

## Supplementary Information

**Table S1:** Full tables describing all papers included in the scoping review (obtained Sept 2022), their DOIs, authors, date, model used, and a brief description of the study. They are split into the following categories: “pure hydrology”, GHG dynamics, peat accumulation, global models, model combinations, and coupled models.

**Table S1.1: “Pure Hydrology”**

DOI link(s), chronological order	Year(s)	Authors	Model	Brief description
10.5194/hess-19-2133-2015; 10.1002/2017wr020516	2015 & 2017	Archarya et al.	SWIFT2D	Ridges and sloughs modelling (aerial spatial analysis)
10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.12.054; 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.02.023 10.1029/2019wr026203	2015 & 2017 2020	Ala-aho et al. Autio et al.	HydroGeoSphere (HGS) HGS	Groundwater/surface water interactions in peat soils GW-SW exchange in peatlands. This is the paper in which a mini-review of physically based models used in peatlands was carried out
10.1002/eco.230	2012	Baird et al.	DigiBog	An introduction to the model itself with a literature review. 2D/3D water depths, K, flow
10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.07.005	2011	Ballard et al.	MATLAB ODE15 solver	Combining 4 1D models to develop a quasi-3D model though not fully integrated. Drained blanket peatland.
10.1002/hyp.6275	2007	Belyea	Functional model (unnamed)	Modelling ridge K to portray bog pools
10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.06.035	2013	Binet et al.	Mathematical model (unnamed)	Impacts of drought and vegetation of peatland hydrology
10.2166/nh.2013.228	2014	Bourgault et al.	MIKE-SHE	GW flow in both an aquifer and a peatland
10.3390/su8121324 10.19189/map.2017.omb.301	2016 2017	Brandyk et al. Brust et al.	MODFLOW Visual MODFLOW	Baltic peatland restoration with goal of restoring <i>Carex</i> communities Developing a water balance for <i>Sphagnum</i> farming, including bunds and irrigation ditches
10.1016/s0167-5648(04)80157-6; 10.1029/2005wr004495 10.1088/1755-1315/149/1/012026	2004 & 2006 2018	Camporese et al. Condro et al.	Numerical model (unnamed) PEAT-VOXEL	Predicting peat volumes for swelling/shrinking. 3D agent-based model of surface water dynamics using land-atmosphere interactions from GenRiver Oil palm plantation.
10.1111/j.2005.0906-7590.04265.x; 10.1002/ecs2.4031	2005 & 2022	Couwenberg	Finite-difference model (unnamed)	Hummock-hollow patterning and square-grid WL calculations. Later developed a spatially-explicit heuristic model after Swanson & Grigal (1988) – conducted in MATLAB
10.2136/sssaj2009.0148; 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.11.030 10.5194/hess-25-291-2021	2010 & 2014 2021	Dimitrov et al. Duranet et al.	<i>ecosys</i> MIKE-SHE/MIKE-11	2014: WT depths in boreal transition zones between forest & peatland; 2010: subsurface flow at Mer Bleue bog Interactions in hydrology between French mire and underlying aquifer.
10.1002/hyp.10408; 10.1002/2015wr017667; 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.10.039 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.06.031	2015, 2016, & 2018 2012	Gao et al. Gong et al.	TOPMODEL SVAT (soil-vegetation-atmosphere-transportation).	Catchment-level runoff. Focus on flooding in later 2 papers; the earliest focuses on land-cover change impacts. Compartmentalizes spatially into hydrologically-similar units (HSUs) to then develop a grid.
10.1029/2020wr029209 10.2166/nh.1987.0007 10.1002/2015wr018038; 10.1002/2016wr019442; 10.1139/cjfr-2017-0269 10.1007/s13280-017-0984-9	2021 1987 2014, 2016 (Jan) 2018	Gouzardi et al. Guertin et al. Haahiti et al. Hasselquist et al.	TOPMODEL PHIM FLUSH, coupled with FEMMA in some papers GIS: LiDAR and SAGA	Subsurface hydrology in eroded blanket peats – flood attenuation Predicting Minnesota streamflow on a watershed level 2016 paper is included in the Autio et al. review. channel network flow through ditches, erosion assessment DEMs combined with Catchment Area (CA) algorithms within SAGA to predict flow from ditches
10.1371/journal.pone.0064174	2013	Heffernan et al.	Closed-form mathematical model (unnamed)	Ridge and slough modelling in the Everglades
10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126894	2021	Hokanson et al.	HYDRUS-2D	GW recharge on a hummock scale for a water-limited area. Forested peat.
10.1002/hyp.11449 10.3390/rs14133169	2018 2022	Hwang et al. Ikkala et al.	HGS GIS: LiDAR and SAGA	Surface-subsurface flow model for a full river basin in Canada Evaluating “wetness” and diversions in flow paths post-restoration for minerotrophic peatlands.
10.1007/s11027-010-9214-5 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.04.094	2010 2019	Jaenicke et al. Jaros et al.	SIMGRO and GIS HGS 3D	Spatial analysis of groundwater rise pos-restoration in a tropical peatland Cited in Autio et al.’s review as well as being obtained from this review’s paper search. Combining forested and open peatland model parametrization.
10.4296/cwrj3404349	2009	Jutras et al.	HYDROTEL	Watershed-level streamflow and discharge water budget in Canadian peatland
10.1029/2012gl052754 10.1029/2004wr003099	2012 2004	Kaplan et al. Kennedy and Price	SWIFT2D FLOCOPS	Patterning model for the Everglades 1D model of hydrology and peat hydraulics in a cutover peat system. FLOCOPS developed specifically for that peatland type
10.5194/hess-12-1211-2008	2008	Koivusalo et al.	FEMMA	Peatland forestry model evaluating impacts of ditch drainage
10.1002/hyp.9978	2014	Levison et al.	MODFLOW	Changes in flow patterns through different climate change scenarios

