Seasonal variability of nitrous oxide concentrations and emissions in a temperate estuary

Gesa Schulz^{1,2}, Tina Sanders², Yoana G. Voynova², Hermann W. Bange³, and Kirstin Dähnke²

7 ²Institute of Carbon Cycles, Helmholtz Centre Hereon, Geesthacht, 21502, Germany

- ³Marine Biogeochemistry Research Division, GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, 24105,
 Germany
- 9 Germany
- 10 Correspondence to: Gesa Schulz (Gesa.Schulz@hereon.de)

11 Abstract

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is a greenhouse gas, with a global warming potential 298 times that of carbon dioxide. 12 13 Estuaries can be sources of N₂O, but their emission estimates have significant uncertainties due to limited data 14 availability and high spatiotemporal variability. We investigated the spatial and seasonal variability of dissolved N₂O and its emissions along the Elbe Estuary (Germany), a well-mixed temperate estuary with high nutrient 15 16 loading from agriculture. During nine research cruises performed between 2017 and 2022, we measured dissolved 17 N₂O concentrations, as well as dissolved nutrients and oxygen concentrations along the estuary and calculated 18 N₂O saturations, flux densities and emissions. We found that the estuary was a year-round source of N₂O, with 19 highest emissions in winter when dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) loads and wind speeds are high. However, 20 in spring and summer, N₂O saturations and emissions did not decrease alongside lower riverine nitrogen loads, 21 suggesting that estuarine in-situ N_2O production is an important source of N_2O . We identified two hot-spots areas 22 of N₂O production: the Port of Hamburg, a major port region, and the mesohaline estuary near the maximum 23 turbidity zone (MTZ). N₂O production was enhanced by warmer temperatures and was fueled by decomposition 24 of riverine organic matter in the Hamburg Port and by marine organic matter in the MTZ. A comparison with previous measurements in the Elbe Estuary revealed that N2O saturation did not decrease alongside with DIN 25 26 concentrations after a significant improvement of water quality in the 1990s that allowed for phytoplankton growth 27 to reestablish in the river and estuary. This effect of phytoplankton growth and the overarching control of organic 28 matter on N₂O production, highlights that eutrophication and agricultural nutrient input can increase N₂O

29 emissions in estuaries.

30 1 Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is an important atmospheric trace gas that contributes to global warming and stratospheric ozone depletion (WMO, 2018; IPCC, 2021). Estuaries are important regions of nitrogen turnover (Middelburg and Nieuwenhuize, 2000; Crossland et al., 2005; Bouwman et al., 2013), and a potential source of N₂O (Bange, 2006; Barnes and Upstill-Goddard, 2011; Murray et al., 2015). Together with coastal wetlands, estuaries contribute between 0.17 and 0.95 Tg N₂O-N of the annual global budget of 16.9 Tg N₂O-N (Murray et al., 2015; Tian et al., 2020). N₂O emission estimates from estuaries are associated with significant uncertainties due to limited data availability and high spatiotemporal variability (e.g. Bange, 2006; Barnes and Upstill-Goddard, 2011; Maavara et

al., 2019), presenting a big challenge for the global N₂O emission estimates.

 ¹Institute of Geology, Center for Earth System Research and Sustainability (CEN), University Hamburg, Hamburg,
 20146, Germany

- 39 Nitrification and denitrification are the most important N₂O production pathways in estuaries. Under oxic
- 40 conditions, N₂O is produced as a side product during the first step of nitrification, the oxidation of ammonia to
- 41 nitrite (e.g. Wrage et al., 2001; Barnes and Upstill-Goddard, 2011). At low oxygen (but not anoxic) conditions,
- 42 nitrifier-denitrification may occur, during which nitrifiers reduce nitrite to N₂O (e.g. Wrage et al., 2001; Bange,
- 43 2008). Denitrification takes place under anoxic conditions and mostly acts as a source of N_2O , but can also reduce
- 44 N_2O to N_2 (e.g. Knowles, 1982; Bange, 2008). In estuaries, denitrification can occur in anoxic sediments, the
- 45 anoxic water column or anoxic microsites of particles, whereas nitrification and nitrifier-denitrification take place
- 46 in the oxygenated water column (Beaulieu et al., 2010; Murray et al., 2015; Ji et al., 2018; Tang et al., 2022).
- 47 In estuaries, the most important factor controlling N_2O emissions are considered to be oxygen availability and
- 48 dissolved inorganic nitrogen loads (Murray et al., 2015). Since N₂O measurements in estuaries are scarce, global
- 49 N_2O emissions can be estimated by using emission factors and considering dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) or
- 50 total nitrogen (TN) loads, where it is assumed that higher loads lead to higher N_2O emissions (Kroeze et al., 2005,
- 51 2010; Ivens et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2016). However, several studies instead reported no obvious relationship
- $52 \qquad \text{between nitrogen concentrations and N_2O emissions (Borges et al., 2015; Marzadri et al., 2017; Wells et al., 2018),}$
- highlighting the need to understand the causes for variability of the relationship between nitrogen loads and N_2O emissions (Wells et al., 2018).
- 55 The Elbe Estuary is a heavily managed estuary with high agricultural nitrogen inputs that hosts the third largest
- 56 port in Europe (e.g. Radach and Pätsch, 2007; Bergemann and Gaumert, 2008; Pätsch et al., 2010; Quiel et al.,
- 57 2011). It has been identified as a N_2O source, with a hotspot of N_2O production in the Port of Hamburg (Hanke
- and Knauth, 1990; Brase et al., 2017). We aimed to investigate drivers for N_2O emissions along the estuary,
- 59 specifically the N₂O and DIN ratio (N₂O:DIN). To do so, we (1) looked for potential long-term changes in N₂O
- 60 saturations, (2) investigated potential production hotspots as well as the spatial and temporal distribution of N₂O
- 61 saturations, and (3) used the N₂O:DIN ratio for a comparison with other estuaries that receive similar high
- 62 agricultural nutrient inputs.

