Mobilisation thresholds for coral rubble and consequences for

2 windows of reef recovery

Tania M. Kenyon^{1*}, Daniel Harris², Tom Baldock³, David Callaghan³, Christopher 4 Doropoulos⁴, Gregory Webb², Steven P. Newman⁵ and Peter J. Mumby¹.

¹Marine Spatial Ecology Lab, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Australia.

- ²School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Australia.
 ³School of Civil Engineering, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Australia.
- ⁴Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, St. Lucia, Australia.
 ⁵Banyan Tree Marine Laboratory, Vabbinfaru, North Male' Atoll, Maldives.
- 10 Correspondence to: Tania M. Kenyon (<u>tania.kenyon@uq.net.au</u>)

Keywords: coral reef; hydrodynamics; sediment transport; rubble stabilisation; Maldives; Vabbinfaru; 12 disturbance.

1

Abstract

- The proportional cover of rubble on reefs is predicted to increase as disturbances increase in intensity and frequency. Unstable rubble can kill coral recruits and impair binding processes that consolidate rubble into a stable
 substrate for coral recruitment. A clearer understanding of the mechanisms of inhibited coral recovery on rubble requires characterisation of the hydrodynamic conditions that trigger rubble mobilisation. Here, we investigated
- rubble mobilisation under regular wave conditions in a wave flume and irregular wave conditions *in-situ* on a coral reef in the Maldives. We examined how changes in near-bed wave orbital velocity influenced the likelihood
 of rubble motion (e.g., rocking) and transport (by walking, sliding or flipping). Rubble mobilisation was
- considered as a function of rubble length, branchiness (branched vs. unbranched), and underlying substrate (rubble
 vs. sand). The effect of near-bed wave orbital velocity on rubble mobilisation was comparable between flume and
- reef observations. As near-bed wave orbital velocity increased, rubble was more likely to rock, be transported and
 travel greater distances. Averaged across length, branchiness and substrate, loose <u>rubble had a 50% chance of</u>
- transport when near-bed wave orbital velocities reached 0.30 m/s in both the wave flume and on the reef. However,
 small and/or unbranched rubble pieces were generally mobilised more and at lower velocities than larger,
- branched rubble_Rubble also travelled slightly greater distances (~2 cm) per day on substrates composed of sand

 28
 than rubble. Importantly, if rubble was interlocked, it was very unlikely to move (<7% chance) even at the highest</td>
- velocity tested (0.4 m/s). Furthermore, the probability of rubble transport per day declined over 3-day deployments
 in the field, suggesting rubble had <u>snagged or settled</u> into more hydrodynamically-stable positions within the first days of deployment. We expect that snagged <u>or settled</u> rubble <u>is</u> mobilised more commonly in locations with
- 32 higher energy and more variable wave environments. <u>At our field site in the Maldives</u>, we expect recovery windows for binding (when rubble is stable) to occur predominantly during the calmer north-eastern monsoon
- 34 when wave energy impacting the atoll is significantly less and wave heights are smaller. Our results show that rubble beds comprised of small rubble pieces and/or pieces with fewer branches are likely to have shorter windows.
- 36 of recovery (stability) between mobilisation events, and thus be good candidates for rubble stabilisation interventions to enhance coral recruitment and binding.

Moved (insertion) [1]

Deleted: . Moved (insertion) [2]

Deleted: R

Deleted: and 90%

Deleted: and 0.43 m/s, respectively,

Deleted: , and 0.34 m/s and 0.55 m/s, respectively, on

Deleted: Rubble was more likely to be transported if ieces were small (4–8 cm) and had no branche

Deleted: s, and

Deleted: r

Moved up [1]: The effect of near-bed wave orbital velocity on rubble mobilisation was comparable between flume and reef observations.

Moved up [2]: Rubble had a 50% and 90% chance of transport when near-bed wave orbital velocities reached 0.30 m/s and 0.43 m/s, respectively, in the wave flume, and 0.34 m/s and 0.55 m/s, respectively, on the reef.

Deleted: or snagged on

Deleted: settled or

Deleted: may have been

Deleted: be more unstable. Such rubble beds are likely to



1 Introduction

60 Coral reefs routinely experience disturbances that physically break up reef rock and live coral skeletons into fragments within the cycle of erosion and accretion (Scoffin 1992, 1993; Blanchon and Jones 1997; Blanchon et
62 al. 1997). Some of these coral fragments reattach, contributing to asexual recruitment (Highsmith 1982) while others die and contribute to the accumulation of rubble on the substrate, which is naturally high on some reefs
64 (Davies 1983, Thornborough 2012). Disturbances, including storms, dynamite fishing, ship groundings and trampling, can cause large accumulations of rubble (Woodley et al. 1981a, Hawkins and Roberts 1993, Scoffin 1993, Gittings et al. 1994, Fox and Caldwell 2006, Viehman et al. 2018). Coral bleaching and disease do not directly reduce structural complexity, but result in *in-situ* mortality and eventual breakdown of the coral skeleton
68 into rubble (Scoffin and McLean 1978, Aronson and Precht 1997). As sea surface temperatures rise, storm and

cyclone intensity is predicted to increase, particularly in the Atlantic and West Pacific (Meehl et al. 2007, Knutsonet al. 2010), and bleaching events are becoming more frequent (Hoegh-Guldberg 1999, Hughes et al. 2018). Reefs



72 are predicted to 'flatten' into systems with high rubble:coral ratios over time as recovery windows between disturbance events become increasingly smaller (Lewis 2002, Hoegh-Guldberg et al. 2007, Alvarez-Filip et al.

- 74 2009). High rubble cover can persist in an unstable state for years to decades on some damaged reefs (Dollar and Tribble 1993, Lasagna et al. 2008, Chong-Seng et al. 2014, Viehman et al. 2018, Fox et al. 2019) and can also
- 76 form persistent rubble beds that remain for centuries to millennia (Montaggioni 2005, Yu et al. 2012, Liu et al. 2016, Clark et al. 2017).
- 78 A key determinant of recovery on reefs where large tracts of coral have been turned to rubble is the stability of rubble. Rubble mobilisation correlates with flow velocity (Bruno 1998, Cheroske et al. 2000, Viehman et al.
 80 2018), wind speed and wave energy (Cameron et al. 2016), and in meso-tidal regions with water depth, inundation
- 80 2018), wind speed and wave energy (Cameron et al. 2016), and in meso-tidal regions with water depth, inundation duration and tidal phase (Thornborough 2012). Hydrodynamic forcing above a certain threshold will cause rubble
- 82 to be mobilised by sliding or flipping (Viehman et al. 2018). Moreover, the loss of structurally-complex framework reduces a coral reef's capacity to dissipate hydrodynamic energy, leading to greater near-bed orbital
- 84 flow velocities over rubble beds (Guihen et al. 2013). Frequent mobilisation events in a rubble bed can hinder the recovery of coral assemblages by increasing mortality of sexual and asexual coral recruits within the rubble bed
- 86 through abrasion and smothering (Brown and Dunne 1988, Clark and Edwards 1995, Kenyon et al. 2020). Furthermore, mobilisation could break binds formed by encrusting organisms between individual rubble pieces,
- preventing the binding of rubble into a stable substrate (Rasser and Riegl 2002). Rubble mobilisation under everyday wave conditions (as opposed to storm events) has resulted in a lack of recovery of coral assemblages
 over a period of 6 (Viehman 2017) to 17 years (Fox et al. 2019) post-disturbance. Under future climate scenarios,
- sea level rise might also result in enhanced rubble mobilisation (Kenyon et al. 2022) via increased wave orbital
 velocities on some reefs (Baldock et al. 2014a, 2014b). Implications of the persistence of rubble beds with low
- structural complexity extend beyond reduced coral cover, including reduced fish abundance, diversity and fisheries productivity (Luckhurst and Luckhurst 1978, Graham et al. 2006, Rogers et al. 2018) and reduced coastal
- protection (Ferrario et al. 2014, Harris et al. 2018b). To predict and manage the recovery potential of post-disturbance rubble beds, we must understand the drivers and frequency of rubble mobilisation.

 Although disturbances attributed to hydrological regimes are well studied in some systems, e.g., substrate stability
 98

 in streams and intertidal areas (Sousa 1979, Townsend et al. 1997, Suren and Duncan 1999, Hardison and Layzer

- 2001), studies on rubble mobilisation on coral reefs are in their infancy. Sediment transport studies commonly
 deal with smaller particles than rubble, including sand, silt and clay (<2 mm according to the modified Udden-Wentworth grain-size scale) (Blair and McPherson 1999). As hydrodynamic energy increases, sediment from a
- 102 larger range of size classes are transported (Komar and MIller 1973, Kench 1998a, Nielsen and Callaghan 2003), in some cases on vast scales during cyclones and hurricanes (Hubbard 1992, Keen et al. 2004). Attention has also
- been given to movement initiation of boulders from <u>20 kg</u> to ~290 t (Nott 1997, 2003, Imamura et al. 2008, Etienne and Paris 2010, Nandasena et al. 2011, Kain et al. 2012). While coral rubble can be boulder-sized (Rasser
- 106 and Riegl 2002), clasts are typically much smaller, averaging 5–30 cm in length and as small as 1 cm (Highsmith et al. 1980, Heyward and Collins 1985, Kay and Liddle 1989, Dollar and Tribble 1993, Fong and Lirman 1995).
- 108 Few studies have monitored mobilisation of rubble in this size range with knowledge of the wave environment and flow rate estimates, particularly in field environments (Cheroske et al. 2000, Viehman et al. 2018).
- Deleted: destabilising

 Deleted: triggered

 Deleted: by varying

 Deleted: other fluvial

 Deleted: including

Deleted: 0.02

116 The probability that rubble will remain stable depends not only on hydrodynamic forcing but also on rubble characteristics (e.g., size and shape), and the type and bathymetry of the underlying substrate (the 'pre-transport