10.1002/hyp.9486	2013	Lewis et al.	GEOTop (TOPMODEL-based)	Forestry impact on hydrology of Irish peatlands
10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.05.032	2019	Li et al.	MODFLOW	Disturbed peatland hydrology: cutover areas and stream gullies
10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.08.037	2016	Luscombe et al.	GIS: LiDAR (and likely other packages)	Flow delineation using an algorithm developed by Jensen and Dominique in 1988. Multiple spatial scales.
10.2166/nh.2021.064	2021	Marttila et al.	MODFLOW	Groundwater flows from a mire into an esker.
10.5194/esurf-1-29-2013	2013	Morris et al.	DigiBog	Evaluating patterning in a gridded peatland landscape; are models reaching a “true” steady-state?
10.1111/sum.12272	2016	Mustamo et al.	DRAINMOD	Peat hydraulics and WT simulated for peat soil in different land use conditions
10.1007/s13280-017-0966-y	2018	Nieminen et al.	FEMMA	Runoff in boreal peat forests
10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.12.104	2017	Nijp et al.	Euler-forward model (unnamed), coded in the Ventana interface	Peat volume change in drought events
10.3846/1648-6897.2008.16.105-112	2008	Povilaitis and Querner	SIMGRO	Determining water levels and overall outflow post-restoration in a lake/peatland ecosystem
10.1080/17480930902955724	2010	Price et al.	Numerical model (unnamed in abstract and no access to full article)	Fen reconstruction design and hydrological modelling after oil sands mining took place (Alberta). Perhaps not relevant but I’m keeping until I can check the full article
10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.05.041	2018	Pullens et al.	GEOTop	Mountain peatland surrounded by other ecosystems: simulating discharge, volumetric water content, and energy fluxes
10.1002/hyp.14470	2022	Putra et al.	DigiBog_Hydro	2D (x-y) hydrology evaluating restoration in a tropical peatland.
10.1623/hysj.54.2.363; No DOI (ISSN: 1230-1485; vol 19 issue 1 pp. 149-159)	2009 & 2010	Querner et al.	SIMGRO within GIS environment	Northern European peatland hydrology and more Lithuania basin hydrology (see Poviliatis & Querner)
10.1080/02626667.2017.1391387	2017	Quillet et al.	MODFLOW	2D steady-state groundwater flow in Canadian peatlands of varying hydrogeological settings
10.1016/s0022-1694(99)00183-3; 10.1016/s0022-1694(00)00386-3; 10.1016/s0022-1694(00)00402-9; 10.1672/07-71.1; 10.1029/2008gm000825	2000, 2001 (Feb), 2001 (Mar), 2008, 2009	Reeve et al.	MODFLOW, MODPATH	Vertical flow in peatlands; dispersive mixing; regional groundwater flow; simulating hydrogeologic setting in Alaska; permeable mineral lenses in peat hydrology
10.1623/hysj.53.5.989	2008	Schmalz et al.	SWAT	Discharge for lowland catchments larger than but often including peatlands. May be too broad a scope.
10.3390/f9100645	2018	Stenberg et al.	FLUSH	Drained, forested peatland hydrology: role of tree stand management
10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.03.011	2018	Sterte et al.	MIKE-SHE	Groundwater-surface water interactions in a boreal peatland
10.5614/j.eng.technol.sci.2021.53.2.5	2021	Suryadi et al.	GIS Freewat plugin which integrates MODFLOW	“Canal blocking” model in tropical peatlands. Divisions into sub-peatland hydrological units occur here.
10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.157543	2022	Sutton and Price	MODFLOW-SURFACT	Solute transport and WT simulations. Sodium transport implications for fen development.
10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.04.001; 10.1088/1748-9326/ab96d4	2019 & 2020	Taufik et al.	SWAP	Predicting drought severity in tropical peatlands (may not be as applicable)
10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.05.027; 10.1002/hyp.11183	2015 & 2017	Thompson et al.	HGS	One of these papers is also included in the Autio et al. review. Yielding primarily hydraulic heads to investigate more GW-SW interactions and climate change impacts on Boreal peatlands
10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.10.039	2006	Tiemeyer et al.	Numerical model (unnamed)	Based on boussinesq equation, development of a model from a limited dataset (requires only Ks, Sy, and weights)
10.1002/eco.2445	2022	Tilak et al.	HYDRUS-1D	Surface controls in peat hydrology and resilience to disturbances
10.5194/bg-17-4769-2020	2020	Urzainki et al.	Numerical model (unnamed)	Again, boussinesq-based. Full explanations of the model are available in 2 cited papers. Canal blocking optimization.
10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.07.016	2006	Weiss et al.	1D numerical model (unnamed)	WT levels with time along with peat temperatures in boreal peatlands
10.1016/j.envsoft.2016.06.004	2016	Zi et al.	GEOTop	3D hydrology and transport for soil erosion dynamics.