63 2 Methods

64 **2.1** Study site

- The Elbe River stretches over 1094 km from the Giant Mountains (Czech Republic) to the North Sea (Cuxhaven,
- Germany). The catchment of the Elbe River is 140 268 km² (Boehlich and Strotmann, 2019), with 74 % urban and
 agricultural land-use (Johannsen et al., 2008). The Elbe is the second largest German river discharging into the
- North Sea, as well as the largest source of dissolved nitrogen for the German Bright, which is heavily affected by
- 69 eutrophication (van Beusekom et al., 2019).
- 70 The Elbe Estuary is a well-mixed temperate estuary, which begins at stream kilometer 586 at a weir in Geesthacht
- and stretches through the Port of Hamburg, entering the North Sea near Cuxhaven at stream kilometer 727 (Fig.
- 1). Estuaries are commonly structured along their salinity gradient into an oligobaline (salinity: 0.5 5.0), a
- 73 mesohaline (salinity: 5.0 18.0) and polyhaline (salinity > 18.0) (US EPA, 2006). The Elbe Estuary has a length
- of 142 km (Boehlich and Strotmann, 2019) and a mean annual discharge of 712 m³ s⁻¹ (measured at gauge Neu
- 75 Darchau at stream kilometer 536; HPA and Freie und Hansestadt Hamburg, 2017). The average water residence
- time is ~32 days, ranging from ~72 days during times of low discharge (300 m³ s⁻¹) to ~10 days during times of
- 77 high discharge (2000 m³ s⁻¹; Boehlich and Strotmann, 2008). The estuary has an annual nitrogen load of 84 Gg-N

- 78 (FGG Elbe, 2018). Point sources along the estuary provide only small part of the total nitrogen input to the Elbe
- Estuary (Hofmann et al., 2005; IKSE, 2018). Oxygen concentrations in the Elbe Estuary vary seasonally, with
- 80 oxygen depletion during the summer months and oxygen minimum zones regularly experiencing concentrations
- below 94 μ mol O₂ L⁻¹ (Schroeder, 1997; Gaumert and Bergemann, 2007; Schöl et al., 2014).
- 82 The Elbe Estuary is dredged year-round to maintain a water depth of 15 20 m and to grant access for large
- container ships to the Port of Hamburg (Boehlich and Strotmann, 2019; Hein et al., 2021). Construction work for
- 84 further deepening of the fairway was carried out during our study period, from 2019 to early 2022. Upstream of
- the Port of Hamburg water depth is less than 10 m (Hein et al., 2021).



86

Figure 1: Map of the Elbe Estuary sampled during our research cruises with stream kilometers. The vertical black lines
 indicate the Hamburg Port region and a typical position for the maximum turbidity zone (MTZ, Bergemann, 2004).

89 2.2 Transect sampling and measurements

- 90 We performed nine sampling campaigns along the estuary with the research vessel *Ludwig Prandtl* (Table 1). Most
- 91 cruises took place during spring and summer, with water temperatures > 10 °C (May to September), two cruises
- 92 were conducted during winter (early March, water temperature < 6 °C; Table 1). Transects started in the German
- 93 Bright, and continued along the salinity gradient, through the Port of Hamburg to Oortkaten (stream kilometer
- 609). To ensure comparable current and mixing conditions, transect sampling was always done after high-tide,
- 95 with the ship travelling upstream against the tide. For comparison to previous measurements, we included summer
- 96 data from a previous study in 2015 (Brase et al., 2017).

97 Table 1: Campaign dates with the sampled Elbe Estuary sections shown via stream kilometers, average discharge 98 during each cruise measured at the Neu Darchau gauging station, averages and standard deviations for water 99 temperature, wind speed at 10 m height, dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) concentrations for each campaign.

Campaign Dates	Stream kilometers	Water temperature	Wind speed 10 m	Average discharge	Average DIN load
	(km)	(°C)			(µmol L ⁻¹)
2829.04.2015	627 – 741	12.3 ± 1.0	7.4 ± 2.3	595	191.0 ± 45.0
0204.06.2015	609 - 739	17.4 ± 1.7	5.0 ± 1.3	276	105.9 ± 36.2
0102.08.2017	621 - 749	20.9 ± 0.7	3.6 ± 1.5	607	79.2 + 30.2
0405.06.2019	610 - 750	18.7 ± 2.2	4.0 ± 1.7	423	108.3 ± 35.9
30.0701.08.2019	609 - 752	22.6 ± 1.0	4.2 ± 1.4	171	60.8 ± 38.6
1920.06.2020	609 - 747	19.8 ± 1.4	5.8 ± 1.2	331	74.6 ± 33.8
0911.09.2020	607 - 745	18.9 ± 0.6	5.9 ± 2.8	305	93.1 ± 32.7
1012.03.2021	609 - 748	5.4 ± 0.5	9.3 ± 2.6	862	324.4 ± 83.8
0405.05.2021	610 - 751	10.5 ± 0.8	11.0 ± 3.1	411	85.7 ± 36.6
2728.07.2021	621 - 751	22.2 ± 0.7	5.2 ± 1.3	721	139.8 ± 58.4
0102.03.2022	610 - 752	5.6 ± 0.2	2.9 ± 1.0	1282	238.0 ± 74.7

100 An onboard membrane pump continuously provided water at 1.2 m depth to an on-line in-situ FerryBox system

and to an equilibrator used for the measurements of N_2O dry mole fraction (Section 2.4). The FerryBox system

102 continuously measured water temperature, salinity, oxygen concentrations, pH and turbidity. We corrected the

salinity corrected optode measurements using comparisons to Winkler titrations of distinct samples. See Table S1for further details.

