium-7 ([7]Be), a natural short-life radionuclide which traces deposits of riverine suspended particulate matter (SPM). These winter events are abrupt and therefore difficult to document precisely. As a resultConsequently, few studies have been conducted on the biogeochemical response of coastal sediment following intense export of sediment and organic carbon (Cathalot et al. al., 2010; Pastor et al., 2018). Furthermore, we can expect that the frequency and intensity of flood events will increase as a result of climate change (Day et al., 2019; Lionello et al., 2023). However, due to the unpredictable nature of meteorological and flood events, it is difficult to monitor these intense events.

Many efforts have been made to incorporate biogeochemical processes operating in the sediment into mathematical models (Berner, 1980). These early diagenetic models have been heavily used to investigate the fate and transport of a selected set of chemical species in the seafloor (Aguilera et al., 2005). Recent non-steady state diagenetic models based on previous numerical representations of sediment transport and reactions (Rabouille and Gaillard 1991; Soetaert et al., 1996; Wang and Van Cappellen 1996; Berg et al., 2003) have demonstrated the importance of explicitly depicting event-driven processes (De Borger et al., 2021; Nmor et al., 2022). The benefit of these models is that they take deposition thickness into consideration as a vital parameter for reproducing such an episodic event (Nmor et al., 2022). Combining sediment and porewater data can help to constrain model inputs and aid in the simulation of such depositional events.

The goal of this study was to examine the transient evolution of benthic carbon mineralization processes and their impact on sediment-water exchange during a flood event marked by large sediment deposition. We intend to characterize and quantify the changes that occur on several biogeochemical pathways and fluxes during these periods of substantial deposition of sedimentary material. We used a dualistic approach to solve this question by combining bi-monthly observational data on sediment evolution with a non-steady state early diagenetic model that calculates biogeochemical rates and fluxes. This multivariate perspective gave us a better understanding of the factors that control organic carbon remineralization and the relative shares of organoclastic sulfate reduction (OSR) and methanogenesis, together with anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM). We therefore addressed the question of how massive material deposition affects the biogeochemical carbon cycle in coastal sediment.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Study site

The Rhône River is the main source of freshwater, nutrients, organic matter and sediment for the Mediterranean Sea (Durrieu de Madron et al., 2000). It is characterized by a drainage basin of 97800 km^2 and an average water discharge of 1700 $m^3\ s^{-1}$ with a marked seasonality between low-water discharge (<700 $m^3\ s^{-1}$) in summer and high-water discharge (>3000 $m^3\ s^{-1}$) in fall and winter (Pont et al., 2002). The Rhône River turbidity plume extends mainly southwestward into the Gulf of Lion, with an average thickness of 1 m (up to 5 m) (Many et al., 2018). The Gulf of Lion is a microtidal, wave-dominated system, with a tidal range of 30 to 50 cm. Due to salt induced flocculation (Thill et al., 2001), most suspended particulate matters (SPM) carried out by the Rhône River settle in front of the mouth, on the prodelta (Maillet et al., 2006; Estournel et al., 2023). The study site (station Z, water depth 20 m, Fig. 1) is located on the delta front, at a distance of 2 km from the river mouth, and is characterized by a mean apparent accumulation rate of up to 35 to 48 $cm\ yr^{-1}$ (Charmasson et al., 1998). The site is defined by geographic coordinates (lat. 43°19.1°N and long. 04°52.0°E), but the constraints of sea work (e.g. ship drift) lead to a positioning variability estimated at a perimeter of 60m around these coordinates.

110

Figure 1: Map of the Gulf of Lion (Rhône prodelta) including the location of the sampling station (station Z).

Table 1: Temporal sampling coverage and location of sampling sites during the winter season of 2021-2022.

Cruises	Date	Rhône River water flow (m3 s-1)	Lat (°N)	Lon (°E)
SB7	03 November 2021	2057	43°19.066'	4°52.023'
SB7bis	19 November 2021	830	43°19.066'	4°52.023'
SB8	01 December 2021	905	43°19.032'	4°51.952'
SB9	07 January 2022	2533	43°19.111'	4°52.048'
SB9bis	19 January 2022	1318	43°19.096'	4°52.034'
SB10bis	23 February 2022	1972	43°19.131'	4°52.071'
SB11	08 March 2022	1110	43°19.108'	4°52.089'

The fall-winter monitoring (AMOR SB) took place bi-monthly from November 2021 to March 2022 (Table 1) when the
115 weather permitted with sampling cruises onboard the *RV Antédon II* (IFREMER-FoF). The Rhône River flows were
recovered from the Hydroréel database at the Tarascon-Beaucaire station (hydrometric station V720001002). The SPM
content are recovered from the database of the Rhône Sediments Observatory. Missing data are estimated empirically using
the relationship between flows and Cesiums (Cs) determined from sediment rating curves (Lepage et al., 2022).

2.2 Sediment and porewater sampling and analyses

120 Sediment cores were collected at each of the cruises reported in Table 1 with an UWITEC single corer (USC 09000)
equipped with a weight of 30 kg. The length of the coring tubes was 120 cm with an internal diameter of 9 cm. At least, two
sediment cores were retrieved with a well-preserved sediment-water interface (SWI). One core was dedicated to the
sampling of sediment porewaters and the second core was cut into slices for further laboratory analysis. Sediment porewaters
were extracted using syringes connected to porous Rhizon with an average pore diameter of 0.1 μm (Seeberg-Elverfeldt et

al., 2005). The vertical sampling resolution was 2 cm for the first 10 cm and then 4 cm down to the end of the core. At each sampled depth between 12 and 15 mL of porewater were extracted. The content of each syringe was immediately subsampled after extraction. For dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) analysis, 5 mL samples were poisoned with 10 µL of supersaturated $HgCl_2$ and stored in 10 mL glass vials at 4°C until analysis. Concentrations of DIC were analyzed by a LI-COR infrared detector with a DIC analyzer ASC-1 (Apollo SciTech) on 0.75 mL samples, as described in Rassmann et al. (2016). The relative uncertainty was ± 0.2%. For SO_4^{2-} analysis, 2 mL were subsampled and acidified with 8 µL of HNO3 and stored in Eppendorf tubes at 4°C until analysis. Concentrations of SO_4^{2-} were analyzed on 100 µL samples with a liquid phase ion chromatography system (ICS 1000 $Dionex^{TM}$) with AG14 precolumn, AS14 column and AERS 500 suppressor configuration at Geosciences Paris-Saclay laboratory, as described in Rassmann et al. (2020). The relative uncertainty was ± 0.3%.

On the same core, sub-core samples were taken for CH_4 analysis with a 5 cm resolution in side holes using two sharpened 5 mL syringes of 1 cm diameter. The contents of two syringes of the same level were quickly introduced into empty pre-weighed 60 mL vials with 35 mL of potassium hydroxide (KOH, 1 $mol\ L^{-1}$) (Magen et al., 2014). The vials were then directly sealed, shaken and stored upside down in the dark. Back in the laboratory, CH_4 concentrations were analyzed with a micro-gas chromatograph Agilent Technologies® 490 GC. Measurements were made in three 60-second analyses, with 1.5 ml gas samples taken from the "headspace" (Rassmann et al., 2020). The calibration was performed with a standard gas of CH_4 at 104 ppm with a reproducibility of 1%. Data obtained indicate a percentage of CH_4 in the headspace which allows the calculation of CH_4 quantity in headspace by dividing the volume of CH_4 by the molar volume of a gas at 1 atm. This quantity is then used to back calculate the CH_4 concentration in the porewater using porosity and sediment weight, with an estimated accuracy of 5%.

The second sediment core was sliced as follows: every 0.5 cm for the first 2 cm of the core, every 1 cm down to 10 cm, every 2 cm down to 20 cm and finally every 5 cm for the rest of the core. Sediment samples were stored in freezer bags preserved at -20 °C. One part of the sediment samples was used to determine the organic carbon (OC) content, reported in % dry weight sediment. Sediment layers were freeze-dried, crushed and decarbonated by successive acidification baths (HCl 10%) over several days after rinsing. Homogenized and accurately weighed subsamples were analyzed by a Carlo-Erba NA-1500 Elemental Analyzer. The average OC contents are calculated for the first 30 cm sediment before and after the flood.

Another part of this sediment core was used to analyze beryllium-7 ([7]Be) activity within three months after sample collection by using low-background gamma-ray spectrometry at the LAFARA underground laboratory (van Beek et al., 2013). Between 8.0 and 12.5 g of dry sediment were analyzed during 48 h using a Mirion-Canberra planar detector (germanium crystal of 230 cm[3]) equipped with LYNX (Mirion-Canberra) electronics and an electric cooling system (CryoPulse® 5 plus provided by Mirion-Canberra). The [7]Be activities are reported with 2 sigma uncertainties.

2.3 Stoichiometric ratio

The anaerobic mineralization of buried organic matter by sulfate reduction (Reaction R1) and the anaerobic methane oxidation (AOM, Reaction R2) reactions provide theoretical stoichiometric ratios ($r_{c:s}$) of SO_4^{2-} consumption to bicarbonate ion production.

$$2CH_2O + SO_4^{2-} \rightarrow 2HCO_3^- + H_2S \quad (R1)$$
$$CH_4 + SO_4^{2-} \rightarrow HCO_3^- + HS^- + H_2O \quad (R2)$$

This ratio can be used to identify the key process that dominates mineralization in sediments from porewater measurements (Burdige and Komada 2011). The $r_{c:s}$ (Equation 1) of the present dataset were calculated as described by Burdige and

Komada (2011). The slope of the property-property plot of ΔDIC versus ΔSO_4^{2-} was corrected by the diffusion coefficient ratio at in situ temperature (Li and Gregory 1974) in order to eliminate the effect of transport by diffusion (Burdige and Komada 2011).

$$r_{c:s} = \frac{D_{HCO_3^-}}{D_{SO_4^{2-}}} \cdot \frac{\Delta DIC}{\Delta SO_4^{2-}} \quad (1)$$

Before the flood event $r_{c:s}$ were calculated on the whole core. After the deposition event, two $r_{c:s}$ were calculated as a function of depth, the first on a surface layer between 1 and 25 cm and the second from 25 cm to the bottom of the core.

2.4 Numerical modelling

The model FESDIA is a time-dependent early diagenesis model designed for perturbation studies. This model is made up of a set of coupled nonlinear partial differential equations that describe the distribution of porewater species at different depths. This model is notable for its ability to simulate event-driven dynamics such as sudden sediment deposition as a result of flood input. Details of the model formulations and equations were described in Nmor et al. (2022). Here, we briefly outline important processes involving sulfur and methane cycle as well as parameterization considered necessary for the representation of the winter flood situation the Rhône River prodelta.