**Table S1.2: GHG Dynamics**

DOI link(s), chronological order	Year(s)	Authors	Model	Brief description
10.3390/rs10040565	2018	Arroyo-Mora et al.	GIS – LiDAR and empirical models	Estimating photosynthetic activity and carbon uptake spatially
10.5194/cp-5-361-2009	2009	Berrittella and van Huissteden	PEATLANDVU and BIOME	Calculating process-based plot-scale vegetation NPP (BIOME) and CH4 emissions (PEATLANDVU)
10.3390/rs10040565	2018	Bona et al.	The Canadian model for peatlands (CaMP)	Canada-specific model for carbon budgeting: yields CO2, CH4, and WT estimates for entire peatlands (“large spatial scale”). Sort of a step up from just finding NEE/CH4 site by site.
10.1007/s10584-012-0445-1	2020	Bosio et al.	GIS and HadCM3	Vegetation change and CO2/CH4 emission based on “vegetation type specific flux estimates” with respect to climate change
10.1007/s10021-019-00430-5	2020	Chamberlain et al.	R-coded machine learning	Methane-specific connections between GHGs and salinity in drought conditions
10.5194/tc-13-647-2019; 10.1029/2019jg005355	2019 (Feb) &	Chang et al.	Ecosys	Carbon cycle sensitivities to permafrost thaw; methane production with permafrost thaw