Discrete water samples (30-40 samples for each cruise) were collected every 20 min from a bypass of the FerryBox system. For nutrient analysis, water samples were filtered immediately through combusted, pre-weighted GF/F Filters (4 h, 450 °C), and were frozen in acid washed PE-bottles until analysis. The filters were also stored frozen (-20 °C) and subsequently analyzed for suspended particulate matter (SPM), particulate nitrogen (PN), particulate

109 carbon (PC) and C/N ratios (Fig. S1).

110 2.3 Nutrient measurements

111 Filtered water samples were measured in triplicates with a continuous flow auto analyzer (AA3, SEAL Analytics)

- using standard colorimetric and fluorometric methods (Hansen and Koroleff, 1999) for dissolved nitrate (NO₃⁻),
- nitrite (NO₂⁻) and ammonium (NH₄⁺) concentrations. Detection limits were 0.05 μ mol L⁻¹, 0.05 μ mol L⁻¹, and
- 114 0.07 μ mol L⁻¹ for nitrate, nitrite and ammonium, respectively.

115 2.4 Equilibrator based N₂O measurements and calculations

116 Equilibrated dry mole fractions of N₂O were measured by an N₂O analyzer based on off-axis integrated cavity

- 117 output (OA-ICOS) absorption spectroscopy (Model 914-0022, Los Gatos Res. Inc., San Jose, CA, USA), which
- 118 was coupled with a sea water/gas equilibrator using off-axis cavity output spectroscopy. Brase et al. (2017)
- described the set-up and instrument precision in detail. Twice a day, two standard gas mixtures of N₂O in synthetic
- 120 air (500.5 ppb \pm 5 % and 321.2 ppb \pm 3 %) were analyzed to validate our measurements. No drift was detected
- 121 during our cruises.

- 122 We calculated the dissolved N₂O concentrations in water with the Bunsen solubility function of Weiss and Price
- 123 (1980), using 1 min averages of the measured N₂O dry mole fraction (ppb). Temperature differences between the
- sample inlet and the equilibrator were taken into account for the calculation of the final N_2O concentrations Rhee
- 125 et al. (2009). N_2O saturation were calculated based on N_2O concentrations in water (N_2O_{cw}) and the atmospheric
- 126 equilibration concentrations (N₂O_{eq}; Eq. 1). Atmospheric N₂O dry mole fractions were measured before and after
- 127 each transect cruises using an air duct from the deck of the research vessel.

$$s = 100 \times \frac{N_2 O_{cw}}{N_2 O_{eq}} \tag{1}$$

The gas transfer coefficients (k) were determined based on Borges et al. (2004, Eq. 3), Nightingale et al. (2000), 128 Wanninkhof (1992) and Clark et al. (1995), using the Schmidt number (Sc) and wind speeds (u_{10}) measured at 129 130 10 m height (Eq. 2). The Schmidt number was calculated as ratio of the kinematic viscosity in water (Siedler and Peters, 1986) to the N₂O diffusivity in water (Rhee, 2000). Cruise wind speeds (Table 1) varied significantly from 131 132 average annual wind speeds of the two federal states, in which the Elbe Estuary is located (4.7 m s⁻¹, Schleswig-Holstein u. Hamburg: Mittlere Windgeschwindigkeit (1986-2015)* | Norddeutscher Klimamonitor, 2023), and 133 134 also compared to seasonal average wind speeds determined for the stations Cuxhaven and Hamburg (Rosenhagen 135 et al., 2011). Thus, to estimate uncertainties due to varying wind conditions during our cruises, we used 1) the in-situ wind speeds measured on board the R/V Ludwig Prandtl at 10 m height by a MaxiMet GMX600 (Gill 136 137 Instruments Limited, Hampshire, UK), 2) the average annual wind speed (Schleswig-Holstein u. Hamburg: Mittlere Windgeschwindigkeit (1986-2015)* | Norddeutscher Klimamonitor, 2023), and 3) the seasonally 138 139 averaged wind speeds (Rosenhagen et al., 2011). The flux densities in the main text were calculated using Eq. 3 and the wind speeds measured on board the vessel. Results of the other calculations are listed in the supplementary 140 141 material (Table S2).

$$k = 0.24 \times (4.045 + 2.58u_{10}) \times \left(\frac{Sc}{600}\right)^{-0.5}$$
(2)

$$f = k \times (N_2 O_{cw} - N_2 O_{air}) \tag{3}$$

142 To estimate N_2O emissions, we separated the Elbe Estuary into five regions: limnic (stream kilometer 585 to 615), 143 Port of Hamburg (stream kilometer 615 to 632), oligohaline (stream kilometer 632 to 704), mesohaline (stream 144 kilometer 704 – 727) and polyhaline (stream kilometer 727 to 750), see Table S3. Respective areas were provided 145 by the German Federal Waterways Engineering and Research Institute (BAW, pers. Comm., Oritz, 2023) and Geerts et al. (2012). In order to account for seasonality, cruises were defined as: winter (March), spring (April and 146 147 May), summer (June and July) and late summer/autumn (August and September). We then calculated daily N₂O 148 emissions per section and season. For upscaling, we used calculated monthly emissions to estimate annual 149 emissions (winter: November to March, spring: April to May, summer: June to July and late summer/autumn: 150 August to October). To address uncertainties, we calculated N₂O emissions based on different parametrizations 151 and wind speeds as described above.

152 2.5 Excess N₂O and apparent oxygen utilization

153 The correlation between excess N₂O (N₂O_{xs}) and apparent oxygen utilization (AOU) can provide insights into N₂O

- production (Nevison et al., 2003; Walter et al., 2004). We calculated N_2O_{xs} as the difference between the N_2O
- 155 concentration in water (N₂O_w) and the theoretical equilibrium concentration (N₂O_{eq}) (Eq. 4). AOU was determined

- using Eq. 5, where O_2 is the measured dissolved oxygen concentration, and O_2 ' is the theoretical equilibrium
- 157 concentration between water and atmosphere calculated according to Weiss (1970).

$$N_2 O_{xs} = N_2 O_w - N_2 O_{eq} (4)$$

$$AOU = O_2' - O_2 \tag{5}$$

A linear relationship between AOU and N_2O_{xs} is usually an indicator for nitrification (Nevison et al., 2003; Walter et al., 2004).