The model considers the entire sequence of OM remineralization pathways in the sediment, including OM remineralization coupled to oxygen, nitrogen, iron and manganese oxides, sulfate, and, finally, methane production. In general, organic matter oxidation follows the formalism of a cascading sequence of these terminal electron acceptors (Canfield and Thamdrup, 2009). The organic matter modelled is made up of two degradable fractions with different reactivities. This decay is modelled as a first-order rate law and the contribution of the different mineralization pathways to total OC mineralization is determined by the limitation and inhibition of the different primary oxidants in the sediment. and is dependent on the limitations of specific oxidants and their inhibition. Secondary reactions involving reduced species include nitrification, reoxidation of reduced metals, methane oxidation (see below) via oxygen, sulfide reoxidation by iron and manganese hydr(oxides), and iron-sulfide precipitation. Table 2 contains a summary of the parameters used in the model. These values were either derived from previous steady-state modeling works in the Rhône prodelta sediment (Pastor et al., 2011a; Ait Ballagh et al., 2021) or in other cases, where no model parameter value was known for the Rhône prodelta sediment, values from other literature sources were calibrated with the observed data.

Table 2: Summary of parameters used in the FESDIA model. (I) independent parameters derived from experiment or field observation external to actual data being simulated (C) constrained parameters obtained from range of literature sources (M) model-derived parameters fitted to the observed data. FDET refers to labile fraction and SDET refers to semi-labile fraction of organic carbon. Literature sources includesinclues (1) Pastor et al. (2011a), (2) Soetaert et al. (1996), (3) Ait Ballagh et al. (2021), (4) Rassmann et al. (2020), (5) Wang and Van Cappellen (1996) and (6) Van Cappellen and Gaillard (2018).

	Description	Parameters	Units	Type	Source
Cflux	total organic C deposition	15000	nmolC cm^{-2} d^{-1}	I	1
pFast	part FDET in carbon flux	0.5	-	C	1
FeOH3flux	deposition rate of FeOH3	7000	nmolC cm^{-2} d^{-1}	M	-
MnO2flux	Flux of Mn Oxides	1500	nmolC cm^{-2} d^{-1}	M/C	-/5
NCrFdet	NC ratio FDET	0.14	molN molC^{-1}	I	2
NCrSdet	NC ratio SDET	0.1	molN molC^{-1}	I	2

	Description	Parameters	Units	Type	Source
O_{2bw}	upper boundary O_2	238	mmol m^{-3}	M	-
NO_{3bw}	upper boundary NO_3	0	mmol m^{-3}	M	-
NH_{3bw}	upper boundary NH_3	0	mmol m^{-3}	M	-
CH_{4bw}	upper boundary CH_4	0	mmol m^{-3}	M	-
DIC_{bw}	upper boundary DIC	2360	mmol m^{-3}	M	-
Fe_{bw}	upper boundary Fe^{2+}	0	mmol m^{-3}	M	-
H_2S_{bw}	upper boundary H_2S	0	mmol m^{-3}	M	-
SO_{4bw}	upper boundary SO_4^{2-}	33246	mmol m^{-3}	M	-
Mn_{bw}	upper boundary Mn2+	0	mmol m^{-3}	M	-
w	advection rate	0.08	cm d^{-1}	M	-
por0	surface porosity	0.83	-	I	4
pordeep	deep porosity	0.65	-	I	1/4
porcoeff	porosity decay coefficient	2	cm	I	1/4
biot	bioturbation coefficient	0.05	cm^2 d^{-1}	C	1
biotdepth	depth of sediment mixed layer	5	cm	I	3
biotatt	attenuation coeff below biotdepth	1	cm	I	3
irr	bio-irrigation rate constant	0.3	d^{-1}	M	-
irrdepth	depth of irrigated layer	7	cm	I	3
irratt	attenuation coeff below irrdepth	1	cm	I	3
temperature	temperature	15.6	dgC	M	-
salinity	salinity	37.8	psu	M	-
TOC0	refractory Carbon conc	1.0	%	M	-
rFast	decay rate FDET	0.5	d^{-1}	C	1
rSlow	decay rate SDET	0.0031	d^{-1}	C	1
rH_2SMnox	Rate of Reoxidation of H_2S by MnOx	0.001728	cm^3 nmol^{-1} d^{-1}	M/C	-/5
rFeS	rate constant of FeS production	0.5	cm^3 nmol^{-1} d^{-1}	I	5
rMnFe	Rate constant of Fe Reoxidation with MnOx	6.48 10^{-6}	cm^3 nmol^{-1} d^{-1}	M/C	-/5
rAOM	Rate constant for AOM	3 10^{-5}	cm^3 nmol^{-1} d^{-1}	C	6
alphaFDET	Enrichment factor for FDET	4	-	M	-
alphaSDET	Enrichment factor for SDET	1.8	-	M	-
alphaFeOH3A	Enrichm. factor for $FeOH_3A$	1	-	M	-
alphaFeOHB	Enrichm. factor for FeOHB	1	-	M	-
alphaMnO2A	Enrichm. factor for MnO2A	1	-	M	-

	Description	Parameters	Units	Type	Source
alphaMnO$_2$B	Enrichm. factor for MnO2B	1	-	M	-

2.5 Methanogenesis

Below the sulphidic zone, organic carbon is that remains is subsequently remineralized via methanogenesis. The product of this fermentation of organic matter in depth by anaerobic archaea is methane (CH_4) and can be represented as follows (Reaction 3) :

$$2\ CH_2O \rightarrow CH_4 + CO_2 \qquad \text{(R3)}$$

In the Rhône River proximal prodelta, evidence of high apparent sedimentation deposition ($>30\ cm\ yr^{-1}$) and high particulate organic carbon flux ($657\ gC\ m^{-2}\ yr^{-1}$) have been observed (Durrieu de Madron et al., 2000; Pastor et al., 2011a). As a result, high methane production in deep sediment is likely (Garcia-Garcia et al., 2006; Pozzato et al., 2018; Rassmann et al., 2020). In the model, the accumulation of methane derived from carbon remineralization is limited by the equilibrium between dissolved and free gas which can occurs at around 90 ppm (or 6 mM) in shallow sediment of the Rhône prodelta (Garcia-Garcia et al., 2006). This is done by considering methane removal into either free gas as (Equation 2):

$$CH_{4gas} = max\left(0, k_{gas} * \left(CH_4 - CH_{4equil}\right)\right) \qquad \text{(2)}$$

where CH_{4equil} is the equilibrium concentration for which observed/simulated methane transition goes into to hydrate or gas phases.

2.6 Methane oxidation

The methane produced deep down in the sediment can diffuse upward and be re-oxidized in the presence of oxygen (Reaction 4) with a simple first-order rate expression used in the model:

$$CH_4 + 2O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2O \qquad \text{(R4)}$$

However, an important part of this investigation involves the interaction between the sulfur and methane cycle. Critical to this link is the role of anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) (Reaction 2). The AOM is a vital microbial process which acts as a barrier to the extent of the upward methane flux from the deeper sediment. The AOM occurs at the nexus of sulfate depletion and methane production; at a depth horizon typically referred to the sulfate-methane transition zone (SMTZ). This reaction is modelled as a first-order process involving both CH_4 and SO_4^{2-}.

$$AOM = rAOM \times CH_4 \times SO_4^{2-} \qquad \text{(3)}$$

where rAOM is the constant apparent AOM reaction rate. and Aas this pathway of sulfate reduction occurs at a much slower rate than the sulfate reduction coupled to organic carbon oxidation (Van Cappellen and Gaillard 2018), the value R_{AOM} was set to 3×10^{-5} cm^3 /nmol^{-1} /d^{-1}.

2.7 Model configuration

The FESDIA model was implemented in a 1 m sediment domain with variable depth resolution. Sediment thickness increases from 1 mm at the surface to about 6 cm at the base of the domain. For our application, we used a sedimentation rate of 30 $cm\ yr^{-1}$ (Lansard et al., 2009) and the degradation constant of the labile carbon ($rFast$) was tuned to 0.05 d^{-1}.

225   Other parameters relevant for this particular simulation were derived from other literature sources and a listing is provided in Table 2.

Porosity was modelled as an exponential decay with depth increasing from 0.83 at the surface to an asymptotic value of 0.65 at depth according to observations.~~following the obtained data.~~ Bioturbation was constant in the first 5 cm with rate of 0.05 $cm^2\ d^{-1}$ and exponentially attenuated below with reduced fauna activity. Based on the low bioturbation rate observed at

230   station Z and the dominance of flood deposition on sedimentation (Pastor et al., 2011a), the FESDIA model is used with a constant bioturbation rate over the study period (Nmor et al., 2022). Solutes pumping via bio-irrigation was also modelled. A summary of the parameters used in the model is described in Table 2.

The deposition of flood materials was carried out in similar manner as described in Nmor et al. (2022). Here, we imposed a singular flood scenario with a thickness of 25 cm. The inclusion of this single event was dictated by the dominant presence

235   of an abnormally high SPM concentration observed during the winter flooding season as recorded by the SORA monitoring station located in Arles, 40 km upstream from the river mouth (Fig. 2). As such, we assumed that deposition during this flood period only lagged by a few days from the observance of high TSM load. This forces the date used for the deposition in the model (03 January 2022). The deposited material thickness in the model is indirectly diagnosed using measurement of porewater solute distribution and strengthened by beryllium-7 data collected after particle settling (see Section 3). During the

240   time of the event, the model assumes that the solute concentration within the perturbed layer is homogenous and that it resets to the bottom water concentration.

As described in Nmor et al. (2022), the deposited flood layer can have a different particulate composition than the pre-existing sediment. Depending on the nature of the flood, it can be enriched or depleted in reactive compounds for the two pools (~~two pools~~ of organic matter (C_{fast}^{org}, C_{org}^{slow}), of manganese oxides (MnO_{2A} and MnO_{2B}) and amorphous iron ($FeOOH_A$

245   and $FeOOH_B$). This~~) which~~ is translated in the model by the enrichment factor (α), specific to each type of compounds which were set to the values reported ~~. This α factor was set to the values reported~~ in Table 2.

3 Results

3.1 Water discharge and SPM concentrations in the Rhône River

Figure 2: (a) Mean daily water discharge of the Rhône River at Beaucaire-Tarascon, located 60 km upstream the river mouth. The grey dotted line symbolizes the average water discharge level. The red dotted line symbolizes the flood level at Beaucaire-Tarascon with the flood period symbolized with the red bar. (b) Total suspended particulate matter (SPM) concentration in the Rhône River at SORA station in Arles. The grey dotted line symbolizes the average sediment concentration. The 7 cruises are indicated by the black points.