- environment') (Nott 2003, Nandasena et al. 2011). While their densities may vary slightly, research on the survivorship of live coral fragments provides insight into the behaviour of (dead) rubble pieces. Studies show that
 the likelihood of coral fragment survival decreases with decreasing size (Smith and Hughes 1999), likely due to increased mobilisation of smaller fragments (Hughes 1999). Fragments with non-branching morphologies have
- 122 reduced survival compared to those with branching morphologies (Tunnicliffe 1981, Heyward and Collins 1985, Smith and Hughes 1999), likely potentially due to greater mobility and increased smothering of less complex
- 124 shapes. The stability and survival of fragments also varies with substrate type and bathymetry. Live fragments tend to survive more commonly on rubble than on sand substrates (Heyward and Collins 1985, Bruno 1998,
- Bowden-Kerby 2001, Prosper 2005, Kenyon et al. 2020) and are transported further in reef slope zones where gravity assists mobilisation, than in planar lagoons with low slope angles (Smith and Hughes 1999). Steep slopes
- 128 can foster downslope transport and the formation of a rubble talus (Dollar and Tribble 1993, Rasser and Riegl 2002). Rubble beds on reef slopes generated by intense disturbances and comprising small, unbranched rubble,
 130 are therefore likely at high risk of subsequent mobilisation. However, to our knowledge there has been no study
- 130 are therefore likely at high risk of subsequent mobilisation. However, to our knowledge there has been no study where the threshold of mobilisation for individual rubble pieces of varying shapes and sizes, and on different substrate types and slopes, has been empirically determined in both controlled and field settings.
- Here, we report how the probability of rubble mobilisation changes as near-bed wave orbital velocity increases 134 under average (everyday) hydrodynamic conditions. We quantified the thresholds required to mobilise coral
- rubble, and identified effects of rubble size and morphology, underlying substrate type, and slope angle, on the
 likelihood of mobilisation. Experiments were conducted in a controlled, wave flume environment, and replicated as closely as possible in the field to extend findings from a regular (monochromatic) wave environment to an
- irregular wave environment. We hypothesised that the probability of rubble mobilisation would decrease as: (i) rubble size increases; (ii) morphological complexity increases (of both the rubble and of the substrate type); and
 (iii) as the slope angle decreases (and the contribution of gravity subsequently decreases). Managers of reefs that
- (iii) as the slope angle decreases (and the contribution of gravity subsequently decreases). Managers of reefs that exhibit a significant increase in rubble cover can use <u>the mobilisation estimates reported here</u>, coupled with knowledge of the reef's hydrodynamic exposure (e.g., a modelled time series of wave climate estimates), rubble
- typology, and other environmental factors, to predict the <u>frequency of everyday rubble mobilisation and the</u>likelihood of natural rubble stabilisation and recovery.
 - 2 Methods

146 2.1 Mobilisation in flume

To determine the velocity required to mobilise rubble, trials were conducted in a wave flume (l: 20 m; w: 2 m; d: 1.2 m) using a DHI Technologies piston wave maker (Figure 1 a-b; see Baldock et al. 2017) for general description). Cylindrical rubble pieces (from hard coral species with branching morphologies) were collected from Lizard Island, Great Barrier Reef in 2017 after the 2016 bleaching event. Rubble was divided into four size categories based on axial length (4–8 cm; 9–15 cm; 16–23 cm; and 24–36 cm; all with a diameter of 1–2 cm) and

Deleted: on

Deleted: the

Deleted: information presented here

two 'branchiness' categories: unbranched (if rubble had no branches > 1 cm length) and branched (if rubble had 156 branches > 1 cm length), with 5–10 pieces in each size/branchiness group. The size range of rubble used in the laboratory phase of the study is consistent with that commonly observed on reefs following natural and 158 anthropogenic disturbances (Highsmith et al. 1980, Heyward and Collins 1985, Dollar and Tribble 1993, Fong and Lirman 1995), as well as the size range (1 - 27 cm, mean 7 cm) of 440 rubble pieces measured from 160 Vabbinfaru Reef (which also suffered bleaching in 2016) where the field portion of this study was undertaken, The mobilisation of 'loose' (not interlocked) cylindrical rubble was tested on two substrate types: sand and rubble. 162 Beach sand ~2 cm (grain size d50=0.28mm) deep was spread over the flume base to form the sand substrate (Figure 1 a). The rubble substrate comprised 'Serenity Aquatics' Coral Rubble (l: 3-5 cm) glued to a plywood base (l: 164 2 m; w: 1 m) which lay on the concrete base of the flume (Figure 1 b). The mobilisation of interlocked rubble was tested on a second rubble substrate, which comprised a stainless-steel mesh with rubble of mean length 9 cm (3–

20 cm range) attached with cable ties (Figure S1). The height of both bases averaged 2 cm, although some rubble pieces protruded up to 5.5 cm in the second base. Small and medium-sized <u>branched</u> cylindrical rubble of 4–15 cm length were manually interlocked with the second rubble base prior to testing. Larger rubble and unbranched rubble could not be suitably interlocked and therefore were not tested on the second rubble base.



170

Figure 1: Experimental rubble (painted) lined up along a reference line (a) in flume with sand substrate; (b) in flume with rubble substrate to test loose-pieces, and inset close-up view; (c) in the field in a shallow reef flat site (2–3 m); and (d) in the field in an exposed deep site (6–7 m, western reef) (Source: T Kenyon).

- **174** Rubble was placed along a reference line parallel with the wave paddle, with the long axis normal (perpendicular) to flow to identify the minimum velocity threshold (short-axis normal to flow requires a higher threshold) (Figure
- 176 1 a-b). The wave maker ran 30-second bursts of regular (monochromatic) waves, starting at water depth (h) =

Deleted: the

Deleted: r

Deleted: (mean length 7 cm: 1 - 27 cm range)

Deleted: A limited number of pieces (n = 10) with noncylindrical morphologies (8–23 cm) were also tested and results are in Supplementary Material (Figure S1).

Deleted: that had branches

Deleted: '
Deleted: '
Deleted: agoon

0.42 m, wave height (H) = 0.05 m and wave period (T) = 1 s. Wave height (H) was increased in 0.02 m increments 188 at the same period (T), Three replicate waves were run for each wave height and period combination and the movement type for each rubble piece was recorded for each replicate run. Weak binds can be damaged by even 190 small rocking motions, and corals could be abraded and smothered by rubble transport and flipping. Thus, the movement categories chosen were: no movement (rubble remained stable and in the same position); rocking 192 (rubble rocked back and forth and in some cases rotated, but remained in the same position); transport by walking/sliding (rubble walked/skittered or slid away from initial position); and transport by flipping (rubble 194 overturned at least once). If a piece rocked, then slid and then flipped, the movement type was marked as flipping, because more force is required to overturn a piece than to rock or slide it (Imamura et al. 2008, Viehman et al. 196 2018). The near-bed wave orbital velocity (m/s) for each run was estimated using the Soulsby Cosine Approximation (Soulsby 2006), shown to produce similar estimates to linear wave theory (within 0.01 m/s) 198 (Figure S2, Table S1). To determine whether scaling effects were necessary to compare velocity thresholds between flume and field 200 conditions, we derived a relationship for the contribution of the inertia force to the total maximum force as a proportion of the drag force, for all wave conditions for each run. Total force depends on both the inertia force 202 and drag force components, and while the inertia component is dependent on velocity and wave period, the drag component is solely dependant on velocity (Table S1). Thus, where conditions are determined to be drag 204 dominated, rubble movement depends primarily on velocity, and valid comparisons between flume and field can be made despite their variance in wave period. 206 The inertia component and maximum force for each wave height and period combination in the flume, based on an average coral diameter of 1.64 cm (range ~1-2 cm), are shown in Table S1. Only 19 out of 71 wave 208 conditions in the flume have the potential for the inertia force to be significant, and of those, only 7 had a $\frac{F_{T}}{T}$ ratio >2, meaning that nearly all wave conditions in the flume led to drag-dominated conditions. Furthermore, 210 the inertial component decreases as velocity increases (Figure S5), and inertial forces were negligible at the 50% and 90% thresholds (see results for further explanation). The flume and field experiments are therefore 212 comparable without scaling effects,

2.2 Mobilisation in field

214 To compare flume trials to a natural reef setting, trials were conducted in the field across different reef zones on Vabbinfaru Reef, North Male' Atoll, Maldives (4°18'35"N, 73°25'26" E). The reef crest is 0.6–1.5 m below mean
216 sea level and surrounds a shallow subtidal reef flat (~1.17 m below mean sea level) and sand cay (Morgan and Kench, 2012). Tidal ranges in the region are microtidal; 0.6 m and 1.2 m during neap and spring tides, respectively

- (Kench et al. 2009). When this study was conducted, rubble cover was high on the reef flat and on the reef slope following bleaching events in 1998 and 2016 (Zahir et al. 2009, Perry and Morgan 2017). Coral cover on the reef
 crest was reduced from 50–75% down to 9% (Banyan Tree Marine Laboratory, unpublished data). The Maldives
- has two distinct monsoon seasons: the wet from April to October during which stronger winds (mean: 10 knots)
 blow predominantly from the southwest; and the dry from November to March where north-eastern winds are
- 222 blow predominantly from the southwest; and the dry from November to March where north-eastern winds are gentler on average (mean: 9 knots) (Kench et al. 2006). The western and north-eastern monsoons correspond to

Deleted: , and if wave-breaking was observed, the period was increased by 0.5 s

Deleted: rubble walked, i.e., saltated,

Deleted: Wave orbital velocities obtained in the flume were comparable to those measured in the field, hence scaling of the analyses was not required.

Formatted: Normal (Web), Left

Formatted: Font colour: Text 1

Deleted: shallow lagoon
Deleted: small
Deleted: in the lagoon

minimum and maximum incident ocean swell conditions, respectively (Kench et al. 2009). Daily winds at
 Vabbinfaru average 10 knots (mean daily maximum 37 knots) and are predominantly westerly, while the southeast region of the reef is relatively sheltered year-round (Figure 2 b) (Beetham & Kench 2014).

Previous studies on Vabbinfaru reef suggest that sediment transport is largely controlled by wind-driven waves associated with the western monsoon, rather than tidally-driven currents (Morgan and Kench 2014a). Thus, rubble mobilisation was related to near-bed wave orbital velocity. To capture a gradient in wave energy, rubble

mobilisation was tracked in different sites and monsoon seasons. Fifteen field sites were delineated across reef
 flat (~2 m depth), shallow reef slope (2–3 m) and deeper reef slope (6–7 m) environments on the sheltered (southeast) and comparatively exposed (western) sides of the island (Figure 2 a). The field trials were conducted

242 in all sites in the north-eastern monsoon (late November 2017 to January 2018) and again in the western monsoon (early August to September 2018).



244

Figure 2: (a) Field sites at Vabbinfaru platform: Three 2–3 m sites on the seef flat (black); three site locations on the exposed western reef slope (red), each comprising a shallow (2–3 m) and deep (6–7 m) site; and 3 site locations on the sheltered southeast reef slope (yellow), each comprising a shallow and deep site (Source: © Google Earth). (b) Windrose of mean wind speed (knots) and wind direction data measured at Hulhumale ranging 1985-2018 for both seasons (Data source: Maldives Meteorological Service, Government of Maldives).

250 The wave environment in each of these sites and seasons was characterised using INW Aquistar ® PT2X 30 psia4 Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt pressure loggers placed on the seabed and recording continuously at $2 H_z$ (Figure 1 c). Using known processing 252 methods (Harris et al. 2015, 2018a), records from the pressure loggers were low-pass filtered to remove instrument noise and high-pass filtered to remove infragravity effects (at 0.05 Hz), then split into 30-minute runs to remove 254 tidal influence (Hughes and Moseley 2007). Pressure was converted to depth, and wave spectra for each 30-minute run were calculated between 0.0033-0.33 Hz using the Welch method for computing power spectral densities from 256 3600 sample records, to obtain significant wave height (H_s) and peak wave period (T_p). The near-bed wave orbital velocity was then estimated for each 30-minute run using linear wave theory using Eq. (3). Deleted: 1 Deleted: (3) $U = \frac{H_s}{2sinh_k(k)} \cdot \frac{2\pi}{T_n}$ where the wave number (k) was determined by solving Eq. (4) 258 (4) $\varpi^2 = gk \sinh(kh)$ where ω is the wave radian frequency $(2\pi/T_p)$, h is water depth, and g the 260 acceleration due to gravity.