160 2.6 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were done using R packages. The packages ggpubr v.0.6.0 (Kassambara, 2023) and stats
 v.4.0.2 (The R Stats Package, Version 4.0.2, 2021) were used to calculate Pearson correlations (R) and p-values.

163 3 Results

164 **3.1 Hydrographic properties and DIN distribution**

Discharge ranged between 171 m³ s⁻¹ and 1282 m³ s⁻¹ during our cruises (ZDM, 2022), with higher discharge in winter and lower discharge in summer (Table 1). Average water temperature over the entire estuary ranged from 5.4 ± 0.5 °C in March 2021 to 22.6 ± 1.0 °C in August 2017 (Table 1). For further evaluation, March 2021 and 2022 cruises were regarded as winter cruises (water temperature < 6°C), whereas all cruises with higher water temperature were jointly regarded as spring and summer conditions.

- 170 Nitrate was the major form of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) during all cruises. In winter, high nitrogen
- 171 concentrations entered the estuary from the river. Towards summer, the riverine input of nitrate (stream kilometer
- 172 < 620) decreased, but along the estuary nitrate concentrations increased up to ~stream kilometer 700, then
- 173 decreased towards the North Sea. Nitrate concentrations were highest during both March cruises with averages of
- 174 319.0 \pm 85.7 µmol L⁻¹ and 230.9 \pm 76.2 µmol L⁻¹ in 2021 and 2022, respectively. During summer, nitrate
- 175 concentrations were lower, with averages between 151.0 \pm 58.1 μ mol L⁻¹ in May 2021 and 63.3 \pm 38.8 μ mol L⁻¹
- 176 in July 2019 (Fig. 2a and b).
- 177 Nitrite and ammonium concentrations were usually low (< 1 μ mol L⁻¹) throughout the Elbe Estuary, but peaked
- 178 in the Hamburg Port region and around stream kilometer 720 (Fig. 2c and 2e). We measured pronounced variations
- in nitrite concentrations during most of our cruises, ranging from > 6.0 μ mol L⁻¹ (July 2019) to concentrations
- 180 below the detection limit (Fig. 2c and d). The highest ammonium concentration was measured in March 2021 at
- 181 23.5 μ mol L⁻¹ (Fig. 2e and f).



182

183 Figure 2: Nitrate concentration along the Elbe Estuary (a) in spring/summer, (b) in winter. Nitrite concentration along 184 the Elbe Estuary (c) in spring/summer and (d) in winter. Ammonium concentration along the Elbe Estuary (e) in 185 spring/summer and (f) in winter. N₂O in % saturation along the Elbe Estuary (g) in spring/summer, (h) in winter. 186 Dissolved oxygen in % saturation along the Elbe Estuary (i) in spring/summer and (j) in winter. All variables are plotted 187 against Elbe stream kilometers (Elbe km). Light grey shading denotes the Hamburg Port region, dark grey shading the 188 typical position of the maximum turbidity zone (MTZ, Bergemann, 2004). Note the difference in Y-axis scales for the 189 plots of (g) and (h). The dashed black lines in (g) and (h), as well as (i) and (j) indicate saturation of 100 % for nitrous 190 oxide and dissolved oxygen, respectively.

191 **3.2** Atmospheric N₂O and N₂O saturation

192 The average atmospheric N_2O dry mole fractions ranged from 325 ppb in June 2015 to 336 ppb in July 2022 (Table

- 193 2). The differences between our measurements and the mean monthly N_2O mole fraction measured at the Mace
- 194 Head atmospheric monitoring station (Ireland; Dlugokencky et al., 2022) were always less than 1.5 %, indicating
- a good agreement with the monitoring data.
- 196 During all cruises, the Elbe Estuary was supersaturated in N_2O in the freshwater region (Fig. 2g, h). The average
- 197 N₂O saturation over the entire transect ranged between 146 % and 243 % with an overall average of 197 % for all
- $402\ \%\ saturation\ and\ a\ maximum\ supersaturation\ of\ 710\ \%\ in\ July\ 2019.$ The distributions of $N_2O\ during\ winter$
- 200 cruises were significantly different: In March 2022, highest N₂O (280 % saturation) occurred at stream kilometer
- 201 640. In contrast, in March 2021, we found an extraordinarily high peak with a saturation of 1018 % at stream
- kilometer 627. Between stream kilometer 680 and 720, a supersaturation of up to 277 % occurred in spring and
- 203 summer. Further towards the North Sea, N₂O decreased, approaching equilibrium with the atmosphere.

204 3.3 N₂O flux densities and N₂O emissions

For N₂O flux densities, we in the following present calculated values after Borges et al. (2004, Table 2). See Table S2 for results of other parametrizations. The N₂O flux densities were usually highest in the Hamburg Port area, with an average of 95.0 \pm 97.9 µmol m⁻² d⁻¹ and lowest towards the North Sea, with an average of 3.9 \pm 3.0 µmol m⁻¹ d⁻¹ (Elbe stream kilometers > 735). The average N₂O flux density of all cruises was 39.9 \pm 46.9 µmol m⁻² d⁻¹ (calculated with in-situ wind speeds measured during the cruises).