	2019 (Oct)			
10.1594/pangaea.875116	2017	Chaudhary et al.	LPJ-GUESS	Process-based combination of vegetation dynamics and GHGs used here for an arctic Holocene model
10.5194/bg-11-4753-2014; 10.1002/2014jg002880	2014 & 2015	Deng et al.	DeNitrification DeComposition (DNDC)	Combining a soil temperature model with DNDC to model permafrost thaw and GHG fluxes; effects of changing temperatures and WT on C-fluxes.
10.1029/2008wr007284	2008	Dinsmore & Billett	Empirical model (unnamed)	CO2 in a peatland stream (may be DOC actually?)
10.1002/eco.1592	2015	Evrendilek	Neural network	Extrapolating NEE spatially to assess sink/source strength in response to hydrology/extreme events
10.1016/j.cosust.2011.08.010; 10.1007/s11027-013-9517-4	2011 & 2014	Farmer et al.	Model review; TROPP-CAT	From the first review of peatland GHG emissions models, the following models in a TROPICAL context were mentioned: HPM, McGill Wetland Model, Yasso, MERES, LPJ, RothC, SUNDIAL, and ECOSSE, as well as PEATLAND, PnET, and SIMGRO. The second paper developed a new peatland-plantation carbon tool specific for tropical plantations on peatlands, but maybe could be adjusted for a northern forestry context. Effects of microtopography, temp, & precip on CO2/CH4 exchange in arctic tundra
10.1002/2017jg004037	2017	Grant et al.	Ecosys	Impacts of seawater inundation of carbon cycle. Hand-calculated model of carbon emissions based on 4 inundation scenarios
10.1029/2006jg000395	2008	Henman and Poulter	Numerical model (unnamed)	Hand-developed CH4 emission model for Mer Bleue. 1D.
10.1007/s00254-008-1613-5	2009	Lai	Numerical model (unnamed)	
10.5281/zenodo.6802101	2022	Lippmann	PVN	Source code and documents about the PVN model for peatland GHG emissions including plant functional types. Does not link paper which use the model.
10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.08.014	2017	Parkkari et al.	Maxent (machine learning)	Spatial variation of GHG fluxes on a landscape distribution level
10.5194/bg-5-111-2008; 10.1017/s0016774600000913 10.1029/97gb02302	2008 & 2009	Petrescu et al.	PEATLAND-VU	Hydrological parametrization of methane emissions modelling in the arctic; a modelling approach in the Netherlands
	1997	Potter	Mathematical model	Carnegie-Ames-Stanford Approach (CASA) for simulating methane production and emission from wetlands
10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142433	2021	Premrov et al.	ECOSSE	CO2 fluxes from a drained and rewetted peatland based on water table simulation.
--	2015	Roulet & Wang	McGill Wetland Model	NEE, CH4, and DOC exchanges modelled for a thawing palsa peatland
10.1016/j.foreco.2021.119479 10.1029/2007jg000605	2021 2008	Shanin et al. Sonnentag et al.	ROMUL_Hum BEPS-TerrainLab	Humic decomposition to describe C-fluxes in forested peatlands. Vegetation-based C-fluxes under peatland hydrology; tweaked to predict WT as well.
10.7809/b-e.00127	2012	Thiele et al.	GEST-Model	Access to abstract only. Belarus-specific assessment tool of peatland restoration and GHG emissions
10.1029/96gl03577	1996	Walter et al.	Process-based model (unnamed in abstract; no access)	Methane emissions in natural wetlands as a function of hydrologic/thermal conditions
10.5194/gmd-3-565-2010 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.08.084	2010 2012	Wania et al. Worrall & Clay	LPJ-WHyMe Durham Carbon Model	Extension of globally flexible models for wetland methane emissions. Impacts of sheep grazing on carbon balance; simulates GHG fluxes and defines a GHG "carry capacity" for sheep populations in developing emissions factors
10.1002/jgrg.20045	2013	Wu et al.	McGill Wetland Model	Likely a precursor to CLASS3W-MWM; simulating 6 years of C-fluxes in an oligotrophic minerogenic peatland