Deleted: lagoon

Deleted: i

Deleted: lagoon

266 The contribution of the inertia force to the total maximum force as a proportion of the drag force was estimated for each H_s and T_p combination used in the field analysis, based on an average coral diameter of 1.69 cm (range 268 ~1-3 cm) (Table S2). Only 1 out of 90 wave conditions in the field had the potential for inertia to be significant, meaning that most conditions in the field were drag-dominated. Furthermore, this one condition corresponded to 270 a very low velocity (0.016 m/s), far from the reported 50% and 90% transport threshold velocities. Rubble movement was tracked while the wave environment was measured, to correlate rubble mobilisation with 272 near-bed wave orbital velocity. At each site and in each season, ~20 marked (painted yellow) rubble pieces of axial length category 4-8 cm, ~20 pieces 9-15 cm and ~10 pieces 16-23 cm of both branched and unbranched 274 varieties were placed along and directly beneath a reference string strung parallel to the reef crest (Figure 1 c-d). A black dot was painted on the underside of each piece. The substrate beneath the rubble was recorded as either 276 sand, rubble or hard carbonate, and the slope angle was measured at 50-cm intervals along the reference string using a spirit level and right-angle set square. As the depth on the reef slope likely excluded swash effects, the net 278 direction of mobilisation was expected to be downslope aided by gravity, rather than upslope with wave direction. Mobilisation direction on the reef flat, however, was expected to be shoreward. Generally, reef flat sites were 280 characterised by flatter slopes, shallow reef slope sites by gentle slopes, and deeper reef slope sites by steeper

- slopes (Figure 1 c-d). The perpendicular distance from the reference string to each rubble piece was recorded over three days, approximately 24, 48 and 72 hours after deployment. A transect tape was laid along the reference string to also record the point along the tape with which the rubble piece aligned. These two measurements were used to calculate the diagonal distance travelled by the rubble piece during each 24-hour interval over three days. Whether or not the piece rotated or flipped was also recorded (if ≥ 50% of the black dot was visible). A piece was
- 286 only considered to have moved if it was >1 cm from its starting point. This buffer provided a degree of conservatism to account for possible variations in the angle of gaze looking down on the reference string. Rocking movements could not be recorded in-situ as rubble pieces were not continually observed.

From the 30-minute runs across each 3-day period and site (144 each period and site), the <u>fastest</u> wave orbital velocity <u>(calculated from peak wave height and period)</u> was selected for each day, to regress with observed rubble movement on that day. A total of 90 fastest wave orbital velocities were thus used in the analyses that included
 all three days (1 velocity per day x 3 days x 15 sites x 2 seasons), and 30 in the analyses that included the first day only (1 velocity for each 'day 1' x 15 sites x 2 seasons).

294 2.3 Statistical analyses

The movement categories of rocking, transport, and flipping (in the flume), and transport and flipping (in the field), were modelled as binary (Bernouli) responses, and classed as either a '0' or a '1' depending on the analysis (Table 1). For example, when modelling the probabily of transport in the flume, rubble was classed as '0' if it did not move or rocked only, and '1' if it walked/slid or flipped. Movements of walking, sliding and flipping were considered in this case in order to compare mobilisation thresholds across flume and field (transported rubble in the field could have moved by any of these three movement types) (Table 1). Similarly, when modelling the probabily of flipping in the flume, rubble was classed as '0' if it did not move, rocked, walked/slid, and as a '1' only if it flipped. All analyses were conducted in R (R Core Team 2020). For all models, backwards step-wise selection was used to remove non-significant terms, whereby reduced models were compared to full models using

Deleted: $U = \frac{H_s}{2sinh(kh)}, \frac{2\pi}{T_p} \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow (1)^{\text{m}}$ where $k = \frac{2\pi}{T_p \sqrt{9.8h}}$ (using shallow water approximation) and *h* is water depth.

Deleted: i Deleted: lagoon Deleted: lagoon Deleted: Longer time periods would provide more information but were logistically unattainable. Deleted: at Deleted: with along the tape

Formatted: Font: Not Italic
Deleted: maximum (peak)
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, (Asian) Chinese (China)
Formatted: Font colour: Auto
Formatted: Font colour: Auto
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Font colour: Auto
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, (Asian) Chinese (China)
Deleted: peak
Deleted: for
Deleted:
Deleted: 1 velocity per 3 days across 15 sites in two seasons
Formatted: Font colour: Auto
Formatted: Font: Not Bold, (Asian) Chinese (China)
Formatted: Font colour: Auto
Deleted: <#>for day 1 only. The peak velocities were considered the most likely to have initiated movement and this approach was supported during data exploration as models including the peak wave orbital velocity consistently explained

more variability in rubble movement than those

observational period.

that included an average of the velocities for each

the corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc) with package "MuMIn" (Bartoń 2020). Model assumptions were assessed using diagnostic plots.

Table 1 Rubble movement types associated with each type of analysis from flume observations (i.e., probability of

330

334

328

rocking, transport and flipping for <u>loose</u>, not interlocked, cylindrical rubble) and the analysis from field observations to which each was compared.

Flume analyses	Movement types	Movement types classed as '1'	Comparison to which field analyses	-	Formatted Table
	clussed us o				Deleted:
Rocking	No movement	Rocking (all other movement types excluded for this	N/A (Rocking could not be distinguished in the field as rubble was not observed		Deleted:
		analysis)	continuously).		Deleted: monitored
Transport	Rocking; or	Walking/sliding; or	Transport >1 cm		
	No movement	Flipping		4	Formatted: Space After: 6 pt
Flipping	Walking/sliding; Rocking;	Flipping	Flipping		
	or No movement				

The probability of flipping alone may have been underestimated in the field, i.e., a rubble piece might have rolled a complete 360°, meaning the black dot was on the underside and not visible at the time of observation. Thus, the most appropriate comparison of mobilisation thresholds in the flume and field was between the threshold of

transport in the flume for <u>loose</u> (not interlocked) cylindrical rubble and the threshold of transport in the field.

2.3.1 Mobilisation in flume

338 To identify the effects of rubble and substrate characteristics on the mobilisation of loose (not interlocked) rubble, logistic regression models were run using the base R 'stats' package, with the type of movement as the response 340 variable and velocity, rubble size, branchiness, substrate and all interaction terms up to 3rd order interactions, as explanatory variables. The analysis of the probability of rocking only considered trials where rocking (no 342 transport) was the greatest movement observed. Interactions were investigated by conducting pairwise comparisons across levels of factors at velocities of 0.1 m/s, 0.2 m/s, 0.3 m/s and 0.4 m/s using the 'emmeans' 344 package with Tukey adjustment (Lenth 2020). It is expected that rubble beds in situ contain a variety of shapes and sizes of pieces and span multiple substrate types. Thus, to determine the threshold velocities at which 50% 346 and 90% of rubble mobilise, averaged across all rubble sizes, shapes and substrates, a reduced model was run with the type of movement as the response variable and 'velocity' as the sole explanatory variable. This model only 348 used data for rubble of lengths ranging 4-23 cm (no 24-39 cm size class), to be consistent with the range of rubble used in the field and thus make thresholds comparable.

The mobilisation of interlocked rubble was analysed separately, and models included 'any movement' (movement types were combined due to low mobilisation observations) as the response variable and velocity, rubble size and
a_velocity:size interaction as explanatory variables. To determine the most common movement types for interlocked rubble, another model was run using 'any movement' as the response variable, velocity, rubble size, movement type and interactions as explanatory variables (although only movement type remained in the model).

Deleted: 'free'

Deleted: 'free'

Deleted:

Deleted: low (0.10 m/s), medium (0.2 m/s) and high (0.4 m/s)

Deleted: type

2.3.2 Mobilisation in field

364 To firsly characterise near-bed wave orbital velocities for each habitat and season, the package 'glmmTMB'

(Brooks et al. 2017) was used to fit a mixed-effects model with a gamma distribution, with peak near-bed wave

366 orbital velocity (m/s) as the response variable. Due to the lack of deep sites <u>on the reef flat</u>, leading to an unbalanced design, aspect and depth were combined to form a new variable 'habitat'. Habitat was then fit as an

368 explanatory variable together with season and interactions. Site within deployment date were included as random effects.

To determine how the relationship between peak velocity and mobilisation varied across the 3-day period in each season, two mixed-effects models with binomial distributions were fit using the package 'glmmTMB', with rubble transport > 1 cm as the response variable (0 or 1), and peak near-bed wave orbital velocity and day, and their

interactions as explanatory variables. Each rubble pieces' unique ID, within site within deployment date, were included as nested random effects. A third and fourth model were fit with identical explanatory variables and

random effects, but with the probability of flipping as the response variable for each season. A fifth and sixthmodel were fit using the package 'nlme' (Pinheiro et al. 2019), utilising a gamma distribution and the same explanatory variables but with 'distance transported by rubble' as the response variable for each season. The

378 response variable was logged to achieve normality. Only rows for which rubble was transported ≥ 1 cm were retained (i.e., zeroes removed) and due to this reduction in replication, the only random effect retained for these

380 models was site.

To determine mobilisation thresholds in the field and investigate the effects of rubble and substrate characteristics 382 on mobilisation, only data from day 1 were used. This is because the day 1 conditions in the field were most like flume conditions, as rubble had been newly deployed and had no opportunity yet to settle. Furthermore, 384 mobilisation in the field was modelled against the full range of velocities pooled across habitats and seasons. A model was fit using the package 'glmmTMB' with the probability of transport > 1 cm as the response variable 386 and velocity, rubble size, branchiness, substrate and all interactions as explanatory variables. Site was included as a random effect. A second model was fit with identical explanatory variables and random effect, but with the 388 probability of flipping as the response variable. To provide a valid comparison to the mobilisation thresholds in the flume, reduced models with velocity as the sole explanatory variable were fit to determine the 50% and 90%390 thresholds for transport > 1 cm and flipping, averaged across all rubble sizes and substrates. To investigate the distance transported by rubble on day 1, a third model was fit using the "nlme" package with distance as the 392 response variable and velocity, rubble size, branchiness, and substrate as explanatory variables. No interactions were fit due to low replication of rubble pieces that had moved distances > 1 cm. Site was included as a random 394 effect.

Slope was included in each of the three models above but was found to be consistent across rubble size,
 branchiness and substrate, i.e., there were no interactions with slope when included in full models. Thus, three additional models were fit with only velocity, slope and the velocity:slope interaction as explanatory variables,
 with movement type as the response variable, and site as the random effect.