210	Table 2: Calculated average N ₂ O saturation, sea-to-air fluxes calculated following Borges et al. (2004) and atmospheric
211	N2O dry mole fractions during our cruises in the Elbe Estuary

Campaign Dates	Average	N ₂ O Flux	N_2O Flux densities (µmol m ⁻² d ⁻¹)				
	saturation	In-situ	Annual	Seasonal	atmospheric dry		
	(%)	wind	wind	wind	mole fraction (ppb)		
2829.04.15	160.8 ± 37.9	33.1 ± 21.0	23.1 ± 14.7	25.4 ± 16.1	331 ± 0.5		
0204.06.15	203.8 ± 112.7	39.0 ± 42.7	37.2 ± 40.7	37.8 ± 41.4	325 ± 0.8		
0102.08.17	221.0 ± 106.5	35.6 ± 31.8	43.2 ± 38.5	44.1 ± 39.3	331 ± 1.2		
0405.06.19	192.6 ± 66.0	29.7 ± 21.5	33.5 ± 24.2	34.0 ± 24.6	332 ± 0.2		
30.0701.08.19	232.5 ± 155.3	42.0 ± 50.1	45.7 ± 54.5	47.4 ± 56.4	327 ± 1.0		
1920.06.20	193.9 ± 74.1	39.2 ± 31.6	33.3 ± 26.9	33.9 ± 27.3	330 ± 0.6		
0911.09.20	160.5 ± 53.6	26.0 ± 23.5	21.8 ± 19.7	24.5 ± 22.1	331 ± 0.7		
1012.03.21	242.5 ± 141.6	100.7 ± 101.2	58.1 ± 58.4	71.0 ± 71.4	331 ± 1.3		
0405.05.21	145.6 ± 28.8	35.6 ± 22.5	17.8 ± 11.2	18.5 ± 11.7	331 ± 0.8		
2728.07.21	172.6 ± 37.2	28.0 ± 14.6	25.9 ± 13.6	26.9 ± 14.1	334 ± 3.8		
0102.03.22	196.5 ± 47.0	27.8 ± 13.9	39.0 ± 19.5	47.7 ± 23.8	333 ± 0.7		

²¹²

 N_2O emission estimates varied significantly depending on the used parametrization and wind speeds. Note that we calculated emission twice: 1) including (w 03/2021) and 2) deliberately excluding (w/o 03/2021) the N₂O peak saturation measured in the Port of Hamburg in March 2021, using a linear interpolated concentrations in the

216 respective. Highest emissions were calculated following methods by Borges et al. (2004) and using in-situ wind

- speeds, resulting in emissions of 0.25 ± 0.16 Gg-N₂O yr⁻¹ and 0.23 ± 0.12 Gg-N₂O yr⁻¹ with and without the N₂O
- 218 peak in March 2021, respectively. Lowest emissions of 0.08 Gg-N₂O yr⁻¹ arose with parametrization of Nightingale
- et al. (2000) and Wanninkhof (1992), and using annual wind speeds (Table 3).
- 220 Table 3: Annual N₂O emission estimates in Gg-N₂O yr⁻¹ calculated with different parametrizations and wind speeds

		Emissions in Gg-N ₂ O yr ⁻¹						
		Borges et al.	Nightingale et al.	Wanninkhof	Clark et al.			
		(2004)	(2000)	(1992)	(1995)			
W	In-situ wind	0.25 ± 0.16	0.14 ± 0.12	0.17 ± 0.15	0.16 ± 0.12			
03/2021	Annual wind	0.21 ± 0.11	0.08 ± 0.04	0.09 ± 0.05	0.09 ± 0.05			
	Seasonal wind	0.24 ± 0.12	0.11 ± 0.06	0.13 ± 0.06	0.12 ± 0.06			
w/o	In-situ wind	0.23 ± 0.12	0.13 ± 0.09	0.15 ± 0.11	0.14 ± 0.09			
03/2021	Annual wind	0.20 ± 0.08	0.08 ± 0.03	0.08 ± 0.03	0.09 ± 0.04			
	Seasonal wind	0.22 ± 0.09	0.11 ± 0.04	0.12 ± 0.04	0.12 ± 0.04			

221 3.4 Dissolved oxygen saturation

Average oxygen varied between 76 and 95 % saturation with an oxygen minimum in the Hamburg Port area. 222 223 Winter cruises varied little, with oxygen remaining relatively constant along the estuary (> 88 % saturation). 224 During most spring and summer cruises, water from the river coming into the estuary was supersaturated in oxygen 225 (> 100 % saturation). In the Hamburg Port region, oxygen saturation generally decreased. Lowest values occurred 226 in June 2020 with 47 % saturation. The along-estuary oxygen minimum in summer months (June to August) was 227 always below 61 % saturation. In spring and summer, oxygen increased towards the North Sea and reached 228 100 % saturation (Fig. 2i and j). Plots of excess N_2O (N_2O_{xs}) and apparent oxygen utilization (AOU) revealed excess N_2O along the entire estuary 229 230 (Fig. 3). During all cruises, elevated riverine N_2O_{xs} entered the estuary (stream kilometer < 620). A linear positive 231 relationship between N_2O_{xs} and AOU suggested nitrification as main production pathway in large sections of the 232 estuary (Nevison et al., 2003; Walter et al., 2004). However, in summer, a change of slope in the Port of Hamburg

as well as in the mesohaline section of the estuary suggested either increased in-situ N₂O production or external

234 N₂O input. In winter, we found an increasing slope in the Hamburg Port region and in the oligohaline part of the

Elbe Estuary (Fig. 3h, k).





Figure 3: Plots of N₂O_{xs} vs AOU for (a) April 2015, (b) June 2015, (c) August 2017, (d) June 2019, (e) July 2019, (f) June 2020, (g) September 2020, (h) March 2021, (i) May 2021, (j) June 2021 and (k) March 2022. The values are colored to distinguish between different regions of the estuary. Y-axis scale differ for Fig. 3h.

240 3.5 Statistical analysis

- 241 We performed a statistical analyses to identify potential N₂O production pathways and controlling factors. Table 4
- summarizes the results for the entire data set with further separation into spring and summer cruises (sp/su), as
- well as separation according to presence of a salinity gradient (salinity > 1) or freshwater regions (salinity < 1).
- 244 Further, we performed corresponding analysis to assess the significance of correlations between for average values
- 245 of different parameters for each cruise (Table 5).