**Table S1.3: Peat Accumulation**

DOI link(s), chronological order	Year(s)	Authors	Model	Brief description
10.1111/j.0022-0477.2004.00905.x	2004	Bauer	Numerical model (unnamed)	Dry peat mass storage model leading to accumulation; simulating the long-term impacts of litter quality
10.1111/j.1529-8817.2003.00783.x	2004	Belyea & Malmer	Mathematical model (unnamed)	Simulating historical carbon sequestration responses to climate over millenia
10.1672/0277- 5212(2002)022(0100:mcairm)2.0.co;2 10.5194/esd-1-1-2010	2002	Chimner et al.	CENTURY	Long-term C-accumulation and short-term changes in C-accumulation due to changes in hydrology.
	2010	Frolking et al.	Holocene Peat Model (HPM)	Description of the model in a paper – yield NPP, decomposition, water balance, and peat accumulation.
10.1890/06-1267.1	2007	Larsen et al.	PeatAccrete	Ridge and slough ecohydrological feedbacks impacting long-term landscape morphology (century scale)
10.1029/2012jg002092	2013	Quillet et al.	HPM	Sensitivity analysis for HPM determining main drivers for peat accumulation
10.1029/2009wr008242	2010	Rennermalm et al.	PAM_T/SPAM_T	Stochastic peat accumulation model based on the hydrological cycle, determining the long-term influence of hydrological variability
10.1029/2012gl051500	2012	Swindles et al.	DigiBog	Reconstruction of past bog growth, WT, and links (or lack thereof) with climate
10.1002/2016jg003452	2016	Wang et al.	Peat Terrestrial Ecosystem Model (P-TEM)	Containing modules for hydrology, soil thermal and methane, though this paper focuses on carbon accumulation (Technically, this is a "coupled" model but the focus is what puts it in this category).
10.1007/s12665-011-1073-1	2012	Wu	Peat Accumulation Model (PAM) within STELLA 9.0	Dynamic system modelling approach. Linking peat development responses to (imposed) climate changes.

**Table S1.4: Global Models**

DOI link(s), chronological order	Year(s)	Authors	Model	Brief description
10.5194/hess-18-3319-2014; 10.1029/2018ms001574; 10.1016/j.rse.2020.111805 10.3390/rs12182936	2014, 2019, & 2020	Bechtold et al.	Peatland Catchment Land Surface Model (PEAT-CLSM)	A peatland-specific attachment to the NASA-developed CLSM. More for incorporation into larger climate models. 2014 paper does not use CLSM, but is likely a precursor to its development
	2020	Burdun et al.	Optical TRapezoid Method (OPTRAM) and PEAT-CLSM	Physically based approach to estimate soil moisture remotely (OPTRAM) combined with PEAT-CLSM to develop a moisture index in peatlands
10.5281/zenodo.3829896	2020	Dietrich et al.	MAGPIE	Source code and documentation for the model: a global multi-regional energy/economy/land/climate dynamics model, which links a citation using the model on a peatland (Humpenoeder et al. down below)
10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.04.018	2013	Gong et al.	Process-based model (unnamed)	National model for CO <sub>2</sub> and CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes in pristine peatlands.
10.1029/2021ms002721	2022	Graham et al.	ELM-SPRUCE	Land surface model simulating nitrogen, carbon, and water cycling specific to the SPRUCE experiment site in Minnesota. Parametrization and sensitivities analyzed here.
10.1002/hyp.1265	2003	Hall et al.	MOSES	Hydraulic/thermal surface exchange in peat layers to contribute to GCMs
10.1088/1748-9326/abae2a	2020	Humpenoeder et al.	MAGPIE	Land-use change projections affecting peatland area and GHG emissions
10.5194/gmd-11-3279-2018	2018	Largerone et al.	ORCHIDEE ORC-HL- PEAT	TOPMODEL approach for high-latitude peatland mapping
10.1007/s00267-014-0417-5	2015	Nungesser et al.	SFWM	Legacy model specific to south Florida; long-term predictions of climate change scenarios for the Everglades
10.1029/2009gb003610	2010	Petrescu et al.	PEATLAND-VU and PCR-GLOBWB	Up-scaling PEATLAND-VU for global models. PCR-GLOBWB was used to provide daily hydrological output in the form of WT depths and snow thickness
10.5194/gmd-11-497-2018; 10.5194/gmd-12-2961-2019	2018 & 2019	Qiu et al.	ORCHIDEE-PEAT/ ORCHIDEE-MICT	CO <sub>2</sub> and hydrology for land surface derived from a global peat map. Description of model in 2018 paper and application to post-Holocene peatland C-dynamics in 2019.
10.5194/cp-9-1287-2013	2013	Spahni et al.	LPX-Bern 1.0	Integrated natural, agricultural, and peatland ecosystems N & C dynamics: vegetation-based model. Incorporates some hydrology (likely just WT). Related to LPJ as seen earlier.