During the sampling period (November 2021 - March 2022), the discharge rate of the Rhône River varied significantly with monthly fluctuations (Fig. 2). The average river discharge during this period was about $1800\ m^3 s^{-1}$. Daily discharges ranged from $553\ m^3 s^{-1}$, during the low flow period, to $5045\ m^3 s^{-1}$ in January 2023. This maximum river discharge is 3 times larger than the mean discharge experienced during this period and 2 times larger than the other monthly peaks observed in November, December and February. This highest discharge coincides with the maximum of SPM which is as high as $1420\ mg\ L^{-1}$. This high load of SPM is clearly discernible compared to the average SPM of $64\ mg\ L^{-1}$ experienced within the 5-months duration of the sampling campaign. The other peak in SPM recorded in November 2022 was also relatively small ($91\ mg\ L^{-1}$).

3.2 Porewater composition of DIC, SO₄²⁻ and CH₄ and its comparison to model outputs

The depth profiles of measured and simulated concentrations of DIC, SO_4^{2-} ~~sulfate~~, and CH_4 ~~methane~~ are presented in Fig. 3 for all time points during the winter monitoring. Prior to the flood deposition, porewater sulfate concentrations were constant in the first 10 cm of the sediment with concentration of 31-$32\ mM$. Below, SO_4^{2-} concentrations decrease smoothly with

depth to 40 cm where no or ~~/~~little sulfate was detected. The model reproduce~~d~~s a strong gradient of decreasing sulfate con~~centrations~~sumption between 10 and 40 cm. This gradient associated with sulfate reduction result~~ed~~s in a vertically-integrated sulfate consumption rate obtained by the model of 97 $mmol\ C\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$ prior to the deposition event. In contrast, methane was virtually zero in the upper 20 cm of the sediment. From 25 cm downward, methane buil~~t~~ds up in the porewaters with CH_4 rising up to 5 - 6 mM with depth. The trend in the data, supported by the model, indicate~~s~~d that a ~~linearly diffusing~~ CH_4 gradient exists at depth ~~(Fig. 3)~~. At depth, the entire contribution of methanogenesis to organic carbon mineralization as calculated by the model was 9 $mmol\ C\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$.

The net product of mineralization pathways is DIC. The measured DIC concentration in the bottom waters was 2.36 mM but gradually increases with depth up to 40 mM at the bottom of the sediment core. This DIC maximum at 40 cm was ~~largely reproduced~~well reproduced by the model simulations ~~as a result of organic matter mineralization~~due to the accumulation of organic matter mineralization. In general, DIC and SO_4^{2-} ~~sulfate~~ profiles were~~are~~ symmetrical (negatively correlated) throughout the time series. Before the major flood deposition, the changes of DIC, SO_4^{2-} ~~sulfate~~ and CH_4 profiles were limited. However, slight heterogeneity in porewater profile (3 different sampling times) le~~d~~ads to slightly less remarkable agreement between both model and data. Nonetheless, significant degrees of correspondence between the model and data were generally observed with a correlation coefficient higher than 0.8.

After the flood deposition at the end of December 2021, all concentration profiles of chemical species in the sediment porewaters showed significant changes. The flood input resulted in the intrusion of sulfate-rich porewater ($> 25\ mM$) deep down to 25 cm together with relatively low DIC concentration ($< 10\ mM$). This nearly constant sulfate concentration in the data was clearly reproduced by the model ~~(Fig. 3)~~. Below this depth, the profile was similar to the pre-flood situation with a slightly less steep gradient. The strong sulfate consumption between 40 and 75 cm was observed in the data and simulated by the model. For all three species (DIC, SO_4^{2-} and CH_4), the correlation between observed data and model was significant (r > 0.8). In both cases, a consistent increase to 6 mM for CH_4 and to 50 mM for DIC occurred while SO_4^{2-} reached zero at 60 cm. The depth of appearance of CH_4 was also shifted from an average position of 30 cm before the flood to a depth of 60 cm after the flood deposition. The maximum in both CH_4 and DIC concentrations occured at 70 and 60 cm respectively. In addition, the model reproduced the sulfate-methane transition zone observed in the data. The model was able to obtain a satisfactory fit generally over the 60 cm depth. Below this depth, the simulated profiles obtained in January 2022 showed a slight deviation from the measured profiles. Below the flood layer, the sediment profiles barely changed in the first month after the deposition of the flood layer. An excellent agreement between the model and data is observed. Over the longer term, little change in the SMTZ was observed over the two months following flood deposition. The upward diffusion of CH_4 was virtually not discernible on the CH_4 profiles. Two months after the event, the slow ~~sediment~~ upward shift in CH_4 was still undetectable and the depth of appearance of CH_4 was still around 60 cm.

In the upper layer, all solutes were slowly and steadily reorganized one month after deposition. Sulfate was still present down to 60 cm with significant decrease in the top 20 cm, and DIC accumulation in this layer of the sediment was obvious in both data and model results. However, the gradual establishment of a new gradient in this layer begins after that. As of February 2022, two gradients can be seen, one between 19 and 29 cm and the other between 40 and 61 cm.

Figure 3: Vertical distribution of Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC), sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) and methane (CH_4) concentrations in

sediment pore waters. Dots represent the measured porewater data and lines denote the model result.

3.3 Beryllium-7 (^7Be)

The vertical distribution of beryllium-7 ~~(Be)~~ measured after the flood showed significant activity down to 30 cm (Fig. 4). Because of the relatively short half-life of ^{7}Be (t$_{1/2}$=53.3 days), the presence of ^7Be within this active upper zone suggests a recently deposited layer. Higher ^{7}Be activities (up to 58.9 Bq kg-1) are observed in the upper 1.5 cm. Overall, the ^{7}Be

300 activities range between 19 and 54 Bq kg^{-1} on this depth interval and can be considered as relatively homogeneous, considering error bars (2 sigma). No significant ^{7}Be activity is observed below 30 cm. The significant ^{7}Be activities determined as deep as 30 cm thus likely indicate a recent, instantaneous deposition event. This depth interval likely reflects the thickness of the layer deposited following the flood event.

Figure 4: Vertical distribution of beryllium-7 activities in the sediment core collected after the flood event (07 January 2022), vertical bars represent the thickness of the sediment layer on which analysis were conducted.

3.4 Organic carbon content

305 The average organic carbon content (OC) of sediment cores collected before and after the main flood event is shown Table 3. The pre-flood sediment ha~~s~~d an average OC of 1.3 $\pm$ 0.3 % dw, for the same period the model simulated an average OC of 1.1 % dw. The shape of the pre-flood OC profile show~~s~~ed a decrease with depth, starting at 1.8 $\pm$ 0.2 % dw at the SWI and declining to 0.9 $\pm$ 0.2 % dw at 22 cm.

In contrast, post-flood OC distribution exhibit~~s~~ed a less clear pattern in its variation with depth with overall larger OC

310 content of 1.7 $\pm$ 0.3%, for this same period the model simulated an average OC of 1.8 % dw. Like the ^7Be profile, two possibly distinct regions were~~are~~ delineated in the OC content profile. In the upper 10 cm of sediment, organic carbon content varie~~s~~d from 2 to 1.3% after the flood deposition. Below, a slight increase in OC concentration wa~~i~~s observed with a

subsurface maximum of 1.6%. In the bottom layer, the average OC concentration ~~is~~was larger after the flood (1.5 ± 0.3 %) than before the flood (0.95 ± 0.3%) and w~~ai~~s similar to the average OC concentration in the top layer before the flood (1.6 ± 0.3 % dw). As the vertical resolution of the measurement of OC content is coarse in comparison to the beryllium profile, we did not attempt to correlate these two profiles.

Depth interval (cm)	Pre-flood OC (% dw)	Post-flood OC (% dw)
0-1	1.8	1. 9
4-5	1.5	2.0
9-10	1.5	1.3
20-25	0.9	1.5
25-30	1.0	1.6

Table 3: Organic carbon content at selected sediment depth (% dry weight) before and after the flood. Uncertainty of OC is ± 0.3%

4 Discussion

Extreme events, such as floods and storms, have measurable impacts on coastal benthic ecosystems near large river mouths and deltas (Tesi et al., 2012; Pastor et al., 2018). The present study provides a temporal picture of the impact of large sediment deposition on sediment biogeochemistry during the winter flooding event of 2021-2022 at a shallow station in the Rhône River prodelta. Using a combined data-model approach, we describe prominent features of this flood and their implications for carbon cycling in sediments, the evolution of diagenetic pathways and sulfate/methane transformation during early diagenesis.

4.1 Disturbance identification, flood and its deposit

The massive deposition of fresh sediments deeply modifies the quantity and quality of the OM and defines the so-called flood layer. Accurate identification of the flood signature, its thickness, ~~and~~ deposition timing and composition which is essential for proper model calibration is challenging. In most instances, the exact specification of when and where the sediment delivered via flood event is permanently deposited on the seafloor is highly uncertain (Tesi et al., 2012) due to possible physical mixing with underneath layer or biomixing (Wheatcroft 1990). Furthermore, while the thickness of the deposited materials during this type of event is an important marker that can be clearly distinguished, it can be smudged by other related events such as fluctuating deposition-erosion event (Bentley and Nittrouer 2003; Wheatcroft et al., 2006). For large gauged rivers, water discharge that characterizes floods are generally well documented (Zebracki et al., 2015). However, the solid discharge is generally less understood due to challenges in accurately sampling sediment ~~known due to difficulties in accurately sampling particles~~ during the flood periods.

The average Rhône River water flow was 1470 $m^3 \ s^{-1}$ in the winter season of 2021-2022, with short periods of significant higher discharge. There were four periods of increased flow, but only one exceeded the flood threshold of 3000 $m^3 s^{-1}$ at the end of December. This main winter flood corresponds well with the high concentrations of suspended particulate materials observed in the Rhône River (Fig. 2). Furthermore, Pont et al. (2002) highlighted the non-linear relationship between flows and SPM concentrations which corresponds to large particle discharge at the end of December. Accordingly, a period of time with a single large flood (that is simulated in the model) in the Rhône River prodelta station characterizes this study. This assumption is furthermore supported by the work of Miralles et al. (2005).

Figure 5: Concentration profiles of DIC, SO_4^{2-} and CH_4 in sediments porewaters from station Z. The dashed lines correspond to the position of the main gradient before (blue dots) and after (red dots) the flood. The arrow symbolizes the shift of this main gradient following the main winter flood.