246Table 4: Pearson correlation coefficients (R) for N2O saturation (%) with temperature (T in °C), pH value, oxygen (O2247in %), ammonium concentrations (NH4+ in μ mol L-1), nitrite concentrations (NO2- in μ mol L-1), nitrate concentrations248(NO3- in μ mol L-1), SPM concentrations (SPM in mg L-1), C/N values, particulate carbon fraction (PC in %) and249particulate nitrogen fraction (PN in %) for the entire data set, spring and summer cruises (sp/su), data with salinity > 1,250spring and summer cruises with salinity > 1, data with salinity < 1 and spring and summer cruises with salinity < 1. The</td>251significance is shown as ** for p-value < 0.001, * for p-values < 0.01 and + for p-values < 0.05.</td>

N ₂ O	Т	pН	O_2	$\mathrm{NH_4^+}$	NO_2^-	NO ₃ -	SPM	C/N	PC	PN
saturation %	°C		%	μM	μM	μΜ	mg		%	%
Entire data	0.06	-0.47**	-0.56**	0.27^{**}	0.48^{**}	0.23	0.10	0.60	-0.05	-0.13+
sp/su	0.33*	-0.59**	-0.65**	0.23**	0.53**	0.09	0.02	0.24**	-0.09	-0.13+
Sal>1	0.03	-0.40**	-0.53**	-0.32**	-0.05	0.71**	0.32**	0.11^{*}	-0.24	-0.39**
Sal<1,	0.01	-0.41**	-0.42**	0.28^{**}	0.51**	-0.00	-0.08	0.15	-0.25*	-0.24*
Sal>1, sp/su	-0.10	-0.21+	-0.52**	-0.28**	0.01	0.62**	0.02	0.39**	-0.31**	-0.41**
Sal<1, sp/su	0.30**	-0.60**	-0.57**	0.21+	0.58**	-0.23*	-0.16	0.11	-0.30*	-0.27*

252

253Table 5: Pearson correlation coefficients (R) for average N2O saturation (%) with average discharge (Q in m³ s⁻¹)254temperature (T in °C), pH value, oxygen (O2 in %), ammonium concentrations (NH4⁺ in µmol L⁻¹), nitrite concentrations255(NO2⁻ in µmol L⁻¹), nitrate concentrations (NO3⁻ in µmol L⁻¹), SPM concentrations (SPM in mg L⁻¹), C/N values,256particulate carbon fraction (PC in %) and particulate nitrogen fraction (PN in %) for the entire data set, spring and257summer cruises (sp/su), data with salinity > 1, spring and summer cruises with salinity > 1, data with salinity < 1 and</td>258spring and summer cruises with salinity < 1. The significance is shown as ** for p-value < 0.001, * for p-values < 0.01</td>259and + for p-values < 0.05.</td>

N ₂ O	Q	Т	pН	O ₂	NH_4^+	NO ₂ -	NO ₃ -	SPM	C/N	PC	PN
saturation %	m ³ s ⁻¹	°C		%	μΜ	μM	μΜ	mg		%	%
Entire data	0.13	0.06	-0.65	-0.39	0.02	0.48	0.27	-0.31	0.53	0.12	-0.16
sp/su	-0.26	0.76^{+}	-0.82+	-0.32	0.01	0.35	-0.40	-0.92*	0.15	0.18	0.31
Sal>1	-0.07	-0.14	-0.38	-0.43	-0.18	0.23	0.52	-0.19	0.46	-0.18	-0.38
Sal<1,	-0.21	0.29	-0.59	-0.39	0.26	0.76^{*}	-0.11	-0.57	0.12	0.61	0.47
Sal>1, sp/su	-0.07	-0.70+	-0.41	-0.26	-0.42	0.03	0.05	-0.81+	-0.04	-0.10	0.14
Sal<1, sp/su	-0.48	0.72^{+}	-0.80	-0.46	0.29	0.77+	-0.58	-0.87+	-0.17	0.69	0.67

260 4 Discussion

261 4.1 N₂O saturation and flux densities of the Elbe Estuary

The average N₂O saturation and flux density were 197 % and $39.9 \pm 46.9 \,\mu$ mol m⁻² d⁻¹, respectively. The N₂O flux densities from the Elbe Estuary were in the mid-range of flux densities of other European estuaries ranging from 2.9 μ mol m⁻² d⁻¹ to 96.5 μ mol m⁻² d⁻¹ (Garnier et al., 2006; Gonçalves et al., 2010; Murray et al., 2015) and average

265 N₂O saturations fitted to values determined by Reading et al. (2020) for highly modified urban systems. The

relationship of N_2O_{xs} and AOU (Fig. 3), with changing slopes in the Port of Hamburg and mesohaline estuary, was determined by either initial riverine N_2O production, or in-situ production along the estuary. During spring and summer, we found increasing N_2O concentrations in the Hamburg Port region (see also Brase et al. (2017)), and in the salinity gradient (stream kilometer 680 – 700, salinity ~5). Both N_2O peaks varied in magnitude and spatial extension, suggesting in-situ biological production (Fig. 2g). This matches earlier research linking estuarine N_2O

- fluxes to in-situ generation (e.g. Bange, 2006; Barnes and Upstill-Goddard, 2011; Murray et al., 2015).
- 272 Previous measurements of N₂O saturation and flux densities in the Elbe Estuary between the 1980s and 2015 273 (Hanke and Knauth, 1990; Barnes and Upstill-Goddard, 2011; Brase et al., 2017) showed a significant reduction 274 of N₂O saturation due to the reduced riverine nutrient load and higher dissolved oxygen concentrations (Brase et 275 al., 2017). However, since the BIOGEST study in 1997 (Barnes and Upstill-Goddard, 2011), N₂O remained relatively stable at ~ 200 % saturation despite a concurrent decrease in TN concentration from ~400 μ mol L⁻¹ to 276 around 200 µmol L⁻¹ (Fig. S2; Hanke and Knauth, 1990; Barnes and Upstill-Goddard, 2011; Brase et al., 2017; 277 278 Das Fachinfomrationssystem (FIS) der FGG Elbe, 2022). As N₂O saturation did not decrease in scale with riverine 279 nitrogen input, this suggests that in-situ N₂O production along the estuary is important. Dähnke et al. (2008) 280 showed a shift from dominating denitrification towards significant nitrification in the Elbe Estuary due to the 281 significant improvement of water quality after the reunification of Germany in 1990. In the following sections, we 282 investigate the biogeochemical controls of this in-situ production. For this purpose, we discuss the two zones of intense N₂O production separately and also distinguish between cruises in spring and summer (water temperature 283 284 > 10 °C) and in winter (water temperature < 6 °C).