**Table S1.5: Model Combinations**

DOI link(s), chronological order	Year(s)	Authors	Model	Brief description
10.5194/bg-12-5689-2015; 10.5194/gmd-9-915-2016	2015 & 2016	Aleina et al.	Hummock-hollow (HH) model	Combined with an unnamed process-based model from Walter and Heimann (2000). Modelling the effects of microtopography on CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes and upscaling CH <sub>4</sub> emission hotspots to global levels.
10.5194/hess-22-4907-2018	2018	Bernard-Jannin et al.	Hydrological model with DOC-transport (unnamed)	DOC dynamics in a rehabilitated <i>Sphagnum</i> peatland, for when a single outlet is not easily identifiable or monitored. Note neither model is housed in an interface.
10.1088/1748-9326/2/4/045015	2007	Bohn et al.	Variable infiltration capacity (VIC) macrohydro model, with the biosphere-energy- transfer-hydrology terrestrial ecosystem model (BETHY)	Grid-cell format model simulating yearlong estimates for CH <sub>4</sub> emissions, soil temperature, and water table.
10.1002/eco.2471	2022	Booth et al.	HyperNiche 2 with unnamed numerical variable-saturated GW-flow model	Combination of simulating hydrologically-based habitat niches with regional hydrology in fens (2D) for better estimates of soil moisture based on vegetation growth. Also outputs a weighted Floristic Quality Index (wFQI)
10.1007/s10533-016-0182-z	2016	de Wit et al.	PERSiSt and INCA-C	Hydrological outputs from the rainfall-runoff model PERSiSt informed DOC transport simulated by INCA-C. Identifying controls (seasalt depositions, temperature, precipitation)
10.1086/598487	2009	Eppinga et al.	Unnamed model	No full access to article. Combination of nutrient model and hydrology model for peatland surface patterning.
10.1002/2016wr019442; 10.1139/cjfr-2017-0269	2016 & 2018	Hahti et al.	FLUSH with a 1D sediment transport model (unnamed)	Sediment transport and evaluation of control in a drainage network within a forested peatland.
10.1111/j.1600- 0889.2005.00168.x	2006	Ju et al.	InTEC 3.0 with TOPMODEL	Providing a hydrological input to a GHG model InTEC on a large scale. Simulating multi-year WT depths, NEP/NBP, and soil C density.
10.1002/2015wr018057	2016	Kurylyk et al.	NEST with SUTRA	A 1D wetland and peatland hydrology model NEST link with a spatial subsurface heat transfer model SUTRA to simulate vertical/lateral heat flow during permafrost thaw/peatland landscape transition
10.1111/gcb.16359	2022	Wilson et al.	NEE and CH <sub>4</sub> flux calculations combined with ArcGIS spatial hydrology.	Producing WT simulations along with C-exchange for climate scenarios in a re-wetted peatland. Will likely read this more fully as a part of what's going on in Ireland.
10.1029/2019wr025592	2020	Xu et al.	PERSiSt and INCA-C	Another paper combining a hydrological and chemical model to look at DOC transport. One related author (Ledesma) worked on both papers. More of a water-supply focus from peatland-fed systems under climate change.