Deleted: in the lagoon

Deleted: just

3 Results

402 3.1 Mobilisation in flume

3.1.1 <u>Loose rubble - Mobilisation thresholds</u>

404	When averaged across rubble of sizes 4-23 cm, morphologies and substrates, we found that half of all rubble	
	experience rocking motions when velocities reached 0.28 m/s (SE: 0.005), and 90% of rubble rocked at \geq 0.49 m/s	
406	(SE: 0.013). At these higher velocities, pieces were less likely to rock and more likely to be transported or flipped.	
	The 50% and 90% mobilisation thresholds for rubble transport (walk/sliding/flipping) were slightly higher: 0.3	
408	m/s (SE: 0.003); and 0.43 m/s (SE: 0.006), respectively (Table S3). Near-bed wave orbital velocities had to reach	Deleted: 14
1	0.34 m/s (SE: 0.004), for 50% of rubble to flip completely, and 0.5 m/s (SE: 0.009) for 90% of rubble to flip	
410	(Table S4).	Deleted: 15
	As well as calculating the inertia component for each wave height and period combination in the flume based on	
412	the average coral diameter (see 2.1 Methods), we also made these calculations for individual runs using the unique	
	diameter of each piece. Of the cases identified as having the potential for inertia forces to be significant, 9.3%	
414	(195 of 2,081) were runs where only rocking movements were recorded. The highest velocity represented in these	
	cases was 0.2 m/s, though the large majority were much lower (Figure S6). Thus, at velocities <0.2 m/s, there is	
416	the potential for inertia forces to contribute to causing rocking motions. But, at a velocity of 0.2 m/s the	
	contribution of inertia is still only 25% of the drag force (not dominant), and the threshold of rocking conditions	
418	in the flume, reported above, are drag dominated.	
	Transport or flipping occurred in only 0.9% of runs where we determined inertia forces to be potentially significant	Formatted: Space After: 18 pt
420	(18 of 2,081 runs) (Figure S7). For these cases, the average contribution of inertia forces to the total force was	
	36% of the drag force and the highest velocity represented in these cases was 0.16 m/s (Table S7). This indicates	
422	that at low velocities <0.16 m/s, there is the potential for inertia forces to be significant. However, this cut-off is	
	well below the 50% and 90% thresholds of transport reported above, and at those velocities the inertia component	
424	contributes as little as 0.1% and at most 4.9% to the total force. The threshold of transport conditions in the flume	
	are thus drag dominated	Formatted: Font colour: Text 1
426	3.1.2 <u>Loose rubble -</u> Rubble and substrate effects on mobilsation	
ļ	Probability of 'rocking'	
428	Rubble was more likely to rock as velocity increased, but the relationship varied with rubble size, shape, and	Deleted: R
I	underlying substrate (Figure 3). Consequently, there were 3-way interactions among velocity, size and	
430	branchiness ($\chi^2 = 55.3$, $P < 0.001$), and among velocity, size and substrate ($\chi^2 = 17.8$, $P < 0.001$) (Table S ₂). The	Deleted: 2
I	branchiness of rubble was an important predictor of rocking. Across all velocities, rubble of all size classes (except	
432	for intermediate rubble 16-23 cm) was more likely to rock if they were unbranched rather than branched (Figure	
1	3 a, i-iv) (Table SQ. Once a velocity threshold was exceeded, rubble size and substrate also played a part. For	Deleted: 3

434 velocities ≥ 0.2 m/s, the rocking of smaller rubble (4–8 cm and 9–15 cm) was sensitive to the underlying substrate,

being more likely to rock on sand than rubble (Figure 3 a, i-iv) (Table S⁷). Once velocities exceeded 0.3 m/s, the smallest rubble pieces (4–8 cm) were more likely to rock than all larger-sized rubble (Table S5), averaged across
 substrate types.





Figure 3: The probability of (a) rocking, (b) transport, and (c) flipping with increasing near-bed wave orbital velocity for branched and unbranched rubble of four size categories (grey: 4-8 cm; green: 9-15 cm; light blue: 16-22 cm; dark blue 24-39 cm) on rubble and sand substrates. Note that at low velocities <0.2 m/s, we estimate there is the potential for inertia forces to contribute to causing rocking motions; and at velocities <0.16 m/s, there is the potential for inertia forces to contribute to causing transport and flipping.

Probability of 'transport' (walk/slide/flip)

450	As with rocking movements, the probability of transport also increased with velocity, depending on rubble
	characteristics and substrate, again with two 3-way interactions (velocity, size and branchiness $\chi^2_{\rm w} = 17.6$, P <
452	0.001; velocity, size and substrate $\chi^2 = 8.9$, $P < 0.03$) (Table S2). Qualitatively, the patterns for transport were
I	similar to those for rocking, but the effect of branchiness changed at high velocities. For example, unbranched
454	rubble was transported more commonly than branched rubble at velocities ≤ 0.4 m/s, after which rubble of both
	morphologies were equally as likely to be transported, at least for sizes 4-8 cm and 16-23 cm (Figure 3 b, i-iv)
456	(Table S10). Size was a clear predictor of transport, with 4-8 cm rubble more likely to be transported than two
	groups of larger rubble: 16–23 cm and 24–39 cm, at velocities ≥ 0.2 m/s (Table S11). There was even greater
458	delineation of size if rubble was branched; 4-8 cm branched rubble was more likely to be transported than all
1	larger rubble at velocities \geq 0.3 m/s, on both substrates (Figure 3 b, iii-iv, Table S11). Just as 4–8 cm rubble rocked
460	more easily on sand, it also tended to be transported more easily on sand at velocities \geq 0.3 m/s. Interestingly, the
1	largest rubble 24-39 cm were more likely to be transported on rubble than on sand at these velocities (Table S12),
462	perhaps due to an ability to sink into sand but not rubble.

Formatted: Font colour: Text 1

Deleted: 4

Deleted: X2

Deleted: 6

Deleted: 7

Deleted: 8

Deleted: 8

Deleted: 9

Deleted: '

Deleted: '

Probability of 'flipping' only

474	We distinguish flipping on its own, because it is the form of transport expected to involve some form of abrasion	
	across most surfaces of the rubble. Like rocking and transport probabilities, two 3-way interactions affected the	
476	probability of flipping (velocity, size and branchiness χ^2 = 18.4, $P < 0.001$; and velocity, size and substrate χ^2 =	
1	10.7, P = 0.013 (Table S13). Again, unbranched rubble was more likely to flip than branched rubble (Figure 3 c,	
478	i-iv; Table S14). Yet, hranched, small 4-8 cm rubble was much more likely to flip than all larger rubble,	
	particularly at velocities ≥ 0.4 m/s. Once again branchiness had a strong influence on this relationship, with	
480	unbranched rubble pieces having instead similar probabilities of flipping across a size range of 4 to 15 cm (Figure	1
	3 c, i-ii) (Table S1). Substrate type had little effect on rubble flipping. However, when pieces started to flip at	\mathbb{N}
482	0.2 m/s, branched rubble flipped more on rubble substrate than on sand, while unbranched rubble was just as	//
	likely to flip on rubble or sand (Table S16).	

484 3.1.3 Interlocked rubble

Rubble mobilisation trials were profoundly different when the experimental rubble was interlocked with the second rubble substrate. For interlocked rubble, there was no relationship between velocity and the probability of any type of movement (Table S17). Rubble was very unlikely to move (<7%) even at the highest velocity tested (0.4 m/s). Yet while the probability of any movement was low, when interlocked rubble of both sizes *did* move they most commonly rocked (5 ± 1%) as opposed to being transported (1 ± 0.3%) or flipped (1 ± 0.3%) (rock vs flip: z = -3.671, P < 0.001) (Table S49, Figure S9). In fact, interlocked 4–

8 cm rubble was not observed to walk, slide or flip at all.

492 3.2 Mobilisation in field

3.2.1 In-situ environment

494 During deployment periods, higher significant wave heights were recorded in the western monsoon compared to the north-eastern monsoon (Table 2).

Table 2 Wave statistics for each habitat (aspect and depth) and monsoon season. Mean statistics show average of all 30-minute runs in the 3-day period across 3 sites on the reef flat and 6 sites on sheltered and exposed reef slope (15 sites total). Max statistics show highest of the 30-minute runs. H_s = significant wave height; T_p = peak wave period.

Monsoon season	Depth	Aspect	<u>mean H_s (m)</u>	max H _s (m)	mean T _p (s)	max T _p (s)
North-east	<u>2-3 m</u>	Reef flat	<u>0.08</u>	0.21	<u>9.88</u>	<u>19.78</u>
		Southeast (slope)	<u>0.09</u>	0.24	<u>9.13</u>	14.63
		West (slope)	<u>0.11</u>	<u>0.27</u>	<u>4.52</u>	<u>17.31</u>
	<u>6-7 m</u>	Southeast (slope)	<u>0.08</u>	<u>0.20</u>	<u>8.99</u>	<u>14.40</u>
		West (slope)	<u>0.08</u>	0.17	<u>3.94</u>	8.65
West	<u>2-3 m</u>	Reef flat	<u>0.15</u>	0.23	<u>8.86</u>	<u>10.91</u>
		Southeast (slope)	<u>0.18</u>	<u>0.36</u>	<u>10.86</u>	<u>19.78</u>

Deleted: 0 Deleted: 1 Deleted: B Deleted: However, Deleted: o Deleted: the lack of branches Formatted: Font: Italic Deleted: 2 Deleted: 3

Deleted: 6

Deleted: i

Deleted: lagoon

<u>Monsoon season</u>	<u>Depth</u>	<u>Aspect</u>	<u>mean H_s (m)</u>	<u>max H_s (m)</u>	<u>mean T_p (s)</u>	<u>max T_p (s)</u>
		West (slope)	<u>0.18</u>	<u>0.74</u>	<u>8.90</u>	<u>10.98</u>
	<u>6-7 m</u>	Southeast (slope)	0.17	<u>0.33</u>	10.65	<u>19.57</u>
		West (slope)	<u>0.16</u>	<u>0.72</u>	<u>8.89</u>	<u>11.61</u>





...[1]

Deleted: Monsoon season

Deleted: c

Deleted: peak

Deleted: lagoon

and highest peak velocity in both seasons (Figure 4, Table 2).

Mobilisation across 3-day deployments

520

522

524

3.2.2

The relationship between velocity and rubble mobilisation across days was investigated for each season separately.

between sheltered and exposed sites. However, the exposed shallow reef did experience the greatest wave height



(χ² = 28.1, P < 0.001, Table S29), At the mean velocity in the north-eastern monsoon (0.1 m/s), the probability of flipping on day 1 was 13%, and fell on days 2 and 3 to only 6% (Table S31). Rubble also travelled shorter distances on day 3 than day 1 (χ² = 3.9, P < 0.001, Table S32/33),

580 3.2.3 Mobilisation thresholds

The mobilisation thresholds in the field were estimated using rubble movement data for day 1 only (as the most repreentative scenario to the flume trials, i.e., rubble pieces were newly deployed and not 'settled') and using data from both seasons (to capture a wider range of velocities). The 50% and 90% mobilisation thresholds for transport
(> 1 cm) in the field, averaged across all rubble sizes (4–23 cm), branchiness and substrate characteristics, were 0.30 m/s (SE: 0.037) and 0.75 m/s (SE: 0.146), respectively, on day 1 (Table S34). We note however that the 90% threshold for transport is above the range of velocities measured in the field and should thus be considered cautiously compared to the 50% threshold. We do not report the 50% or 90% thresholds for flipping in the field 588 for the same reason.

3.2.4 Rubble and substrate effects on mobilsation

590 Probability of 'transport' (walk/slide/flip)

To investigate the effects of rubble and substrate characteristics on the relationship between velocity and 592 mobilisation in the field, data were also used from both seasons on day 1.