345   In the absence of visual determination of the deposited flood layer, such as variations in the sediment color and texture, we investigated other indicators to evaluate the thickness of the flood layer. The downward shift of the dissolved sulfate gradient (SO_4^{2-}) as well as the gradient of DIC/CH_4 recorded 15 days after the flood ~~wa~~is used to determine the extent of the flood deposition. Our estimate amounts to an average of 25 cm (Fig. 5). In this example, we used the difference between 19 November 2021 and 19 January 2022. Using other pre-flood profiles would provide a similar estimate of the deposition

350   thickness, as pre-flood profiles are very comparable (Fig. 3).

This deposition thickness was validated by analyzing [7]Be concentrations measured during this time period, which revealed significant activities in the first 30 cm of the sediment (Fig. 4). This latter method that allows for the identification of recent sediment deposition of riverine origin has been widely used in other studies documenting flood deposition processes over short time scales (Feng et al., 1999; Palinkas et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2018). Indeed, the [7]Be is significantly detected until 30

355   cm depth (Fig. 4), which indicates newly deposited particles originating from the river down to this particular depth. However, the event layer thicknesses using [7]Be can be overestimated in locations where bioturbation activity by benthic fauna is non-negligible. In the Rhône River prodelta, this is ~~strictly~~ not the case as previous studies have shown that bioturbation rate is low at this location (Pastor et al., 2011b; Pruski et al., 2015) and probably even lower during flood deposition due to habitat disturbance. In general, combining the qualitative assessment of the shift in the post-flood profile

360   relative to the pre-flood, as well as [7]Be event-based data, helps in defining our estimate for the deposit thickness. The accurate establishment of this thickness deposit by the flood provides an important constraint to the numerical model and increases its overall skillfulness.

The organic carbon concentration (Table 3) also changes at depth due to the flood deposit. ~~Indeed T,~~ the low concentration of organic carbon observed below 25 cm before the flood are re~~plac~~filled by larger OC concentration after the deposit.

365   Furthermore, the new OC concentrations at depth are similar to those found in the top layer before the flood. This clearly indicate a downward shift of the former interface to a depth of 20-25 cm.

4.2 Transient evolution ~~of~~and mineralization pathways and rates

The accumulation of large amounts of terrigenous materials in the proximal region of the deltaic depocenter has large implication on the carbon cycle (Hedges and Keil 1995). This routing of carbon to the depocenter sediments results in substantial organic matter degradation despite acting as accumulation site (Jahnke et al., 1990; Cathalot et al., 2010; Cai 2011; Blair and Aller 2012). The transformation and short-term fate of riverine-OC under episodic events, on the other hand, is largely unknown (Carlin et al., 2021). In the Rhône River prodelta, model estimate of total organic carbon mineralization was around 148 $mmol\ C\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$ before the flood deposition. This estimate is comparable to the total mineralization rate reported in previous studies in the Rhône River prodelta. Under steady state condition, Pastor et al. (2011a) reported a total mineralization rate of 150 $mmol\ C\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$ while integrated mineralization rate in Ait Ballagh et al. (2021) averaged around 145 $mmol\ C\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$ for the proximal zone of the prodelta. As reported for other coastal systems with organic-rich sediments, anoxic diagenetic pathways involving organoclastic sulfate reduction (OSR) dominated in terms of contribution to total OC mineralization.

Prior to the flood event, strong sulfate consumption in the surficial sediment was observed in the measured data, as evidenced by a significant decrease in concentration between 10 and 40 cm, accompanied by as significant increase of DIC concentration. DIC accumulation in intermediate sediment layers was also very large for this time period. This pre-flood situation hints at a system under steady state condition. The combined contribution of sulfate reduction and methanogenesis (> 70% of total mineralization rate) corresponds with values observed in other studies in this shallow region of the prodelta where the anoxic contribution to OC mineralization ranged from 75 % to 89 % (Pastor et al., 2011a and references therein; Ait Ballagh et al., 2021).

Figure 6: Vertically integrated rate of organic carbon mineralization in the sediment of the station Z and relative contributions by different pathways. The grey bar and dashed line reflect the date of the main flood (06 January 2022).

After the flood, the deposition of a thick sediment layer drastically altered the vertical distribution of all profiles with a deeper sulfate penetration, a lower DIC concentration in the top 25 cm of sediment porewaters and a deeper depth of CH_4 appearance. ~~The addition of sulfate resulted in intense anoxic-favorable mineralization of degradable OC~~. As a result, model calculations suggest an immediate burst followed by an increase of sulfate reduction rates by 75 % (Fig. 6) in comparison to the pre-flood period. As the total rate of OC mineralization increased, the relative contribution of OSR to the total

mineralization gr~~o~~ews from 65%, before the flood, to 81% after the flood. The relative contribution of methanogenesis to the total OC mineralization rate decreased from 8% to 4% after the flood. At the same time, oxic mineralization which accounts for around 19% of total mineralization before the flood wa~~i~~s not modified after the flood due to its very short (daily) relaxation time (Nmor et al., 2022), and its share in total mineralization decreases to 11%. Thus, immediately after the flood and in the following two months, the OSR ~~i~~was largely ~~favored~~favoured among the diagenetic pathways in the sediments. This can be related to the large quantity of sulfate available after the flood deposition which traps sulfate-rich bottom water over the 25 cm added to the sedimentary column, and to its thermodynamically favorable energy yield compared to methanogenesis. These differences in carbon oxidation pathways before and after the flood also reflect the amount and quality of organic matter deposited in the sediment due to the flood input (Marvin-DiPasquale and Capone 1998; Nmor et al., 2022; Smeaton and Austin 2022). Indeed, these winter floods carry large amounts of metabolizable organic matter originating from terrestrial organic debris or riverine organic matter (Cathalot et al., 2010; Bourgeois et al., 2011; Pozzato et al., 2018) which may trigger intense recycling once deposited in the sediment (Pastor et al., 2018). In a second time period, unfortunately not covered by the data set, model simulations indicate that methane contribution increases following complete sulfate relaxation to its pre-flood levels 7 months later. The rate of CH_4 production by methanogenesis increases, reaching 50 $mmol\ C\ m^{-2}d^{-1}$, i.e. 27% of total mineralization at around 8 months after the event. This secondary increase of methanogenesis needs to be confirmed with new data, it could maintain the long-term relaxation of the system over more than a year for methane, therefore contributing to the accumulation of methane in prodelta sediments (Garcia-Garcia et al. ~~(~~2006~~)~~; Nmor 2023)~~et al., In prep)~~

4.3 Sulfate-Methane dynamics before and after the flood

In anoxic sediments, the carbon cycle is tightly coupled to sulfur/methane cycles (Jørgensen and Kasten 2006). The present dataset and model can be used to understand the impact of flood deposition on these coupled cycles. In the case of the sulfur cycle, 90 % of oceanic sulfate reduction takes place in sediments of the continental shelves (Jørgensen 1982; Jørgensen et al., 2019). The two main pathways for sulfate reduction is organoclastic sulfate reduction (OSR) that depends on the lability and amount of degradable organic matter and anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) where methane is anaerobically oxidized to bicarbonate using SO_4^{2-} as electron acceptor by a consortium of microbes including bacteria and archaea (Boetius et al., 2000) ~~(Jørgensen et al. 2~~al., 2019)~~. Although AOM and OSR can coexist, AOM frequently produces a deep sulfate reduction peak different from the shallower maximum of sulfate reduction by carbon oxidation, as it essentially occurs in the SMTZ with low SO_4^{2-} concentrations (Regnier et al., 2011) ~~requires considerably lower SO_4^{2-} concentrations~~. The relative degree of sulfate reduction in both modes regulates the flux of SO_4^{2-} and CH_4 across the SWI (Egger et al., 2018).

~~Because the~~The sedimentary CH_4 flux is largely controlled by the rate of AOM for this reason~~,~~ it is critical to understand how CH_4 and SO_4^{2-} fluxes are regulated (Dale et al., 2006) especially during flood times and following evolution which disrupts the steady-state control of the CH_4 flux. In sediments of the Rhône River prodelta, while bacterial-mediated sulfate reduction is the main oxidation process of OC, the quantification of the contribution of anaerobic oxidation of methane is missing from previous studies (Pastor et al., 2011a; Zhuang et al., 2018; Ait Ballagh et al., 2021). In this study, the data-model approach allows us to quantify the magnitude of the rate of AOM in the sediment.

The depth of maximum AOM before the winter deposition was situated at 35 cm (Fig. 7). The rate of AOM at this depth was 16 $mmol\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$. This is higher than values reported in marine sediments from the Skagerrak (5 $mmol\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$; Knab et al. ~~(~~2008~~)~~), the Baltic Sea (14 $mmol\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$; Treude et al. ~~(~~2005~~)~~), but significantly lower than AOM activities in the Gulf of Mexico or the hydrate ridge off the coast of Oregon (500 $mmol\ m^{-3}\ d^{-1}$; Treude et al. ~~(~~2003~~)~~).

Figure 7: Vertical distribution of anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) for pre-flood and post-flood period.

After the deposition of the flood layer, the AOM maximum rate remains the same in intensity but is shifted downward in the sediment by 22 cm (Fig. 7). Further cross-examination of the sulfate and methane concentration profiles reveals physical imprint of the flood deposit on the porewater chemical composition. Our data also show that penetration depth of SO_4^{2-} and appearance of methane exhibited a shift downward relative to the pre-flood situation confirming the AOM rate calculation by the model. This generated a downward shift of the sulfate-methane transition zone (SMTZ), defined as the area where sulfate and CH_4 are consumed simultaneously. This SMTZ depth below the seafloor acts as a proxy for CH_4 fluxes (Borowski et al., 1999). In general, the presence or absence of externally compressed upward fluid flow (Regnier et al., 2011), the occurrence of localized pockmark where advective transport occurs (Knab et al., 2008) and organic matter load all influence the depth of SMTZ. In our case, the observation of the porewater profiles and the SMTZ suggests a deepening with depth following the introduction of the ~~flood~~ 25 cm flood layer. Prior to the flood deposition, the SMTZ estimated by the data was located between 30 and 40 cm whereas the model estimated the precise location of SMTZ at 38 cm. This SMTZ depth shifted to 60 cm after the flood deposition. This vertical shift of the SMTZ in RiOMars system, like the Rhône prodelta, differs from other coastal areas where a shoaling of the SMTZ is experienced as a result of high load of organic matter driven by eutrophication (Crill and Martens 1983). In our case, the deep penetration of bottom water sulfate following the event indicates that the CH_4 generating processes occurs much deeper. Furthermore, the upward diffusion of the released CH_4 (Borowski et al., 1999) is rather slow. This sluggish flux of CH_4 to the SMTZ due to slow molecular diffusion of CH_4 (Regnier et al., 2011) is linked to the long relaxation timescale associated with processes occurring deep in the sediment (Nmor et al., 2022). Our data provide support to this hypothesis, which shows that the SMTZ in the pre-flood profiles did not change. It is noteworthy that in some other rapidly accumulation setting, increased organic matter load can change the depth

450   of the SMTZ by bringing it closer to the sediment surface (Crill and Martens 1983; Dale et al., 2019; Myllykangas et al., 2020). The dynamics of this change is unknown and depends on SO_4^{2-} exhaustion by early diagenesis processes. If this is the case, our observation here offers a different view on the role of instantaneous massive flood on sulfur-methane dynamics. This may be due to the low "reactivity" of the organic matter or the short time scale associated with the present study but further investigation of this topic needs to be done to understand the impact of large deposition events.