285 4.2 N₂O production in spring and summer in the mesohaline estuary

The N₂O peak in the transition between oligohaline and mesohaline estuary was accompanied by a sudden change 286 287 in the slope of the AOU vs N_2O_{xs} plots (Fig. 3), pointing towards N_2O production in the oxic water column. Peaks 288 of nitrite and ammonium concentrations coincided with the elevated nitrous oxide saturations between Elbe km 289 680-700, with an ammonium peak around stream kilometer ~720, and a nitrite peak at ~700 (Fig. 4a). Highest 290 N₂O concentrations were usually measured between the nitrite peak and the region with highest turbidity (Fig. 4a, September 2020, and Fig. S3-S13). This co-occurrence of nitrite accumulation and increased N₂O saturation has 291 292 been interpreted as signs for N₂O production via denitrification (e.g. Wertz et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2022). 293 However, denitrification does not seem likely in this oxic water column. Such a succession of nitrite and ammonium peaks is also typical for remineralization and nitrification, and the slight decrease of oxygen 294 295 concentrations around the higher N₂O saturation (Fig. 2g and i) suggests oxygen consumption, possibly caused by these two processes. Sanders et al. (2018) measured small but detectable nitrification rates $(1 - 2 \mu \text{mol } L^{-1} d^{-1})$ for 296 297 this region of the Elbe Estuary, suggesting that N₂O may be a side product of nitrification.





Figure 4: Succession of N-bearing substances coming from the North Sea and in the Port of Hamburg in September 2020: Relative change of SPM concentrations (a) from the North Sea and (b) in the Port of Hamburg. Nitrate in µmol L⁻¹, nitrite in µmol L⁻¹, ammonium in µmol L⁻¹ and nitrous oxide concentrations in nmol L⁻¹ plotted against Elbe stream kilometers (c) from the North Sea and (d) in the Port of Hamburg. Particulate nitrogen concentrations in µmol L⁻¹ and C/N values plotted against stream kilometers (e) from the North Sea and (f) in the Port of Hamburg. The grey area in (f) and (f) shows the position of the Port of Hamburg.

305 This suggests input of particulate matter from the North Sea and upstream particle transport towards the maximum

306 turbidity zone of the estuary (MTZ). This transport mechanism is in line with Wolfstein and Kies (1999), who

307 explained organic matter contents and chlorophyll a concentrations in the polyhaline part of the Elbe Estuary by

- 308 input of freshly produced particulate matter of marine origin. Generally, maximum turbidity zones are generated
- 309 by the balance between river-induced flushing and upstream transport of marine SPM, as a function of estuarine
- 310 geomorphology, gravitational circulation and tidal flow, trapping the particles in the MTZ (Bianchi, 2007;
- 311 Sommerfield and Wong, 2011; Winterwerp and Wang, 2013). Other studies detected N₂O production from water
- column nitrification in estuarine MTZs (e.g. Barnes and Owens, 1999; de Wilde and de Bie, 2000; Bange, 2006;
- Barnes and Upstill-Goddard, 2011; Harley et al., 2015), caused by high bacterial numbers, particulate nitrogen
- availability and long residence times (Murray et al., 2015).
- 315 For the selected dataset, we calculated a negative correlation between average SPM concentrations and N₂O
- saturation (R = -0.81, Table 5), and found that the N₂O peak was located downstream of the MTZ, and upstream
- of increasing nitrite and ammonium concentrations (Fig. 4a). This suggests that (1) the mere concentration of SPM
- 318 is not the driving factor of nitrification as a source of N₂O, but that organic matter quality is key to biological
- turnover (Dähnke et al. 2022), and (2) the material transport from the North Sea upstream towards the MTZ
- 320 (Kappenberg and Fanger, 2007; Schoer, 1990) is a main mechanism for N₂O generation. We find organic matter
- 321 with low C/N ratios, and with relatively high PN and PC contents in the outermost samples (ranging from 5.9 in
- June 2020 to 8.8 August 2017), indicating fresh and easily degradable organic matter (Fig. S1, e.g. Redfield et al.

- 323 1963; Fraga et al. 1998; Middelburg and Herman 2007). Towards the MTZ, C/N values, PN and PC contents
- decreased, indicating remineralization in the water column. This remineralization and subsequent nitrification can
- 325 then cause the observed succession of ammonium, nitrite and N₂O peaks (Fig. 4a), contributing to the high nitrate
- 326 concentrations in the MTZ, where high C/N values (9 11/16) indicate low organic matter quality (e.g. Hedges
- and Keil 1995; Middelburg and Herman 2007). Overall, we conclude that remineralization of marine organic
- 328 matter, followed by nitrification, produced the N₂O peak in the salinity gradient of the Elbe Estuary. This
- 329 production was mainly fueled by fresh organic matter entering the estuary from the North Sea.

330 4.3 Hamburg Port: N₂O production in spring and summer

331 During all cruises, we measured highest N₂O saturation in the Port of Hamburg. These peaks can be caused by 332 input from a waste water treatment plant, by deepening and dredging operations, enhanced benthic production or 333 by in-situ production in the water column.