**Table S1.6: Coupled Models**

DOI link(s), chronological order	Year(s)	Authors	Model	Brief description
<i>Book chapter. ISBN: 978-1-4398-1425-3</i>	2011	Brooks et al.	PHIM WET-HAWQ	Intact and drained peat for full systems with a hydrograph input; incorporating codes for water quality and biogeochemistry
<i>10.1029/2010jg001312;</i> <i>10.1029/2010jg001586</i>	2010 & 2011	Dimitrov et al.	<i>ecosys</i>	Combination of hydrology and GHG emissions. Impact of hydrology on ecosystem respiration in 2010; impacts on NPP and NEP in 2011.
<i>10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.06.012;</i> <i>10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.08.011;</i> <i>10.5194/bg-17-5693-2020</i>	2013, 2014, & 2020	Gong et al.	RCG-C (2013 & 2014) and Peatland Moss Simulator (2020)	Development of a <i>crop-cultivated</i> peatland model for C-fluxes and water balances. 2020 paper outlines <i>sphagnum</i> vegetation dynamics relative to water and energy balances
<i>10.5194/bg-13-2305-2016</i>	2016	He et al.	CoupModel	Drained peatlands for forestry, modelling plant and soil development from 1951 to 2011, as well as GW level, NEE, and accumulated CO <sub>2</sub> and N <sub>2</sub> O fluxes.
<i>10.3354/cr00928;</i> <i>10.1111/gcb.14298</i>	2010 & 2018	Heinemeyer et al.	MILLENIA	Development of peat-accumulation cohort model MILLENIA which also includes variable WTD to drive C-dynamics, then using MILLENIA on available climate records for reconstructions while incorporating impacts of land management on climate change.
<i>10.1046/j.1365-2745.2000.00438.x</i>	2000	Hilbert et al.	Mathematical mixed model (unnamed)	Two coupled non-linear differential equation representing change in peat depth and depth to the water table. Technically this is probably considered as just one hydrological model but because they hand-combine the two equations rather than automating it I'm putting it here.
<i>10.5772/1743</i>	2010	Ise et al.	ED2.0-peat	Predicting water table, soil temperature, and methane emissions as well as being able to simulate peat accumulation over millennia in different climate scenarios. Results did not seem very precise, though...
<i>10.1111/gcb.13931</i>	2018	Kasimir et al.	CoupModel	GHG fluxes informed by hydrology and plant production as well as crop economics
<i>10.3390/f12030293</i>	2021	Lauren et al.	SUSI	Stand growth, nutrient availability, and hydrology for drained <i>forested</i> peatlands under varied management conditions and site types
<i>10.1002/eco.2361</i>	2022	Mahdiyasa et al.	MPeat	1D peat growth model producing cumulative C and WT depths over long time scales. Compared against DigiBog and HPM.
<i>10.3390/w14091458</i>	2022	Melaku et al.	SWAT	Coupling watershed-level hydrology with CO <sub>2</sub> emissions and NEE. Semi-distributed with subroutings predicting emissions at a hydrologic response unit (HRU) level.
<i>10.5194/gmd-9-4313-2016</i>	2016	Metzger et al.	CoupModel	Parameter interactions and sensitivity analysis for the model in a natural peatland. Modelling C, heat, and water fluxes.