Figure 8: Temporal variation of DIC:SO_4^{2-} porewater ratio ($r_{c:s}$) calculated at the surface or at depth sediment layer. The red bar indicates the flood period, before the flood the entire core is considered like the surface layer. The blue line indicates the theoretical stoichiometric ratio of the anaerobic oxidation of methane (-1) and the red line indicates the theoretical stoichiometric ratio of the sulfate reduction (-2).

455   Quantitative assessment of data based DIC:SO_4^{2-} ratio ($r_{c:s}$) in the sediment cores between pre- and post-flood profiles reveals a drastic change in the stoichiometric ratios involving sulfate reduction partitioned by depth in the new sediment layer (Fig. 8). Before the winter flood, the $r_{c:s}$ varies between -1.7 and -1.4 with no clear pattern distinguishing the upper and lower zones of the sediment favored by either OSR or AOM. The sudden occurrence of the large sediment deposition triggers a post-flood bifurcation in sulfate reduction delineated by the SMTZ. In the newly deposited layer, the $r_{c:s}$ decreases

460   from -1.8 to -2.0, thus showing a strong tendency toward OSR with time, whereas deeper sediment is AOM-favored with $r_{c:s}$ slowly drifting to -1.1 in February (Fig. 8).

The implication of this event-driven drift between the upper and lower sediment is still unclear. However, the link between the temporal movement of CH_4 front and the migration of the AOM activity to changing conditions ~~has~~ was ~~been~~ previously highlighted (Regnier et al., 2011). While the model used here does not explicitly resolve the biomass involved in the

465   reactions (Dale et al., 2008) or consider the impact of bioenergetics (Dale et al., 2006), we show that a shift in the SMTZ is correlated with the depth of maximum AOM rate before and after the deposition (Fig. 7). Since SO_4^{2-} and CH_4 data are correctly reproduced by the model, the depth of the maximum AOM rate is thus essentially controlled by methane availability. This deepening of the AOM maximum suggests that in the advent of flood deposition, the AOM traps more efficiently the upward flux of methane. It has been suggested that the advent of shallower SMTZ would provide larger

470   chance of methane escape from the sediment to the overlying water and, ultimately, to the atmosphere (Borges and Abril 2011). Thus, the occurrence of this large deposition and the associated downward shift of SMTZ could increase the efficiency of the CH_4 trapping in sediments.

4.4 Flood induced fluxes and link to carbonate chemistry

~~Because of their high load of mineralizable organic matter, coastal~~Coastal sediment represents an important source of CO_2 to the coastal ocean and to the atmosphere (Egger et al., 2016). Changes in the intensity of various mineralization processes in response to flood deposition raise concerns about the consequences on fluxes of dissolved inorganic carbon at the sediment-water interface. This flux may have a broader impact on benthic-pelagic biogeochemistry, such as ocean acidification (CO_2) of the coastal waters. Current estimates of solutes release do~~es~~ not explicitly account for these event-driven sedimentations which might have different geochemical properties depending on the type of flood (Cathalot et al., 2010; Pruski et al., 2015). For example, our results show that the event deposits have higher % OC values and drive larger mineralization rates (Fig. 6) which result in substantial change of the sediment interstitial composition and possibly fluxes.

A remarkable change in the benthic exchange across the sediment-water interface was observed for DIC (Fig. 9). Before the flood deposition, the DIC flux out of the sediment amounts to 101 $mmol\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$. This calculated DIC efflux is larger than previous data based estimate but remains in the same order of magnitude as flux estimate reported in this proximal zone (18 - 78 $mmol\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$; Rassmann et al., 2020) as well as other river deltas: Mississippi River delta sediment (36 - 53 $mmol\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$; Rowe et al., 2002), Fly River delta (35-42 $mmol\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$; Aller et al., 2008) and Guadalquivir River estuary (36-46 $mmol\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$; Ferrón et al., 2009). After the flood deposition, the model estimates of DIC benthic flux decreased from 101 to 55 $mmol\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$ in response to the new input. This was largely related to the large decrease of the DIC gradient in porewaters after the flood (Fig. 3) and represents a 45% reduction in DIC flux out of the sediment shortly after flood deposition. The reduced DIC flux rapidly resumed to the previous situation after a week of lower fluxes and stabilized to a value of a~~a~~ few percent above the initial value. Yet, the production of DIC in the sediment column had increased by 43% due to the sudden increase of OC recycling activity following the introduction of fresh organic carbon contained in the flood deposit (Fig. 9). The initial decrease of the flux of DIC was followed by a slight increase of about 4% and then a stabilization at almost the same initial value as before the flood indicating that most of the DIC produced by the flood deposit is stored in the sediment porewaters. This is obvious from the DIC profile ~~(Fig. 3)~~ which clearly indicates an accumulation of DIC in porewaters after the flood along the measurement period (from January to March).

This change of DIC flux in response to the abrupt introduction of flood-driven deposit can have an impact on the contribution of coastal sediments to the release of CO_2 to the coastal zone and potentially later to the atmosphere. The extent of this gas exchange is determined by several factors, including the DIC/Total Alkalinity (TA) flux ratio (Andersson and Mackenzie, 2012). In the Rhône prodelta sediments, the total alkalinity flux ranges from 14 to 74 $mmol\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$ thus acting as an efficient counteracting mechanism controlling DIC fluxes to the overlying water (Rassmann et al., 2020). As most of the increase of DIC production raised from organoclastic sulfate-reduction which has a DIC/TA production ratio of 1 (Rassmann et al., 2020), the flux of alkalinity out of the sediment would probably follow the DIC flux, therefore bringing little changes to the DIC/TA ratio in the coastal bottom waters (Hu and Cai 2011). However, other contributors to sediment alkalinity such as calcium carbonate dissolution as well as potential coupling processes involving FeS and FeS_2 burial might well affect the alkalinity during relaxation of the system after the flood (Nmor ~~et al., in prep)~~ 2023). This is likely the case in the Rhône River prodelta sediment where substantial pyrite burial at depth has been reported (Rassmann et al., 2020). As direct measurements of DIC and total alkalinity flux~~es during~~~~at~~ this winter flood are unavailable, and porewater iron and sulfide were not monitored during the time series, we can only speculate with the model results. In any case, the magnitude of the DIC flux decrease and its internal storage in surface sediment porewaters highlight the need to better study this phenomenon and provide better constraints on their contribution to coastal carbon cycle.

Figure 9: Flux of DIC across the sediment-water interface. Positive flux is directed from the sediment to the overlying bottom water. The grey bar reflects the date of the main flood (06/01/2022).

5 Conclusion

Extreme flood deposition events produce transient dynamics in biogeochemical processes in coastal marine environment. In this paper, we documented the temporal features of porewater short-term response over 2 months to organic matter flood input at a station located in the Rhône prodelta. Using a data-model approach, we showed that the introduction of this new layer of OM input from flood deposition can alter the porewater profiles of SO_4^{2-}, DIC and ~~methane~~CH$_4$. Although the model incorporates some simplifying assumptions, FESDIA is able to reproduce accurately the measured concentration depth profiles, including time and space variations. This reflects the capability of the model to capture non-steady state dynamics driven by abruptly changing boundary conditions.

Our modelling results indicate that large amounts of sediment can also trigger intense biogeochemical processes with stimulation of sulfate reduction and immediate decline of DIC flux out of the sediment. The internal storage of DIC in porewaters indicates a relative decoupling of sediment organic matter mineralization and fluxes to the water column. By considering the measured porewater profiles and the reactions stoichiometry, we showed that massive deposition of sediment can results in a disconnection ~~the disconnect~~ between anaerobic oxidation of methane and organoclastic pathway for sulfate reduction in the sediment. This decoupling of AOM and OSR implies an increase in the efficiency of the sediment capacity to trap the upward ~~incoming~~ flux of methane ~~from below~~. The immediate consequence of the changes in the porewater chemistry and processes following these events highlights their importance in the short to medium term response and system functioning in the respective biogeochemical cycle. On a longer timescale, the complete relaxation time potentially longer than the return time of disturbing events may also influence benthic biogeochemical fluxes. It is urgent to address the issue of multi-seasonal evolution of the sedimentary system after flood deposition together with the potential interaction between successive flood deposits. Clearly, ~~On a broader scale,~~ the long-term fate of such events on sediment biogeochemical processes will require better and more continuous field monitoring to help future model development addressing the biogeochemical consequences of these flood events.

Code and data availability All data used in this study are available through SEANOE (https://doi.org/10.17882/96514)
535 (Ferreira et al., 2023). The model version used to produce the results used in this paper is archived on Zenodo
(https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6369288) (Nmor et al., 2022).

Author contributions.: EF, SN, EV, BB, BL, CG and CR conceptualized the study. EF, SN, BB, ER, GM, PvB and CR
contributed to the data curation. EF, SN, CG, PvB performed the formal analysis. CR acquired the funds. EF, SN, EV, BB,
BL, ER, CG, PvB and CR made the investigation. EF, SN, EV, BB, BL and CR contributed to the methodology. BB, CG and
540 CR are behind the project administration and the supervision of the research planning. SN, EV and CR contributed to the
software development. EF and SN contributed equally to the visualization and the writing of the original draft preparation.
All authors contributed to the writing review, editing and validation.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps
545 and institutional affiliations

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to acknowledge Nolwenn Verpy, Laure Papillon and Deny Malengros for help
collecting samples, Caroline Gauthier for help with OC analysis, Marc Souhaut, and Thomas Zambardi for help with 7-
Berylium analysis at the LAFARA underground laboratory. We would like to thank Hugo Lepage for discussing river flows
and helping us obtain the SPM data and also the Observatoire des Sédiments du Rhône staff for the SPM results. Finally, we
550 would also like to thank the various members of the RV Antédon II crew who were present on several campaigns. The map
in this study was created with the ODV Software Schlitzer, Reiner, Ocean Data View, https://odv.awi.de, 2022. We thank
anonymous reviewer #1 (https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2023-166-RC1) and reviewer Anniet Laverman
(https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2023-166-RC2) for their comments and corrections during the paper submission process.