The probability of rubble transport (> 1 cm) on day 1 increased with velocity, but this relationship varied among
rubble sizes (χ² = 10.039, P = 0.007) (Figure 6 a, Table S35). At lower velocities, small, 4–8 cm, rubble was transported more commonly than medium rubble, 9–15 cm, which moved more than large rubble, 16–23 cm. In
the field, rubble of all sizes was equally likely to be transported at velocities ≥ 0.3 m/s (Figure 6 a; Table S36), in contrast to the flume trials where smaller rubble always moved more than larger pieces across increasing
velocities. Like the flume trials, rubble branchiness had a clear effect on rubble transport in the field, with unbranched rubble 1.7 times as likely to be transported as branched rubble (when averaged across velocity, substrate and size) (Table S37). The substrate type did not influence rubble transport in the field study (γ² = 0.4, P = 0.80). (Table S35).
602 The relationship between velocity and transport changed with the steepness of the slope (γ² = 5.6, P <0.01).

602 The relationship between velocity and transport changed with the steepness of the slope ($\chi^2 = 5, 6, P \le 0.001$) (Table S38). For flatter areas, rubble was more likely to be transported as velocity increased, whereas on steep slopes, the probability of transport did not increase by as much (Figure 6 c).



17



686	smaller rubble moved more easily on sand in the wave flume, rubble travelled slightly further on sand (6.3 \pm 0.8 $$	
1	cm averaged across velocities) than on rubble $(4.7 \pm 0.4 \text{ cm})$ over the course of one day (t-ratio = -2.3, $P = 0.05$,	 (1
688	Table S46).	 F
	As for transport probability, there was an interaction between velocity and slope for distance travelled ($\chi^2 = 26.2$,	 (I
690	<u>P<0.001)</u> (Table S47). At low velocities, rubble travelled greater distances as the steepness of the slope increased,	 (1
	likely aided by gravity. For example, on very gentle slopes (3°), rubble moved less distance (3 ± 0.2 cm) than	 Ē
692	rubble on very strong (22°) slopes (5 ± 0.3 cm) at velocities of 0.1 m/s, Rubble travelled further as velocity	 ſ
	increased on very gentle slopes (e.g., 22.9 ± 9.2 cm on 3° slopes at 0.4 m/s), but this pattern wasn't observed on	
694	steeper slopes at the same velocity (e.g., 3 ± 0.5 cm on 22° slopes) (Table S48).	
I		
	4 Discussion	C
696	Here we characterised the physical parameters (i.e., near-bed wave orbital velocity, substrate type, reef slope	
	angle) that influence rubble mobility in a flume and field setting across a range of rubble sizes and	

- 698 morphologies. As near-bed wave orbital velocity increased, rubble was more likely to rock, be transported and travel greater distances. Across flume and field environments, small and/or unbranched rubble pieces were, generally mobilised at lower velocities than larger, branched rubble, while reef slope angle and substrate (sand or rubble) had more nuanced effects. Averaged across rubble and substrate types, 50% mobilisation thresholds were almost identical between flume and day 1 field results. Interlocking and 'settling' of rubble was a strong inhibitor of mobilisation. Interlocked rubble in the flume had only a 7% chance of moving, and in the field, the
 704 likelihood of rubble mobilisation decreased over the course of the 3-day deployments. We hypothesise that
- rubble experienced 'settling' or short-term stabilisation, whereby pieces were less likely to be transported on
 days 2 or 3 than day 1 at the same velocity. While the field results show rubble is capable of being mobilised
 during average wave conditions across the normal tidal cycle, if the rubble settling effect is significant in an
 area, specific storm events that cause higher velocities are likely to be more influential to mobilisation.
- In the wave flume and in the field, 50% of loose, cylindrical rubble ranging from 4–23 cm was transported at 0.3 m/s, Similar velocities to the reported thresholds have been observed on coral reefs globally, suggesting that
- rubble could be shifted under ambient conditions, depending on substrate, rubble typology and interlocking.
 712 Near-bed wave orbital velocities > 0.3 m/s have been reported on coral reefs in the Great Barrier Reef (Harris et al. 2015), Palmyra Atoll (Monismith et al. 2015, Rogers et al. 2015), Moorea (Monismith et al. 2013) and
- Puerto Rico (Viehman et al. 2018) and are likely common in nearshore and surf zone settings on reef-slope, crests and flats. Wave and tide-induced current velocities above 0.3 m/s are likely found on most coral reefs, but not all reef environments (Sebens and Johnson 1991, Helmuth and Sebens 1993, Kench 1998b). Threshold wave-orbital velocities in the present study are comparable to the modelled initiation of motion thresholds for
- rubble treated as simplified rectangular prisms with dimensions drawn from mean-sized rubble (length: ~3.3 cm, up to 10 cm) at a ship-grounding site on the south coast of Puerto Rico (Viehman et al. 2018). Reported waveorbital thresholds were ~0.09-0.2 m/s for sliding and ~0.12-0.34 m/s for flipping, depending on rubble size and
- the degree of flow blocking by grouping. The thresholds reported in the present study differ in that they consider

Deleted: 8

 Formatted: Font: 10 pt

 Deleted: (Table S42). ¶

 Deleted: (χ² = 30.3, P < 0.001)</td>

 Deleted: 3

 Deleted: 2.9

 Deleted: (Table S44)

 Deleted: (Table S44)

 Deleted: 15.8 ± 3.4 cm on 3° slopes at 0.4 m/s

 Deleted: 3.6 ± 0.4 cm on 22° slopes

Deleted: as

Deleted: similar

Deleted: in which

Deleted: and 90%

Deleted: and 0.43 m/s, respectively

Deleted: These mobilisation thresholds were similar in the field, at 0.34 m/s and 0.55 m/s.

Deleted: slightly higher **Deleted:** than

⁷⁴⁰ <u>a wider range of rubble lengths and shapes, are observational as opposed to modelling based, and are described</u> <u>in terms of probability rather than absolute initiation of motion</u>

- 1 742 The frequency at which rubble is mobilised (the mobilisation return interval) will affect the length of stable periods or windows of recovery for coral recruitment and binding. Using hindcast wave modelling. Viehman et al. (2018)
- 744 revealed the return interval for rubble sliding and overturning at their site in Puerto Rico was 7 and 12 days, respectively, with some, but not all, hindcast events aligning with tropical storms and cyclones (Viehman et al.
- 746 2018). Similarly, Cheroske et al. (2000) showed that rubble pieces tumbled on average about once every 15 days in Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii. However, the maximum flow speeds in the Kaneohe Bay study were relatively high,
- 748 0.6-1.5 m/s (Morgan and Kench 2012), compared to flows up to 0.43, m/s, at Vabbinfaru Reef. Owing to the protection afforded from storms and swell due to its location inside North Male' Atoll (Rasheed et al. 2020), we
- expect longer average return intervals on Vabbinfaru Reef. For example, islands <5 km (Dhakandhoo) and 15 km (Hulhudhoo) from the western edge of nearby South Maalhosmadulu Atoll experience 60% and 80% reductions
 in wave height, respectively, compared to mean incident ocean swell (Young 1999, Kench et al. 2006). Higher
- energy movement events in the Maldives are likely driven more commonly by monsoonal wind patterns, and
 clustered in the western monsoon. For example, during the north-eastern monsoon, a peak velocity of 0.3 m/s (expected to mobilise 50% of rubble pieces) was never exceeded in 37 observed days, and in the western monsoon,
 it was exceeded on 4 of 32 days, at shallow sites only, with velocities exceeding 0.4 m/s on only 1 day at an exposed shallow site in the western monsoon. Considering wind speeds and direction during observational periods
- for each monsoon are typical of respective conditions over the past 33 years (Figure S₃), this indicates a mobilisation return interval of ~8 days, but only at shallow sites during the western monsoon. Furthermore, we maintain that the return interval is likely to be much longer than this, considering that thresholds increase as rubble
- 'settles' over time and as organisms such as sponges, bryozoans and CCA bind rubble (Kenyon et al. 2022).Nevertheless, we expect the recovery windows for binding <u>are likely</u> to occur during the calmer north-eastern
- monsoon, when wave energy impacting the atoll is significantly less and wave heights are smaller (Kench et al.2006).
- Curiously, at the same peak wave orbital velocity, the probability of rubble transport was lower in the north-766 eastern monsoon than in the western monsoon, suggesting there is greater complexity driving rubble transport than has been captured. For example, while the peak velocity across the day might be similar, sites in the western 768 monsoon may have experienced a higher frequency of similar velocities throughout the day, providing more opportunities for mobilisation (supported by sites in the western monsoon having higher daily-average wave 770 orbital velocities, as well as higher maximum velocities - Figure S4). Alternatively, the greater hydrodynamic energy in the western monsoon may have primed the substrate to better facilitate transport. Even within the 772 western monsoon, however, the probability of mobilisation decreased by $\sim 10\%$ each day over the three days (velocity dependant). Rubble may have 'settled' into more stable positions after being moved from the position 774 in which they were placed by divers on day 1, Several rubble pieces shifted into crevices, particularly in shallow reef slope sites where hard carbonate and coral created a more structurally complex substrate than sandier, deeper 776 slopes (T Kenyon, pers. obs.). On One Tree Island, Thornborough (2012) found branching rubble was regularly lodged under plate or boulder rubble or interlocked together into a rubble ridge within six days of the 778 commencement of experiments. There, interlocked plate rubble also remains stable under energetic, tidally-driven
- conditions (Thornborough 2012). Presumably, higher velocities would be required to move rubble that has a)

Deleted: The thresholds reported in the present study are likely higher due to the wider range of rubble lengths and shapes considered, the observational as opposed to modelling approach, and the description of thresholds by probability rather than absolute initiation of motion

	Deleted: 55
\sub	Deleted: ,
γ	Deleted: and <i>H_s</i> of 0.11-0.21 m,

Deleted: 4	
Deleted: in the field	
Deleted: exposed	
Deleted: .	
Deleted: 2	

Deleted: if mobilisation	events are	indeed	more commo	on in
the western monsoon,				

-(Deleted: a
•(Deleted: y
•(Deleted: a
(Deleted:
(Deleted: peak
(Deleted: y
ľ	Deleted: 3
•(Deleted: first being moved on day 1
(Deleted: were moved

Deleted: Velocities > 0.35 m/s were not observed during the	2
deployment periods on days 2 or 3.	