<i>10.5194/bg-11-577-2014;</i> <i>10.1002/2015jg003005;</i> <i>10.1002/2016jg003501;</i> <i>10.5194/bg-14-5507-2017</i> <i>10.5194/bg-11-3985-2014</i>	2014, 2015, 2016, & 2017	Mezbahuddin et al.	<i>ecosys</i>	2014: effects of seasonal variation in WT on net CO <sub>2</sub> exchange by simulating both WTD and NEP; comparing modelling versus measurements for seasonal variation; 2016: hydrological controls for a northern site; 2017: simulating WTD effects on CO <sub>2</sub> exchange in northern site.
<i>10.1002/eco.229;</i> <i>10.1002/2015wr017264;</i> <i>10.1002/2015g1066824</i>	2014	Mi et al.	PEATLAND-VU	Capturing CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes, WT position, and soil thermal properties for a 1D column (plot-scale).
<i>10.1002/eco.229;</i> <i>10.1002/2015wr017264;</i> <i>10.1002/2015g1066824</i>	2012, 2015 (Jul) & 2015 (Dec)	Morris et al.	DigiBog	Combination of hydrology and C-accumulation. Development of the model in 2012, fine-tuning peat K in models vs. measurements in Jul 2015; simulating WTDs and peat decomposition for long-term post-Holocene peat development.
<i>10.1016/j.ecohyd.2013.03.004;</i> <i>10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.04.014</i>	2013 & 2017	Nakayama	National Integrated Catchment-based Eco-hydrology (NICE), NICE-BCG	2013: distributed model which combines hydrology and ecology. Only outputs sediment nutrient loading rather than gaseous fluxes. 2017: Now coupled to include CO <sub>2</sub> and CH <sub>4</sub> fluxes in NICE-BCG.
<i>10.1016/s0304-3800(03)00067-x</i>	2003	Nungesser	HOHUM (HOlow HUMmock) – different from HH?	Combining hydrology with <i>sphagnum</i> growth and decomposition and peat accumulation. Used for microtopography modelling in hummocks and hollows
<i>10.5194/bg-12-6463-2015</i>	2015	Shi et al.	Community Land Model	Combining hydrology (chiefly WT) with vegetation dynamics. TOPMODEL-based subsurface flow approach. No GHGs.
<i>10.1029/2011jg001862</i>	2012	Sulman et al.	DLEM, LPJ, <i>ecosys</i> , ORCHIDEE, SiB SIBCASA, and TECO	Comparison of seven CO <sub>2</sub> flux models. Only <i>ecosys</i> simulates WTDs, many of the other may fall better into the GHG-only category.
<i>10.1002/eco.1526;</i> <i>10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.252</i>	2015 & 2018	Tang et al.	LPJ-GUESS	Full catchment scale coupling hydrology and carbon cycling for DOC/SOC sorption and transport.
<i>10.1078/1617-1381-00016;</i> <i>10.1002/hyp.1380</i>	2002 & 2004	Trepel and Kluge	DILAMO (2002) and WETTRANS (2004)	DILAMO combines water/nitrogen/carbon cycles, and able to be incorporated into GIS with DEMs. WETTRANS couples hydrology with N-exchange in riparian peatlands.
<i>10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.10.004</i> <i>10.1080/07055900.2012.730980;</i> <i>10.1002/2014gb004845</i> <i>10.1002/2016wr019898</i>	2013 2012 & 2014 2017	Webster et al. Wu et al. Young et al.	Wetland-DNDC CLASS3W-MWM (from McGill WM) DigiBog	Fen carbon dynamics over multiple decades coupled with hydrology. coupled land-surface scheme and peatland C model. Responses of bogs and fens
<i>10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.127137</i>	2021	Yuan et al.	ELM-SPRUCE E3SM	2D application of DigiBog investigating the effects of ditch damming on peat depths and water table, in short- and long-term scales.
<i>10.1029/2001gb001838</i>	2002	Zhang et al.	Wetland-DNDC	Hydrological impacts on peatland CH <sub>4</sub> emission under climate scenarios
				Fully explained model and tested in multiple locations.