Financial support. This work was supported by a grant from the special call on estuaries from French National programme
555 EC2CO under the name "DeltaRhone" and the French government grant managed by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche
under the France 2030 program, RiOMar reference ANR 22 POCE 0006

References

Aguilera, D. R., Jourabchi, P., Spiteri, C., and Regnier, P.: A knowledge-based reactive transport approach for the
simulation of biogeochemical dynamics in Earth systems, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 6,
560 https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GC000899, 2005.

Ait Ballagh, F. E., Rabouille, C., Andrieux-Loyer, F., Soetaert, K., Lansard, B., Bombled, B., Monvoisin, G., Elkalay,
K., and Khalil, K.: Spatial Variability of Organic Matter and Phosphorus Cycling in Rhône River Prodelta Sediments
(NW Mediterranean Sea, France): a Model-Data Approach, Estuaries and Coasts, 10.1007/s12237-020-00889-9, 2021.

Aller, R. C.: Mobile deltaic and continental shelf muds as suboxic, fluidized bed reactors, Marine Chemistry, 61, 143-
565 155, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(98)00024-3, 1998.

Aller, R. C., Blair, N. E., and Brunskill, G. J.: Early diagenetic cycling, incineration, and burial of sedimentary organic
carbon in the central Gulf of Papua (Papua New Guinea), Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 113,
https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JF000689, 2008.

Aller, R. C., Blair, N. E., Xia, Q., and Rude, P. D.: Remineralization rates, recycling, and storage of carbon in Amazon
570 shelf sediments, Continental Shelf Research, 16, 753-786, https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-4343(95)00046-1, 1996.

Antonelli, C., Frédérique, E., Rolland, B., Provansal, M., and Sabatier, F.: Suspended sediment and 137Cs fluxes during
the exceptional December 2003 flood in the Rhone River, southeast France, Geomorphology, 95, 350-360,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.06.007~~10.1016/j.geomorph.2007.06.007~~, 2008.

Andersson, A. J. and Mackenzie, F. T.: Revisiting four scientific debates in ocean acidification research,
575 Biogeosciences9(3), 893-905. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-893-2012, 2012

Bauer, J. E., Cai, W.-J., Raymond, P. A., Bianchi, T. S., Hopkinson, C. S., and Regnier, P. A. G.: The changing carbon
cycle of the coastal ocean, Nature, 504, 61-70, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12857~~10.1038/nature12857~~, 2013.

Bentley, S. J. and Nittrouer, C. A.: Emplacement, modification, and preservation of event strata on a flood-dominated continental shelf: Eel shelf, Northern California, Continental Shelf Research, 23, 1465-1493,

580   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2003.08.005, 2003.

Berg, P.: Dynamic Modeling of Early Diagenesis and Nutrient Cycling. A Case Study in an Artic Marine Sediment, American Journal of Science - AMER J SCI, 303, 905-955, https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.303.10.905~~10.2475/ajs.303.10.905~~, 2003.

Berner, R. A. Early Diagenesis: A Theoretical Approach, Princeton University Press., ISBN 9780691082608, 1980

585   Bianchi, T. S., Cui, X., Blair, N. E., Burdige, D. J., Eglinton, T. I., and Galy, V.: Centers of organic carbon burial and oxidation at the land-ocean interface, Organic Geochemistry, 115, 138-155, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2017.09.008, 2018.

Blair, N. E. and Aller, R. C.: The Fate of Terrestrial Organic Carbon in the Marine Environment, Annual Review of Marine Science, 4, 401-423, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-120709-142717~~10.1146/annurev-marine-120709-142717~~, 2012.

590

Boetius, A., Ravenschlag, K., Schubert, C. J., Rickert, D., Widdel, F., Gieseke, A., Amann, R., Jørgensen, B. B., Witte, U., and Pfannkuche, O.: A marine microbial consortium apparently mediating anaerobic oxidation of methane, Nature, 407, https://doi.org/10.1038/35036572~~623-626, 10.1038/35036572~~, 2000.

Borges, A. V. and Abril, G.: 5.04 - Carbon Dioxide and Methane Dynamics in Estuaries, in: Treatise on Estuarine and

595   Coastal Science, edited by: Wolanski, E., and McLusky, D., Academic Press, Waltham, 119-161, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374711-2.00504-0, 2011.

Borowski, W. S., Paull, C. K., and Ussler, W.: Global and local variations of interstitial sulfate gradients in deep-water, continental margin sediments: Sensitivity to underlying methane and gas hydrates, Marine Geology, 159, 131-154, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(99)00004-3, 1999.

600   Bourgeois, S., Pruski, A. M., Sun, M. Y., Buscail, R., Lantoine, F., Kerhervé, P., Vétion, G., Rivière, B., and Charles, F.: Distribution and lability of land-derived organic matter in the surface sediments of the Rhône prodelta and the adjacent shelf (Mediterranean Sea, France): a multi proxy study, Biogeosciences, 8, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-3107-2011~~3107-3125, 10.5194/bg-8-3107-2011~~, 2011.

Bourrin, F., Friend, P. L., Amos, C. L., Manca, E., Ulses, C., Palanques, A., Durrieu de Madron, X., and Thompson, C.

605   E. L.: Sediment dispersal from a typical Mediterranean flood: The Têt River, Gulf of Lions, Continental Shelf Research, 28, 1895-1910, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2008.06.005, 2008.

Burdige, D. J.: Burial of terrestrial organic matter in marine sediments: A re-assessment, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 19, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GB002368, 2005.

Burdige, D. J. and Komada, T.: Anaerobic oxidation of methane and the stoichiometry of remineralization processes in

610   continental margin sediments, Limnology and Oceanography, 56, 1781-1796, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2011.56.5.1781, 2011.

Cai, W.-J.: Estuarine and Coastal Ocean Carbon Paradox: CO2 Sinks or Sites of Terrestrial Carbon Incineration?, Annual Review of Marine Science, 3, 123-145, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-120709-142723~~10.1146/annurev-marine-120709-142723~~, 2011.

615   Canfield, D. E.: The evolution of the Earth surface sulfur reservoir, American Journal of Science, 304, 839-861, https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.304.10.839~~10.2475/ajs.304.10.839~~, 2004.

Canfield, D. E. and Thamdrup, B.: Towards a consistent classification scheme for geochemical environments, or, why we wish the term 'suboxic' would go away, Geobiology, 7, 385-392, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4669.2009.00214.x, 2009.

620 Cappellen, P. V. and Gaillard, J.-F.: Chapter 8. biogeochemical dynamics in aquatic sediments, in: Reactive Transport in Porous Media, edited by: Peter, C. L., Carl, I. S., and Eric, H. O., De Gruyter, Berlin, Boston, 335-376, doi:10.1515/9781501509797-011, 1996.

Carlin, J. A., Schreiner, K. M., Dellapenna, T. M., McGuffin, A., and Smith, R. W.: Evidence of recent flood deposits within a distal shelf depocenter and implications for terrestrial carbon preservation in non-deltaic shelf settings, Marine

625 Geology, 431, 106376, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2020.106376, 2021.

Cathalot, C., Rabouille, C., Pastor, L., Deflandre, B., Viollier, E., Buscail, R., Grémare, A., Treignier, C., and Pruski, A.: Temporal variability of carbon recycling in coastal sediments influenced by rivers: assessing the impact of flood inputs in the Rhône River prodelta, Biogeosciences, 7, 1187-1205, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-1187-201010.5194/bg-7-1187-2010, 2010.

630 Cathalot, C., Rabouille, C., Tisnérat-Laborde, N., Toussaint, F., Philippe, K., Buscail, R., Loftis, K., M-Y, S., Tronczyński, J., Azoury, S., Lansard, B., Treignier, C., Pastor, L., and Tesi, T.: The fate of river organic carbon in coastal areas: A study in the Rhône River delta using multiple isotopic (δ13C, Δ14C) and organic tracers, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 118, 33-55, 10.1016/j.gca.2013.05.001, 2013.

Charmasson, S., Radakovitch, O., Arnaud, M., Bouisset, P., and Pruchon, A.-S.: Long-core profiles

635 of137Cs,134Cs,60Co and210Pb in sediment near the Rhône River (Northwestern Mediterranean Sea), Estuaries, 21, 367-378, https://doi.org/10.2307/135283610.2307/1352836, 1998.

Crill, P. M. and Martens, C. S.: Spatial and temporal fluctuations of methane production in anoxic coastal marine sediments, Limnology and Oceanography, 28, 1117-1130, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1983.28.6.1117, 1983.

Dai, Z.-j., Mei, X., Darby, S., Yaying, L., and Li, W.: Fluvial sediment transfer in the Changjiang (Yangtze) river-

640 estuary depositional system, Journal of Hydrology, 566, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.09.01910.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.09.019, 2018.

Dale, A. W., Regnier, P., and Cappellen, P. V.: Bioenergetic Controls on Anaerobic Oxidation of Methane (AOM) in Coastal Marine Sediments: A Theoretical Analysis, American Journal of Science, 306, https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.306.4.246246-294, 10.2475/ajs.306.4.246, 2006.

645 Dale, A. W., Van Cappellen, P., Aguilera, D. R., and Regnier, P.: Methane efflux from marine sediments in passive and active margins: Estimations from bioenergetic reaction–transport simulations, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 265, 329-344, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2007.09.026, 2008.

Dale, A. W., Regnier, P., Knab, N. J., Jørgensen, B. B., and Van Cappellen, P.: Anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) in marine sediments from the Skagerrak (Denmark): II. Reaction-transport modeling, Geochimica et Cosmochimica

650 Acta, 72, 2880-2894, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2007.11.039, 2008.

Dale, A. W., Flury, S., Fossing, H., Regnier, P., Røy, H., Scholze, C., and Jørgensen, B. B.: Kinetics of organic carbon mineralization and methane formation in marine sediments (Aarhus Bay, Denmark), Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 252, 159-178, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2019.02.033, 2019.

Day, J., Ibáñez, C., Pont, D., and Scarton, F.: Status and Sustainability of Mediterranean Deltas: The Case of the Ebro,

655 Rhône, and Po Deltas and Venice Lagoon, Coasts and Estuariesin, 237-249, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814003-1.00014-910.1016/B978-0-12-814003-1.00014-9, 2019.