806 settled deeper into the substrate by downward flow forcing, or b) wedged against a surface by lateral flow forcing.In the present study, some rubble still moved after settling on days 2 and 3, but manually interlocked rubble in the

- **\$08** flume, was very unlikely to be transported even at the maximum velocity of 0.4 m/s. Higher energy, variable wave
- environments would likely foster more unstable rubble beds than lower energy, constant wave environments,
 where rubble has time to settle. In these more energetic and/or variable settings, and with smaller, simpler-shaped pieces, rubble may not settle and/or interlock routinely, and could persist as an unstable bed for decades (Fox et

812 al. 2019)

interlocking

As expected, the threshold for rubble mobilisation varied according to rubble branchiness, in both controlled and reef environments. Generally, unbranched rubble was more likely to rock, walk, slide or flip, than branched rubble. Branches can stabilise the rubble piece by digging into the sand or wedging against or beneath another

- 816 rubble piece, thus explaining why living coral fragments with branching morphologies have increased postbreakage survival compared to those with non-branching morphologies (Tunnicliffe 1981; Heyward and Collins
- 818 1985; Smith and Hughes 1999). Branched fragments and rubble would become lodged more easily in crevices or interlock together to form stable rubble beds, which can act as platforms for coral recruitment (Aronson &
- Precht 1997). Size also affected the likelihood of mobilisation of rubble, reflecting studies on live fragment mobilisation and survival (Hughes 1999, Smith and Hughes 1999). Regardless of whether they had branches or not, small cylindrical rubble (particularly 4–8 cm) were more likely to be transported than larger pieces.
- However, size only influenced rubble transport in the field up to velocities of 0.3 m/s. Regardless, interventions 824 might thus be considered at lower mobilisation thresholds (e.g., 50% of 4-8 cm unbranched rubble predicted to
- 826 move at 0.14 m/s in the field; Figure 6a) if a rubble bed is comprised predominantly of small pieces, which is
 826 more commonly the case with anthropogenic disturbances such as ship groundings, human trampling and blast
 fishing (Kenyon et al. 2022). In Japan for example, rubble mounds formed seaward of coastal armouring were
- 828 lower in weight, length, and surface complexity than rubble from natural beds (Masucci et al. 2021).We expected rubble to move more easily over sand, as shown previously (Heyward and Collins 1985; Bruno
- 830 1998; Bowden-Kerby 2001; Prosper 2005). However, substrate type had little effect on rubble mobilisation in the flume, except that small rubble were more likely to rock and be transported on sand than on rubble once velocities
- exceeded 0.2 m/s. In the field, although the distance travelled by rubble was slightly higher on sand than on rubble substrates, no effect of substrate on mobilisation probability was observed. This is potentially owing to the limited
 available sandy areas free of rubble on which to conduct trials as a consequence of the severe coral bleaching in
- the Maldives in 2016 (Perry and Morgan 2017), leading to a mixed <u>rubble</u>-sand, substrate. Greater distinction between substrates may have been observed in the flume if the first rubble substrate was comprised of larger-
- sized pieces more capable of 'snagging' and interlocking the experimental pieces. The trials with the secondrubble substrate demonstrated how interlocking provides a significant impediment to mobilisation. After a very
- intense disturbance on a healthy reef, there is likely to be more rubble (multiple layers) and a greater proportion
 of rubble resting on other rubble, facilitating interlocking, depending on branchiness and rubble size (Aronson
- and Precht 1997). For smaller quantities of rubble, the rubble bed might be shallower (perhaps only one layer), 842 and more rubble will be in contact with sand or hard carbonate substrate underneath, with less capacity for

Deleted: manually interlocked rubble

Deleted: mostly

Deleted: potentially

Deleted: y

848 Rubble was transported in the field even when the highest estimated peak velocity was $\sim 0.0 L$ m/s. Several video observations of deployed rubble indicated no disturbance by fish and invertebrates, but this cannot be ruled out 850 completely (Ormond and Edwards 1987). Rubble movement on steeper sections of the slope were aided by gravity after disturbance. In fact, all instances of movement at velocities <0.05 m/s occurred in steep 6-7 m slope sites. 852 Hughes (1999) found that fragments moved downslope in the absence of any major storms, most likely due to gravity-driven hillslope processes observed in marine and terrestrial systems (Salles et al. 2018). At lower 854 velocities (< 0.1 m/s) rubble was aided by gravity and more likely to move and travel further on steeper slopes than flat and gentle slopes. Yet, as water velocity increased, rubble travelled shorter distances on steeper slopes. 856 It is possible that higher velocities are indicative of waves with greater asymmetry that oppose gravitational transport and therefore maintain rubble at higher positions on the slopes, similar to the concept of equilibrium 858 position of sediment on beach shorefaces over time (Ortiz and Ashton 2016). While no significant relationship was detected between wave orbital velocity and direction, there was a trend in this direction. At shallow reef slope 860 sites, which experienced higher velcoties, \sim 19% of rubble movements were upslope, compared to just \sim 3% at deeper sites. Given the size of rubble, substantial upslope movement likely requires storm energy. (Woodley et al. 862 1981b, Harmelin-Vivien and Laboute 1986). Rubble might also travel further on flatter slopes at high peak velocities as a result of the association between slope and depth, i.e., flat and gentle slopes found primarily in reef 864 flat and shallow sites; steep slopes primarily in deep sites. Reef flat and shallow slope sites experienced higher average velocities than deeper sites (Figure S4), and thus experienced a higher frequency of velocities close to the 866 peak, providing more opportunities for mobilisation. Understanding the links between hydrodynamics and bathymetry of a disturbed reef is evidently important in determining its vulnerability to rubble mobilisation and 868 recovery potential.

Two important factors to be considered in context of the present study are the density or crowding of the rubble,
and the effect of rubble age on mobilisation thresholds. As time passes following a disturbance, rubble will become increasingly distinct from recently-killed coral in size, porosity, density and surficial encrustation, which
will affect its hydrodynamic behaviour (Allen 1990). Rubble is prone to further mechanical breakdown over time,

due to incidental bioerosion by predators and grazers, and direct bioderosion by borers (Scoffin 1992, Perry and Hepbum 2008), which may be exacerbated under certain environmental conditions, e.g., high nutrients and/or

- depth (Hallock 1988, Pandolfi and Greenstein 1997). Initially, rubble is expected to become less dense and more
 porous, as bioeroders and borers infiltrate the dead skeleton, although the time-frames for these processes are largely unknown (but see Pari et al. 2002; Tribollet et al. 2002). The skeletal density of rubble used in the wave
- flume was 2.2 ± 0.1 g/cm³ (mean ± SE) and on the reef was 1.9 ± 0.04 g/cm³ (mean ± SE), which is similar to the mean coral skeletal density reported from a previous study at Vabbinfaru (1.85 g cm⁻³) (Morgan and Kench
 2014b), suggesting that it had not been heavily bioeroded. Over time and with encrustation by coralline algae and
- 2014b), suggesting that it had not been heavily bioeroded. Over time and with encrustation by coralline algae and in-filling of sediments into pores, cementation by magnesium calcite and aragonite could increase density (Scoffin
 1992), also affecting mobilisation thresholds. The bioerosional potential and subsequent mobilisation thresholds
- of rubble vary across rubble of different morphologies and in different zones. Bioerosional processes proceed
 more readily in deeper, lower energy environments, and in more dense, massive morphologies compared to branching rubble, likely due to their higher residence times in active bioerosion zones (Pandolfi and Greenstein)
- 886 1997, Greenstein and Pandolfi 2003, Perry and Hepbum 2008). The density of branching coral rubble might remain higher than massive coral rubble, resulting in higher velocity thresholds (Pandolfi and Greenstein 1997).

Deleted: 5

Formatted: Font colour: Text 1

Deleted:

Deleted: S Deleted: given the size of rubble Deleted: lagoon Deleted: Lagoon

894 Yet, branching morphologies are also more prone to breakage, leading to smaller pieces and subsequently more movement.

- 896 Mobilisation thresholds will also be affected by how many rubble pieces are in a rubble bed. Notably, thresholds are likely to be lower for individual pieces, used in the current study, as they are exposed to flow on all sides.
 898 Densely packed rubble is likely to be more stable than individual pieces, even without interlocking, due to the
- protection afforded by surrounding rubble. Similar considerations are made when assessing transport of boulders
 surrounded by rock on the lee side of flow, which have a higher threshold of motion than free (not surrounded) boulders (Nott 2003, Nandasena et al. 2011). In modelling the mobilisation thresholds of oblong-shaped rubble
- 902 exposed to flow, Viehman (2018) applied a blocking factor to vary the amount of rubble area exposed to flow because of varying degrees of crowding (Storlazzi et al. 2005). Surprisingly, this factor resulted in only very slight
- 904 variations in the sliding and overturning thresholds. Tajima and Seto (2017) reported that most pieces in coral gravel beds shifted at 0.25-0.5 m/s, a comparable threshold to that reported for rubble pieces in the flume trials.
 906 yet pieces in these gravel beds were small, only up to 2 cm. Mobilisation of beds of larger-sized rubble common on coral reefs should be investigated in further trials in a controlled wave flume environment. Individual pieces
- 908 in moveable, natural rubble beds could be tagged and tracked over longer periods to further understand mobilisation as a group.

910 <u>4.1 Implications for management</u>

The scale of reef degradation and subsequent intervention methods is vast, putting pressure on reef restoration-**9**12 budgets. While operationalising the implementation of reef restoration at scale is investigated (Saunders et al. 2020), tools that allow managers to prioritise reefs that are particularly vulnerable to rubble mobilisation, and thus 914 longer natural recovery times, are essential (Kenyon et al. 2022). The results of this study provide information toward improved management of damaged reefs with high rubble cover. Broadly, rubble stabilisation 916 interventions might be considered at lower mobilisation thresholds if a rubble bed is composed mostly of loose (not interlocked), small pieces, particularly with low morphological complexity, which is more commonly the 918 case with anthropogenic disturbances such as ship groundings, human trampling, coastal armouring and blast fishing (Masucci et al. 2021, Kenyon et al. 2022). More comprehensively, the mobilisation estimates reported 920 here can be used in modelling frameworks that predict the frequency of everyday rubble mobilisation in a certain location, based on a modelled time series of wave climate estimates, such as the developed everyday wave 922 conditions model for the Great Barrier Reef (Roelfsema et al. 2020). Reefs or areas of reefs at higher risk of frequent rubble mobilisation can be prioritised for rubble stabilisation interventions following disturbances, with 924 threshold predictions being improved through consideration of the mobilisation processes discussed, e.g., settling and interlocking over time; bathymetry; rubble quantity, size and morphology (driven by disturbance, surrounding 926 coral cover and diversity); water quality and bioerosion.

Acknowledgements

928 This study was conducted in collaboration with the Banyan Tree Marine Laboratory. In-kind contributions were received from Banyan Tree Vabbinfaru and Angsana Ihuru, including the Dive Centre headed by Mujuthaba Ali.

Deleted:

 $\ensuremath{\textbf{Deleted:}}$. However, coral gravel beds comprise small pieces only up to 2 cm

Formatted: Space After: 18 pt

Deleted:

We wish to acknowledge Mohamed Arzan, Zim Athif, Amal Charles Everitt, Samantha Gallimore, Danielle
 836 Robinson, Crystle Wee, Ahmed Tholal, Ali Nasheed, Toby Mitchell, Jason Van Der Gevel, Stewart Matthews, Ananth Wuppukondur, Matthew Florence and Nick Brilli for assistance in the field and laboratory. This study

938 was funded in part by a PADI Foundation Grant and GBRMPA Science for Management Award to T. M. Kenyon, and ARC grants to P. J. Mumby. Support was also provided by an Australian Government Research Training
 940 Program (RTP) Scholarship (stipend), and from the Australian Government's Reef Restoration and Adaptation Program. Limited Impact Accreditation No. UQ005/2016 used for the collection of rubble used in the flume.