De Borger, E., Tiano, J., Braeckman, U., Rijnsdorp, A. D., and Soetaert, K.: Impact of bottom trawling on sediment biogeochemistry: a modelling approach, Biogeosciences, 18, 2539-2557, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-2539-202110.5194/bg-18-2539-2021, 2021.

660 Durrieu De Madron, X., Abassi, A., Heussner, S., Monaco, A., Aloisi, J. C., Radakovitch, O., Giresse, P., Buscail, R., and Kerherve, P.: Particulate matter and organic carbon budgets for the Gulf of Lions (NW Mediterranean), Oceanologica Acta, 23, 717-730, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0399-1784(00)00119-5, 2000.

Egger, M., Riedinger, N., Mogollón, J. M., and Jørgensen, B. B.: Global diffusive fluxes of methane in marine sediments, Nature Geoscience, 11, 421-425, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0122-8~~10.1038/s41561-018-0122-8~~, 2018.

Egger, M., Lenstra, W., Jong, D., Meysman, F. J. R., Sapart, C. J., van der Veen, C., Röckmann, T., Gonzalez, S., and Slomp, C. P.: Rapid Sediment Accumulation Results in High Methane Effluxes from Coastal Sediments, PLOS ONE, 11, e0161609, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161609~~10.1371/journal.pone.0161609~~, 2016.

Eglinton, T. I. : Tempestuous transport. Nature Geoscience 1, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo349~~ISBN 727-728.2008~~, 2008

Estournel, C., Mikolajczak, G., Ulses, C., Bourrin, F., Canals, M., Charmasson, S., Doxaran, D., Duhaut, T., de Madron, X. D., Marsaleix, P., Palanques, A., Puig, P., Radakovitch, O., Sanchez-Vidal, A., and Verney, R.: Sediment dynamics in the Gulf of Lion (NW Mediterranean Sea) during two autumn–winter periods with contrasting meteorological conditions, Progress in Oceanography, 210, 102942, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2022.102942, 2023.

Eyrolle, F., Radakovitch, O., Raimbault, P., Charmasson, S., Antonelli, C., Ferrand, E., Aubert, D., Raccasi, G., Jacquet, S., and Gurriaran, R.: Consequences of hydrological events on the delivery of suspended sediment and associated radionuclides from the Rhône River to the Mediterranean Sea, Journal of Soils and Sediments, 12, 1479-1495, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-012-0575-0~~10.1007/s11368-012-0575-0~~, 2012.

Feng, H., Cochran, J. K., and Hirschberg, D. J.: ^{234}Th and ^{7}Be as tracers for transport and sources of particle-associated contaminants in the Hudson River estuary, Science of The Total Environment, 237-238, 401-418, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(99)00153-9, 1999.

Ferrón, S., Alonso-Pérez, F., Ortega, T., and Forja, J.: Benthic respiration on the northeastern shelf of the Gulf of Cádiz (SW Iberian Peninsula), Marine Ecology-progress Series - MAR ECOL-PROGR SER, 392, 69-80, https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08240~~10.3354/meps08240~~, 2009.

García-García, A., Orange, D., Lorenson, T., Radakovitch, O., Tesi, T., Miserocchi, S., Berné, S., Friend, P. L., Nittrouer, C., and Normand, A.: Shallow gas off the Rhône prodelta, Gulf of Lions, Marine Geology, 234, 215-231, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2006.09.005, 2006.

Hedges, J. I. and Keil, R. G.: Sedimentary organic matter preservation: an assessment and speculative synthesis, Marine Chemistry, 49, 81-115, https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(95)00008-F, 1995.

Hu, X. and Cai, W.-J.: The impact of denitrification on the atmospheric CO2 uptake potential of seawater, Marine Chemistry, 127, 192-198, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2011.09.008, 2011.

Jahnke, R. A., Reimers, C. E., and Craven, D. B.: Intensification of recycling of organic matter at the sea floor near ocean margins, Nature, 348, 50-54, https://doi.org/10.1038/348050a0~~10.1038/348050a0~~, 1990.

Jørgensen, B. B.: Mineralization of organic matter in the sea bed—the role of sulphate reduction, Nature, 296, 643-645, https://doi.org/10.1038/296643a0~~10.1038/296643a0~~, 1982.

Jørgensen, B.B., Kasten, S.: Sulfur Cycling and Methane Oxidation. In: Schulz, H.D., Zabel, M. (eds) Marine Geochemistry. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg., 271-309, https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-32144-6_8~~Jørgensen, B. and Kasten, S.: Sulfur Cycling and Methane Oxidation, in, 271-309, 10.1007/3-540-32144-6_8, 2006.~~, 2006

Jørgensen, B. B., Findlay, A. J., and Pellerin, A.: The Biogeochemical Sulfur Cycle of Marine Sediments, Frontiers in Microbiology, 10~~10~~, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00849~~10.3389/fmicb.2019.00849~~, 2019.

Knab, N. J., Cragg, B. A., Borowski, C., Parkes, R. J., Pancost, R., and Jørgensen, B. B.: Anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) in marine sediments from the Skagerrak (Denmark): I. Geochemical and microbiological analyses, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 72, 2868-2879, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2008.03.016, 2008.

705   Lansard, B., Rabouille, C., Denis, L., and Grenz, C.: Benthic remineralization at the land–ocean interface: A case study of the Rhône River (NW Mediterranean Sea), Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 81, 544-554, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2008.11.025, 2009.

Lee, T.-Y., Huang, J.-C., Lee, J.-Y., Jien, S.-H., Zehetner, F., and Kao, S.-J.: Magnified Sediment Export of Small Mountainous Rivers in Taiwan: Chain Reactions from Increased Rainfall Intensity under Global Warming, PLOS ONE,

710   10, e0138283, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.013828310.1371/journal.pone.0138283, 2015.

Lepage, H., Gruat, A., Thollet, F., Le Coz, J., Coquery, M., Masson, M., Dabrin, A., Radakovitch, O., Labille, J., Ambrosi, J. P., Delanghe, D., and Raimbault, P.: Concentrations and fluxes of suspended particulate matter and associated contaminants in the Rhône River from Lake Geneva to the Mediterranean Sea, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 14, 2369-2384, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-2369-202210.5194/essd-14-2369-2022, 2022.

715   Li, Y.-H. and Gregory, S.: Diffusion of ions in sea water and in deep-sea sediments, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 38, 703-714, https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(74)90145-8, 1974.

Lionello, P., Sannino, G., and Vilibić, I.: Surface wave and sea surface dynamics in the Mediterranean, Oceanography of the Mediterranean sea : An Introductory Guide, Elsevier, edited by: Schroeder, K., and Chiggiato, J. in, 161-207, 10.1016/B978-0-12-823692-5.00007-8, 2023.

720   Liu, J. T., Kao, S.-J., Huh, C.-A., and Hung, C.-C.: Gravity Flows Associated with Flood Events and Carbon Burial: Taiwan as Instructional Source Area, Annual Review of Marine Science, 5, 47-68, , https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-121211-17230710.1146/annurev-marine-121211-172307, 2013.

Maillet, G. M., Vella, C., Berné, S., Friend, P. L., Amos, C. L., Fleury, T. J., and Normand, A.: Morphological changes and sedimentary processes induced by the December 2003 flood event at the present mouth of the Grand Rhône River

725   (southern France), Marine Geology, 234, 159-177, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2006.09.025, 2006.

Magen, C., Lapham, L., Pohlman, J. W., Marshall, K., Bosman, S., Casso, M., Chanton, J. P.: A simple headspace equilibration method for measuring dissolved methane, Limnology and Oceanography: Methods, 12, https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2014.12.637., 2014.

Manh, N. V., Dung, N. V., Hung, N. N., Merz, B., and Apel, H.: Large-scale suspended sediment transport and sediment

730   deposition in the Mekong Delta, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci., 18, 3033-3053, https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-18-3033-201410.5194/hess-18-3033-2014, 2014.

Many, G., Bourrin, F., Durrieu de Madron, X., Ody, A., Doxaran, D., and Cauchy, P.: Glider and satellite monitoring of the variability of the suspended particle distribution and size in the Rhône ROFI, Progress in Oceanography, 163, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2017.05.000610.1016/j.pocean.2017.05.006, 2017.

735   Marvin-DiPasquale, M. C. and Capone, D. G.: Benthic sulfate reduction along the Chesapeake Bay central channel. I. Spatial trends and controls, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 168, 213-228, 1998.Marvin-DiPasquale, M. C. and Capone, D. G.: Benthic sulfate reduction along the Chesapeake Bay central channel. I. Spatial trends and controls. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 168, 213–228. http://www.jstor.org/stable/24828380, 1998

McKee, B. A., Aller, R. C., Allison, M. A., Bianchi, T. S., and Kineke, G. C.: Transport and transformation of dissolved

740   and particulate materials on continental margins influenced by major rivers: benthic boundary layer and seabed processes, Continental Shelf Research, 24, 899-926, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2004.02.009, 2004.

Miralles, J., Radakovitch, O., and jean-claude, A.: 210Pb sedimentation rates from the Northwestern Mediterranean margin, Marine Geology, 216, , https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2005.02.020155-167, 10.1016/j.margeo.2005.02.020, 2005.

745   Moriarty, J. M., Harris, C. K., Fennel, K., Friedrichs, M. A. M., Xu, K., and Rabouille, C.: The roles of resuspension, diffusion and biogeochemical processes on oxygen dynamics offshore of the Rhône River, France: a numerical

modeling study, Biogeosciences, 14, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-1919-2017~~1919-1946, 10.5194/bg-14-1919-2017~~, 2017.

Mucci, A., Sundby, B., Gehlen, M., Arakaki, T., Zhong, S., and Silverberg, N.: The fate of carbon in continental shelf sediments of eastern Canada: a case study, Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 47, 733-760, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(99)00124-1, 2000.

Myllykangas, J.-P., Hietanen, S., and Jilbert, T.: Legacy Effects of Eutrophication on Modern Methane Dynamics in a Boreal Estuary, Estuaries and Coasts, 43, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-019-00677-0~~189-206, 10.1007/s12237-019-00677-0~~, 2020.

Nmor, S. I., Viollier, E., Pastor, L., Lansard, B., Rabouille, C., and Soetaert, K.: FESDIA (v1.0): exploring temporal variations of sediment biogeochemistry under the influence of flood events using numerical modelling, Geosci. Model Dev., 15, 7325-7351, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-7325-2022~~10.5194/gmd-15-7325-2022~~, 2022.

Nmor, S.: Modélisation numérique des événements lors de la diagenèse précoce dans les écosystèmes côtiers : application aux dépôts de crue dans le prodelta du Rhône, Ph;D. thesis, Laboratoire des Sciences du climat et de l'envrionnement, University Paris-Saclay, France, 2023.