942 Author contribution

TMK, DH, CD, PJM conceived field experiments; TMK, TB, DC, PJM conceived flume experiments; TMKconducted flume and field work, processed and analysed data, wrote text; DH, TB, DC, CD, GW, SN, PJM contributed and edited text; DH, CD, GW, SN, PJM provided supervision.

946 Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

948 <u>Code/Data availability</u>

Datasets and code available at https://github.com/TMKenyon/rubblemobthresholds.git

950 5 References

- Allen, J. R. L. 1990. Transport hydrodynamics: shells. Pages 227–230 in D. E. G. Briggs and P. R. Crowther,
 editors. Palaeobiology: a synthesis. Blackwell, Oxford.
- Alvarez-Filip, L., N. K. Dulvy, J. A. Gill, I. M. Côté, and A. R. Watkinson. 2009. Flattening of Caribbean coral
 reefs: Region-wide declines in architectural complexity. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological
 Sciences 276:3019–3025.
- 956 Aronson, R. B., and W. F. Precht. 1997. Stasis, Biological Disturbance, and Community Structure of a Holocene Coral Reef. Palepbiology 23:326–346.
- Baldock, T. E., F. Birrien, A. Atkinson, T. Shimamoto, S. Wu, D. P. Callaghan, and P. Nielsen. 2017. Morphological hysteresis in the evolution of beach profiles under sequences of wave climates - Part 1;
 Observations. Coastal Engineering 128:92–105.
- Baldock, T. E., A. Golshani, D. P. Callaghan, M. I. Saunders, and P. J. Mumby. 2014a. Impact of sea-level rise
 and coral mortality on the wave dynamics and wave forces on barrier reefs. Marine Pollution Bulletin 83:155–164.
- Baldock, T. E., H. Karampour, R. Sleep, A. Vyltla, F. Albermani, A. Golshani, D. P. Callaghan, G. Roff, and P. J. Mumby. 2014b. Resilience of branching and massive corals to wave loading under sea level rise A
 coupled computational fluid dynamics-structural analysis. Marine Pollution Bulletin 86:91–101.

Formatted: Space After: 6 pt

Bartoń, K. 2020. MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference.

- 968 Blair, T. C., and J. G. McPherson. 1999. Grain-size and textural classification of coarse sedimentary particles. Journal of Sedimentary Research 69:6–19.
- 970 Blanchon, P., and B. Jones. 1997. Hurricane control on shelf-edge-reef architecture around Grand Cayman. Sedimentology 44:479–506.
- 972 Blanchon, P., B. Jones, and W. Kalbfleisch. 1997. Anatomy of a fringing reef around Grand Cayman: storm rubble, not coral framework. Journal of Sedimentary Research 67:1–16.
- 974 Bowden-Kerby, A. 2001. Low-tech coral reef restoration methods modeled after natural fragmentation processes. Bulletin of Marine Science 69:915–931.
- Brooks, M. E., K. Kristensen, K. J. van Benthen, A. Magnusson, C. W. Berg, A. Nielsen, H. J. Skaug, M. Maechler, and B. M. Bolker. 2017. glmmTMB Balances Speed and Flexibility Among Packages for Zero inflated Generalized Linear Mixed Modeling. The R Journal 9:378–400.
- Brown, B. E., and D. P. Dunne. 1988. The environmental impact of coral mining on coral reefs in the Maldives.Brownental Conservation 15:159–166.
- Bruno, J. F. 1998. Fragmentation in Madracis mirabilis (Duchassaing and Michelotti): How common is size specific fragment survivorship in corals? Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 230:169–181.
- 984 Cheroske, A. G., S. L. Williams, and R. C. Carpenter. 2000. Effects of physical and biological disturbances on algal turfs in Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 248:1–34.
- 986 Clark, S., and A. J. Edwards. 1995. Coral transplantation as an aid to reef rehabilitation: evaluation of a case study in the Maldive Islands. Coral Reefs 14:201–213.
- 988 Clark, T. R., G. Roff, J. xin Zhao, Y. xing Feng, T. J. Done, L. J. McCook, and J. M. Pandolfi. 2017. U-Th dating reveals regional-scale decline of branching Acropora corals on the Great Barrier Reef over the past century. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 114:10350–10355.
- 992 Davies, P. J. 1983. Reef growth. Pages 69–106 in D. J. Barnes, editor. Perspectives on coral reefs. Clouston, Manuka.
- 994 Dollar, S., and G. W. Tribble. 1993. Recurrent storm disturbance and recovery: a long-term study of coral communities in Hawaii. Coral Reefs 12:223–233.
- 996 Etienne, S., and R. Paris. 2010. Boulder accumulations related to storms on the south coast of the Reykjanes Peninsula (Iceland). Geomorphology 114:55–70.
- 998 Ferrario, F., M. W. Beck, C. D. Storlazzi, F. Micheli, C. C. Shepard, and L. Airoldi. 2014. The effectiveness of coral reefs for coastal hazard risk reduction and adaptation. Nature Communications 5:1–9.
- 1000 Fong, P., and D. Lirman. 1995. Hurricanes Cause Population Expansion of the Branching Coral Acropora palmata (Scleractinia): Wound Healing and Growth Patterns of Asexual Recruits. Marine Ecology

1002	16:317–335.
1004	Fox, H. E., and R. L. Caldwell. 2006. Recovery from blast fishing on coral reefs: A tale of two scales. Ecological Applications 16:1631–1635.
1006	Fox, H. E., J. L. Harris, E. S. Darling, G. N. Ahmadia, and T. B. Razak. 2019. Rebuilding coral reefs : success (and failure) 16 years after low-cost, low-tech restoration. Restoration Ecology 27:862–869.
1008	Gittings, S. R., T. J. Bright, and D. K. Hagman. 1994. The M/V Wellwood and other large vessel groundings: coral reef damage and recovery. Proc Colloquium on Global Aspects of Coral Reefs: Health, Hazards and History:174–180.
1010	Graham, N. A. J., S. K. Wilson, S. Jennings, N. V. C. Polunin, J. P. Bijoux, and J. Robinson. 2006. Dynamic fragility of oceanic coral reef ecosystems. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 103:8425–
1012	8429.
1014	Guihen, D., M. White, and T. Lundalv. 2013. Boundary layer flow dynamics at a cold-water coral reef. Journal of Sea Research 78:36–44.
1016	Hallock, P. 1988. The role of nutrient availability in bioerosion: Consequences to carbonate buildups. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 63:275–291.
1018	Hardison, B. S., and J. B. Layzer. 2001. Relations between complex hydraulics and the localized distribution of mussels in three regulated rivers. River Research and Applications 17:77–84.
1020	Harmelin-Vivien, M. L., and P. Laboute. 1986. Catastrophic impact of hurricanes on atoll outer reef slopes in the Tuamotu (French Polynesia). Coral Reefs 5:55–62.
1022	Harris, D. L., H. E. Power, M. A. Kinsela, J. M. Webster, and A. Vila-Concejo. 2018a. Variability of depth- limited waves in coral reef surf zones. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 211:36–44.
1024	Harris, D. L., A. Rovere, E. Casella, H. Power, R. Canavesio, A. Collin, A. Pomeroy, J. M. Webster, and V. Parravicini. 2018b. Coral reef structural complexity provides important coastal protection from waves under rising sea levels. Science Advances 4:1–8.
1026	Harris, D. L., A. Vila-Concejo, J. M. Webster, and H. E. Power. 2015. Spatial variations in wave transformation and sediment entrainment on a coral reef sand apron. Marine Geology 363:220–229.
1028	Hawkins, J. P., and C. M. Roberts. 1993. Effects of Recreational Scuba Diving on Coral Reefs : Trampling on Reef-Flat Communities. Journal of Applied Ecology 30:25–30.
1030	Helmuth, B., and K. Sebens. 1993. The influence of colony morphology and orientation to flow on particle capture by the scleractinian coral Agaricia agaricites (Linnaeus). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology
1032	and Ecology 165:251–278.
1034	Heyward, A. J., and J. D. Collins. 1985. Fragmentation in Montiporaramosa: the genet and ramet concept applied to a reef coral. Coral Reefs 4:35-40.
	Highsmith, R. C. 1982. Reproduction by fragmentation in corals. Marine Ecology Progress Series 7:207-226.
1036	Highsmith R. C. A. C. Riggs and C. M. D'Antonio, 1980. Survival of Hurricane-Generated Coral Fragments

and a Disturbance Model of Reef Calcification/Growth Rates. Oecologia 46:322-329.

1038	Hoegh-Guldberg, O. 1999. Climate change, coral bleaching and the future of the world's coral reefs. Marine and Freshwater Research 50:839–866.
1040	Hoegh-Guldberg, O., P. J. Mumby, A. J. Hooten, R. S. Steneck, P. Greenfield, E. Gomez, C. D. Harvell, P. F. Sale, A. J. Edwards, K. Caldeira, N. Knowlton, C. M. Eakin, Iglesias-Prieto, N. Muthiga, R. H. Bradbury,
1042	A. Dubi, and M. E. Hatziolos. 2007. Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science 318:1737–1742.
1044	Hubbard, D. K. 1992. Hurricane-induced sediment transport in open-shelf tropical systems - an example from St.Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands. Journal of Sedimentary Research 62:946–960.
1046	Hughes, M. G., and A. S. Moseley. 2007. Hydrokinematic regions within the swash zone. Continental Shelf Research 27:2000–2013.
1048	Hughes, T. P. 1999. Off-reef transport of coral fragments at Lizard Island, Australia. Marine Geology 157:1-6.
1050	Hughes, T. P., J. T. Kerry, A. H. Baird, S. R. Connolly, A. Dietzel, C. M. Eakin, S. F. Heron, A. S. Hoey, M. O. Hoogenboom, G. Liu, M. J. McWilliam, R. J. Pears, M. S. Pratchett, W. J. Skirving, J. S. Stella, and G. Torda. 2018. Global warming transforms coral reef assemblages. Nature 556:492.
1052	Imamura, F., K. Goto, and S. Ohkubo. 2008. A numerical model for the transport of a boulder by tsunami. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 113:1–12.
1054	Kain, C. L., C. Gomez, and A. E. Moghaddam. 2012. Comment on "Reassessment of hydrodynamic equations: Minimum flow velocity to initiate boulder transport by high energy events (storms, tsunamis)", by N.A.K.
1056	Nandasena, R. Paris and N. Tanaka [Marine Geology 281, 70-84]. Marine Geology 319-322:75-76.
1058	Kay, A. M., and M. J. Liddle. 1989. Impact of human trampling in different zones of a coral reef flat. Environmental Management 13:509–520.
1060	Keen, T. R., S. J. Bentley, W. Chad Vaughan, and C. A. Blain. 2004. The generation and preservation of multiple hurricane beds in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Marine Geology 210:79–105.
1062	Kench, P. S. 1998a. Physical controls on development of lagoon sand deposits and lagoon infilling in an Indian Ocean atoll. Journal of Coastal Research 14:1014–1024.
1064	Kench, P. S. 1998b. A currents of removal approach for interpreting carbonate sedimentary processes. Marine Geology 145:197–223.
1066	Kench, P. S., R. W. Brander, K. E. Parnell, and R. F. McLean. 2006. Wave energy gradients across a Maldivian atoll: Implications for island geomorphology. Geomorphology 81:1–17.
1068	Kench, P. S., R. W. Brander, K. E. Parnell, and J. M. O'Callaghan. 2009. Seasonal variations in wave characteristics around a coral reef island, South Maalhosmadulu atoll, Maldives. Marine Geology 262:116–129.
1070	Kenyon, T. M., C. Doropoulos, S. Dove, G. Webb, S. Newman, C. Sim Wei Hung, M. Arzan, and P. J. Mumby. 2020. The effects of rubble mobilisation on coral fragment survival, partial mortality and growth. Journal

1072	of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 533:151467.

1074	Kenyon, T. M., C. Doropoulos, K. Wolfe, G. E. Webb, S. Dove, D. Harris, and P. J. Mumby. 2022. Coral rubble dynamics in the Anthropocene and implications for reef recovery. Limnology and Oceanography:1–38.
1076	Knutson, T. R., J. L. McBride, J. Chan, K. Emanuel, G. Holland, C. Landsea, I. Held, J. P. Kossin, A. K. Srivastava, and M. Sugi. 2010. Tropical cyclones and climate change. Nature Geoscience 3:157–163.
1078	Komar, P. D., and M. C. MIller. 1973. The threshold of sediment movement under oscillatory water waves. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology 43:1101–1110.
	Lenth, R. 2020. emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means.
1080	Lewis, J. B. 2002. Evidence from aerial photography of structural loss of coral reefs at Barbados, West Indies. Coral Reefs 21:49–56.
1082 1084	Liu, E. T., J. X. Zhao, Y. X. Feng, N. D. Leonard, T. R. Clark, and G. Roff. 2016. U-Th age distribution of coral fragments from multiple rubble ridges within the Frankland Islands, Great Barrier Reef: Implications for past storminess history. Quaternary Science Reviews 143:51–68.
1086	Luckhurst, B. E., and K. Luckhurst. 1978. Analysis of the influence of substrate variables on coral reef fish communities. Marine Biology 49:317–323.
1088	Masucci, G. D., P. Biondi, and J. D. Reimer. 2021. A Comparison of Size, Shape, and Fractal Diversity Between Coral Rubble Sampled From Natural and Artificial Coastlines Around Okinawa Island, Japan. Frontiers in Marine Science 8:1–8.
1090	Meehl, G. A., C. Tebaldi, H. Teng, and T. C. Peterson. 2007. Current and future U. S. weather extremes and El Nin ~ o. Geophysical Research Letters 34:1–6.
1092	Monismith, S. G., L. M. M. Herdman, S. Ahmerkamp, and J. L. Hench. 2013. Wave transformation and wave- driven flow across a steep coral reef. Journal of Physical Oceanography 43:1356–1379.
1094	Monismith, S. G., J. S. Rogers, D. Koweek, and R. B. Dunbar. 2015. Frictional wave dissipation on a remarkably rough reef. Geophysical Research Letters 42:4063–4071.
1096	Montaggioni, L. F. 2005. History of Indo-Pacific coral reef systems since the last glaciation: Development patterns and controlling factors. Earth-Science Reviews 71:1–75.
1098	Morgan, K., and P. Kench. 2012. Export of reef-derived sediments on Vabbinfaru reef platform , Maldives. Proceedings of the 12th International Coral Reef Symposium:9–13.
1100	Morgan, K. M., and P. S. Kench. 2014a. A detrital sediment budget of a Maldivian reef platform. Geomorphology 222:122–131.
1102	Morgan, K. M., and P. S. Kench. 2014b. A detrital sediment budget of a Maldivian reef platform. Geomorphology 222:122–131.
1104	Nandasena, N. A. K., R. Paris, and N. Tanaka. 2011. Reassessment of hydrodynamic equations: Minimum flow velocity to initiate boulder transport by high energy events (storms, tsunamis). Marine Geology 281:70–

1106

84.

1108	Nielsen, P., and D. P. Callaghan. 2003. Shear stress and sediment transport calculations for sheet flow under waves. Coastal Engineering 47:347–354.	
1110	Nott, J. 1997. Extremely high-energy wave deposits inside the Great Barrier Reef, Australia: Determining the cause-tsunami or tropical cyclone. Marine Geology 141:193–207.	
1112	Nott, J. 2003. Waves, coastal boulder deposits and the importance of the pre-transport setting. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 210:269–276.	
1114	Ormond, R. F. G., and A. Edwards. 1987. Red Sea fishes. Pages 251–228 in A. J. Edwards and S. M. Head, editors. Red Sea. Elsevier Ltd., Oxford.	
1116	Ortiz, A. C., and A. D. Ashton. 2016. Exploring shoreface dynamics and a mechanistic explanation for a morphodynamic depth of closure. Journal of Geophysical Research : Earth Surface 121:442–464.	
1118	Pandolfi, J. M., and B. J. Greenstein. 1997. Taphonomic alteration of reef corals: Effects of reef environment and coral growth form. I. The Great Barrier Reef. Palaios 12:27–42.	
1120	Pari, N., M. Peyrot-Clausade, and P. A. Hutchings. 2002. Bioerosion of experimental substrates on high islands and atoll lagoons (French Polynesia) during 5 years of exposure. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 276:109–127.	
1122	Perry, C. T., and K. M. Morgan. 2017. Post-bleaching coral community change on southern Maldivian reefs: is there potential for rapid recovery?	
1124	Pinheiro, J., D. Bates, S. DebRoy, D. Sarkar, and R Core Team. 2019. nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models.	
1126	Prosper, A. L. O. 2005. Population Dynamics of Hurricane-Generated Fragments of Elkhorn Coral Acropora palmata (Lamarck , 1816) (PhD Thesis). University of Puerto Rico.	
1128	R Core Team. 2020. A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.	
1130	Rasheed, S., S. C. Warder, Y. Plancherel, and M. D. Piggott. 2020. Response of tidal flow regime and sediment transport in North Male ' Atoll , Maldives to coastal modification and sea level rise:1–27.	
1132	Rasser, M. W., and B. Riegl. 2002. Holocene coral reef rubble and its binding agents. Coral Reefs 21:57-72.	
1134	Rogers, A., J. L. Blanchard, and P. J. Mumby. 2018. Fisheries productivity under progressive coral reef degradation. Journal of Applied Ecology 55:1041–1049.	
1136	Rogers, J., S. G. Monismith, D. A. Koweek, and R. B. Dunbar. 2015. Wave dynamics of a Pacific Atoll with high frictional effects. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 121:476–501.	
1138	Salles, T., X. Ding, and G. Brocard. 2018. pyBadlands: A framework to simulate sediment transport, landscape dynamics and basin stratigraphic evolution through space and time. PLoS ONE 13:1–24.	
	Scoffin, T. P. 1992. Taphonomy of coral reefs: a review. Coral Reefs 11:57-77.	

1140 Scoffin, T. P. 1993. The geological effects of hurricanes on coral reefs and the interpretation of storm deposits.

Coral Reefs 12:203-221.

- 1142 Scoffin, T. P., and R. F. McLean. 1978. Exposed limestones of the northern province of the Great Barrier Reef. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 291:119–138.
- 1144 Sebens, K. P., and A. S. Johnson. 1991. Effects of water movement on prey capture and distribution of reef corals. Hydrobiologia 216:247–248.
- 1146 Smith, L. D., and T. P. Hughes. 1999. An experimental assessment of survival, re-attachment and fecundity of coral fragments. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 235:147–164.
- 1148 Soulsby, R. L. 2006. Sand Transport in Oscillatory Flow: Simplified calculation of wave orbital velocities. HR Wallingford.
- 1150 Sousa, W. P. 1979. Experimental Investigations of Disturbance and Ecological Succession in a Rocky Intertidal Algal Community. Ecological Monographs 49:227–254.
- 1152 Suren, A. M., and M. J. Duncan. 1999. Rolling stones and mosses: Effect of substrate stability on bryophyte communities in streams. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 18:457–467.
- 1154 Thornborough, K. J. 2012. Rubble-dominated reef flat processes and development: evidence from One Tree Reef, Southern Great Barrier Reef (PhD Thesis). The University of Sydney.
- 1156 Townsend, C. R., M. R. Scarsbrook, and S. Dolédec. 1997. The intermediate disturbance hypothesis, refugia, and biodiversity in streams. Limnology and Oceanography 42:938–949.
- Tribollet, A., G. Decherf, P. A. Hutchings, and M. Peyrot-Clausade. 2002. Large-scale spatial variability in bioerosion of experimental coral substrates on the Great Barrier Reef (Australia): Importance of microborers. Coral Reefs 21:424–432.
- Tunnicliffe, V. 1981. Breakage and propagation of the stony coral Acropora cervicornis. Proceedings of theNational Academy of Sciences 78:2427–2431.
- Viehman, S. 2017. Coral Decline and Reef Habitat Loss in the Caribbean: Modeling Abiotic Limitations on
 Coral Populations and Communities (PhD Thesis). Duke University.
- Viehman, T. S., J. L. Hench, S. P. Griffin, A. Malhotra, K. Egan, and P. N. Halpin. 2018. Understanding
 differential patterns in coral reef recovery : chronic hydrodynamic disturbance as a limiting mechanism for coral colonization. Marine Ecology Progress Series 605:135–150.
- Woodley, J. D., E. A. Chornesky, P. A. Clifford, J. B. C. Jackson, L. S. Kaufman, N. Knowlton, J. C. Lang, M. P. Pearson, J. W. Porter, M. C. Rooney, K. W. Rylaarsdam, V. J. Tunnicliffe, C. M. Wahle, J. L. Wulff,
 A. S. G. Curtis, and B. P. Dallmeyer. 1981a. Hurricane Allen's impact on Jamaican coral reefs. Science 214:749–755.
- 1172 Woodley, J. D., E. A. Chornesky, P. A. Clifford, J. B. C. Jackson, L. S. Kaufman, N. Knowlton, J. C. Lang, M. P. Pearson, J. W. Porter, M. C. Rooney, K. W. Rylaarsdam, V. J. Tunnicliffe, C. M. Wahle, J. L. Wulff,
 1174 A. S. G. Curtis, M. D. Dallmeyer, B. P. Jupp, M. A. R. Koehl, J. Neigel, and E. M. Sides. 1981b. Hurricane Allen's impact on Jamaican coral reefs. Science 214:749–755.

1176	Young, I. R. 1999. Seasonal Variability of the Global Ocean Wind and Wave Climate. Int. J. Climatol. 19:931– 950.
1178	Yu, K., J. Zhao, G. Roff, M. Lybolt, Y. Feng, T. Clark, and S. Li. 2012. High-precision U-series ages of transported coral blocks on Heron Reef (southern Great Barrier Reef) and storm activity during the past
1180	century. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 337–338:23–36.

Zahir, H., N. Quinn, and N. Cargilia. 2009. Assessment of Maldivian Coral Reefs in 2009 after Natural Disasters. Male'.

Page 15: [1] Deleted	Tania Kenyon	02/08/2023 13:24:00	
v			
A			
Page 17: [2] Deleted	Tania Kenyon	02/08/2023 14:11:00	
•			
A			
Page 17: [3] Deleted	Tania Kenyon	02/08/2023 14:18:00	
•			