Palinkas, C. M., Nittrouer, C., Wheatcroft, R., and Langone, L.: The use of 7Be to identify event and seasonal sedimentation near the Po River delta, Adriatic Sea, Marine Geology - MAR GEOLOGY, 222, 95-112, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2005.06.011~~10.1016/j.margeo.2005.06.011~~, 2005.

Pastor, L., Rabouille, C., Metzger, E., Thibault de Chanvalon, A., Viollier, E., and Deflandre, B.: Transient early diagenetic processes in Rhône prodelta sediments revealed in contrasting flood events, Continental Shelf Research, 166, 65-76, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2018.07.005, 2018.

Pastor, L., Cathalot, C., Deflandre, B., Viollier, E., Soetaert, K., Meysman, F. J. R., Ulses, C., Metzger, E., and Rabouille, C.: Modeling biogeochemical processes in sediments from the Rhône River prodelta area (NW Mediterranean Sea), Biogeosciences, 8, 1351-1366, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-1351-2011, 2011a.~~10.5194/bg-8-1351-2011, 2011.~~

Pastor, L., Deflandre, B., Viollier, E., Cathalot, C., Metzger, E., Rabouille, C., Escoubeyrou, K., Lloret, E., Pruski, A. M., Vétion, G., Desmalades, M., Buscail, R., and Grémare, A.: Influence of the organic matter composition on benthic oxygen demand in the Rhône River prodelta (NW Mediterranean Sea), Continental Shelf Research, 31, 1008-1019, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2011.03.007, 2011b.~~https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2011.03.007, 2011.~~

Pont, D., Simonnet, J. P., and Walter-Simonnet, A. V.: Medium-term Changes in Suspended Sediment Delivery to the Ocean: Consequences of Catchment Heterogeneity and River Management (Rhône River, France), Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 54, 1-18, https://doi.org/10.1006/ecss.2001.0829~~10.1006/ecss.2001.0829~~, 2002.

Pozzato, L., Rassmann, J., Lansard, B., Dumoulin, J.-P., Breugel, P., and Rabouille, C.: Origin of remineralized organic matter in sediments from the Rhone River prodelta (NW Mediterranean) traced by $\Delta 14 C$ and $\delta 13 C$ signatures of pore water DIC, Progress in Oceanography, 163, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2017.05.008, 2018.~~10.1016/j.pocean.2017.05.008, 2017.~~

Pruski, A. M., Buscail, R., Bourgeois, S., Vétion, G., Coston-Guarini, J., and Rabouille, C.: Biogeochemistry of fatty acids in a river-dominated Mediterranean ecosystem (Rhône River prodelta, Gulf of Lions, France): Origins and diagenesis, Organic Geochemistry, 83-84, 227-240, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2015.04.002, 2015.

Rabouille, C. and Gaillard, J.-F.: Towards the EDGE: Early Diagenetic Global Explanation. A model depicting the early diagenesis of organic matter, O2, NO3, Mn, and PO4, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 55, 2511-2525, https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(91)90369-G ~~10.1016/0016-7037(91)90369-G~~, 1991.

790 Rassmann, J., Lansard, B., Pozzato, L., and Rabouille, C.: Carbonate chemistry in sediment porewaters of the Rhône River delta driven by early diagenesis (northwestern Mediterranean), Biogeosciences, 13, 5379-5394, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-5379-2016~~10.5194/bg-13-5379-2016~~, 2016.

Rassmann, J., Eitel, E. M., Lansard, B., Cathalot, C., Brandily, C., Taillefert, M., and Rabouille, C.: Benthic alkalinity and dissolved inorganic carbon fluxes in the Rhône River prodelta generated by decoupled aerobic and anaerobic processes, Biogeosciences, 17, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-13-2020~~13-33, 10.5194/bg-17-13-2020~~, 2020.

795 Regnier, P., Dale, A. W., Arndt, S., LaRowe, D. E., Mogollón, J., and Van Cappellen, P.: Quantitative analysis of anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) in marine sediments: A modeling perspective, Earth-Science Reviews, 106, 105-130, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2011.01.002, 2011.

Rowe, G. T., Kaegi, M. E. C., Morse, J. W., Boland, G. S., and Escobar Briones, E. G.: Sediment community metabolism associated with continental shelf hypoxia, Northern Gulf of Mexico, Estuaries, 25, 1097-1106,
800 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02692207~~10.1007/BF02692207~~, 2002.

Seeberg-Elverfeldt, J., Schlüter, M., Feseker, T., and Koelling, M.: Rhizon sampling of pore waters near the sediment/water interface of aquatic systems, Limnology and oceanography, methods, 3, 361-371, https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2005.3.361~~10.4319/lom.2005.3.361~~, 2005.

Smeaton, C. and Austin, W.: Quality Not Quantity: Prioritizing the Management of Sedimentary Organic Matter Across
805 Continental Shelf Seas, Geophysical Research Letters, 49, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL097481, 2022.~~10.1029/2021GL097481, 2022.~~

Soetaert, K., Herman, P. M. J., and Middelburg, J. J.: A model of early diagenetic processes from the shelf to abyssal depths, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 60, 1019-1040, https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(96)00013-0, 1996.

~~Taylor, A., Blake, W., Iurian, A., Millward, G., and Mabit, L.: The Use of Be-7 as a Soil and Sediment Tracer, in, 1-13,~~
810 ~~10.1007/978-3-030-10982-0_1, 2019.~~

Tesi, T., Langone, L., Goñi, M. A., Wheatcroft, R. A., Miserocchi, S., and Bertotti, L.: Early diagenesis of recently deposited organic matter: A 9-yr time-series study of a flood deposit, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 83, 19-36, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.12.026, 2012.

Thill, A., Moustier, S., Garnier, J. M., Estournel, C., Naudin, J., and Bottero, J.-Y.: Evolution of particle size and
815 concentration in the Rhone river mixing zone: Influence of salt flocculation, continental shelf research,, 21, 2127-2140, https://doiorg.insu.bib.cnrs.fr/10.1016/S0278-4343(01)00047-4, 2001.

~~Tina, T., Antje, B., Katrin, K., Klaus, W., and Bo Barker, J. Â. r.: Anaerobic oxidation of methane above gas hydrates at~~
~~Hydrate Ridge, NE Pacific Ocean, Marine Ecology Progress Series, 264, 1-14, 2003.~~

Treude, T., Krüger, M., Boetius, A., and Jørgensen, B.: Environmental control on anaerobic oxidation of methane in the
820 gassy sediments of Eckernförde Bay (German Baltic), Limnology and Oceanography - LIMNOL OCEANOGR, 50, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2005.50.6.1771~~1771-1786, 10.4319/lo.2005.50.6.1771~~, 2005.

Treude, T., Boetius, A., Knittel, K., Wallmann, K., and Jørgensen, B.: Treude T, Boetius A, Knittel K, Wallmann K, Jørgensen BB. Anaerobic oxidation of methane above gas hydrates at Hydrate Ridge, NE Pacific Ocean. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 264: 1-14, Marine Ecology-progress Series ~~MAR ECOL PROGR SER~~, 264, 1-14, 10.3354/meps264001, 2003.
825 Ulses, C., Estournel, C., Durrieu de Madron, X., and Palanques, A.: Suspended sediment transport in the Gulf of Lions (NW Mediterranean): Impact of extreme storms and floods, Continental Shelf Research, 28, 2048-2070, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2008.01.015, 2008.

~~V~~van Beek, P., Souhaut, M., Lansard, B., Bourquin, M., Reyss, J. L., von Ballmoos, P., and Jean, P.: LAFARA: a new underground laboratory in the French Pyrénées for ultra low-level gamma-ray spectrometry, Journal of Environmental
830 Radioactivity, 116, 152-158, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2012.10.002, 2013.

Van Cappellen, P. and Gaillard, J.-F.: Chapter 8. Biogeochemical dynamics in aquatic sediments, in: Reactive Transport in Porous Media, edited by: Peter, C. L., Carl, I. S., and Eric, H. O., De Gruyter, Berlin, Boston, 335-376, https://doi.org/10.1515/9781501509797-011, 2018.

835 ~~Van Cappellen, P., and J. F. Gaillard: Biogeochemical dynamics in aquatic sediments, p. 335-376. In Reactive transport in porous media. De Gruyter, 2018~~

Wang, Y. and Van Cappellen, P.: A multicomponent reactive transport model of early diagenesis: Application to redox cycling in coastal marine sediments, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 60, 2993-3014, https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(96)00140-8, 1996.

Wheatcroft, R. A.: Preservation potential of sedimentary event layers, Geology, 18, 843-845,

840 https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1990)018%3C0843:PPOSEL%3E2.3.CO;2, 1990.~~10.1130/0091-7613(1990)018<0843:Pposel>2.3.Co;2, 1990.~~

Wheatcroft, R. and Sommerfield, C. K.: River Sediment Flux and Shelf Sediment Accumulation Rates on the Pacific Northwest Margin, Continental Shelf Research, 25, 311-332, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2004.10.001, 2005~~10.1016/j.csr.2004.10.001, 2005.~~

845 Wheatcroft, R., Stevens, A., Hunt, L., and Milligan, T.: The large-scale distribution and internal geometry of the fall 2000 Po River flood deposit: Evidence from digital X-radiography, Continental Shelf Research, 26, 499-516, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2006.01.002, 2006.~~10.1016/j.csr.2006.01.002, 2006.~~

Wu, J., Rabouille, C., Charmasson, S., Reyss, J. L., and Cagnat, X.: Constraining the origin of recently deposited particles using natural radionuclides 7Be and 234Thex in deltaic sediments, Continental Shelf Research, 165, 106-119,

850 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2018.06.010, 2018.

Yuan-Hui, L. and Gregory, S.: Diffusion of ions in sea water and in deep-sea sediments, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 38, 703-714, https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(74)90145-8, 1974.

Zebracki, M., Eyrolle-Boyer, F., Evrard, O., Claval, D., Mourier, B., Gairoard, S., Cagnat, X., and Antonelli, C.: Tracing the origin of suspended sediment in a large Mediterranean river by combining continuous river monitoring and

855 measurement of artificial and natural radionuclides, Science of The Total Environment, 502, 122-132, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.08.082, 2015.

Zhuang, G.-C., Heuer, V. B., Lazar, C. S., Goldhammer, T., Wendt, J., Samarkin, V. A., Elvert, M., Teske, A. P., Joye, S. B., and Hinrichs, K.-U.: Relative importance of methylotrophic methanogenesis in sediments of the Western Mediterranean Sea, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 224, 171-186, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.12.024, 2018.