- Point sources generally play a minor role in the Elbe Estuary (Hofmann et al., 2005; IKSE, 2018). We estimated
- the wastewater discharge fraction of stream flow according to Büttner et al. (2020) for the waste water treatment plant (WWTP) Köhlbrandhöft, which treats the waste water from the Hamburg metropolitan region, with less than
- 5% even under low fresh water inflow. Thus, point sources seemed not to be the cause for the elevated N₂O concentrations.
- 339 Dredging can be a potential source of N_2O in the water column. The estuary is continuously deepened and dredged 340 to grant access for large container ships, which stirs up bottom sediments. Ammonium concentrations in the 341 sediment pore water are high (Zander et al., 2020, 2022) and N_2O can be produced by nitrifier-denitrification in 342 the sediments (Deek et al., 2013). However, we found no correlation of high SPM concentrations and N_2O
- saturation, indicating no major influence on N_2O dynamics from channel dredging and deepening.
- 344 Several studies identified the Hamburg Port region as a hotspot of biogeochemical turnover: Deek et al. (2013)
- 345 showed denitrification, where Sanders et al. (2018) measured intense nitrification. Norbisrath et al. (2022) 346 determined intense total alkalinity generation, and Dähnke et al. (2022) found that nitrogen turnover was driven
- determined intense total alkalinity generation, and Dähnke et al. (2022) found that nitrogen turnover was driven
 by high particulate organic matter in this region. Brase et al. (2017) identified the Hamburg port region as a hotspot
- 348 of N₂O production and hypothesized that simultaneous nitrification and sediment denitrification were responsible.
- 349 We use our expanded dataset to further evaluate this hypothesis and to identify drivers for N_2O production in the 350 port region.
- During all cruises in spring and summer, we measured ammonium and nitrite peaks in the Hamburg Port region
 (Fig. 2c and e, exemplary for September 2020 in Fig. 4b). Several researchers did address the nitrogen turnover
- and this accumulation of nitrite and ammonium assuming that the sudden increase of water depth in the Port leads
- to a light limitation and decomposition of riverine organic material (Schroeder, 1997; Schöl et al., 2014). This in
- turn raises ammonium and nitrite concentrations and fosters nitrification in the port region (Sanders et al., 2018;
- 356 Dähnke et al., 2022).
- 357 High nitrite concentrations are favorable for N₂O production by nitrification and nitrifier-denitrification (Quick et
- 358 al., 2019), while low-oxygen conditions facilitate both nitrification and denitrification. We found that N₂O
- saturation increased with decreasing discharge (R = -0.48, Table 5) during spring and summer. This further points
- 360 towards in-situ N₂O production, because denitrification and nitrification are more intense during longer residence
- times (e.g. Nixon et al. 1996; Pind et al. 1997; Silvennoinen et al. 2007; Gonçalves et al. 2010). Overall, our data
- 362 showed the succession of ammonium, nitrite and N₂O production (Fig. 4b and supplementary material S3-S13)

- 363 confirming simultaneous sedimentary denitrification and nitrification in the water column responsible pathways
- 364 for N_2O production in the Port of Hamburg (Brase et al. 2017).
- 366 may result from combined N₂O production by nitrification and denitrification. However, oxygen saturation and
- N_2O saturation were inversely correlated in Hamburg Port (Table 4 and 5), suggesting that N_2O production was
- 368 controlled by oxygen concentrations, and thus was related to oxygen consumption in the port region. Most (75 %)
- of this oxygen consumption is caused by respiration whereas the remaining 25 % stem from nitrification (Schöl et

al., 2014; Sanders et al., 2018). This respiration in turn is determined by remineralization of algal material from

- the upstream river that is transported to and respired within the port region (Schroeder, 1997; Kerner, 2000; Schöl
- et al., 2014), linking estuarine N₂O production to river eutrophication. Fabisik et al. (2023) showed that algae could
- additionally contribute to N₂O production. In the Elbe, fresh organic matter from the river with low C/N values as
- 374 well as high PN and PC contents entered the estuary. This organic material was rapidly degraded in the Hamburg
- 375 Port region (Fig. S1). Dähnke et al. (2022) found that labile organic matter fueled nitrification but also
- denitrification in the fresh water part of the Elbe Estuary, which, as shown in our study, results in high N₂O
- 377 production in the Hamburg Port, leading to the reported negative correlations of PC and PN content with N₂O
- 378 saturation.

370

- 379 Overall, oxygen conditions mainly controlled N₂O production in the Hamburg Port region in spring and summer.
- 380 Since respiration of organic matter dominates oxygen drawdown in the port region, we deduce that N₂O production
- there is linked to the decomposition of phytoplankton produced in the upstream Elbe River regions.

382 4.4 Hamburg Port: N₂O production in winter

- In winter, low water temperature (< 6 °C) should hamper biological production (Koch et al., 1992; Halling-Sorensen and Jorgensen, 1993). Indeed, we did not detect a N₂O peak in the MTZ in winter, but we find high N₂O concentrations in the port region. For March 2022, we found a linear increase of N₂O_{xs} and AOU along with oxygen consumption and increasing ammonium, nitrite and PN concentrations indicating nitrification in the Hamburg Port producing N₂O. Unlike in summer, N₂O concentrations showed a flat increase extending far into the oligohaline section of the estuary (Fig. 2, Fig. S1).
- However, in March 2021, we found a sharp and sudden increase in N_2O , with a peak concentration that by far
- 390 exceeded internal biological sources in summer (Fig. 2h). An ammonium peak in the water column coincided with
- the N₂O maximum (Fig. 2f and Fig. S11). If microbial activity is mostly temperature-inhibited, a local source of
- N_2O in the port seems the most likely cause.
- 393 We considered intensified deepening operations in the Port of Hamburg one potential source of elevated N₂O
- 394 saturation. Deepening and dredging work occurred in the Hamburg Port region in 2021 (HPA, pers. Comm.,
- Karrasch 2022), but, this also applied to 2022, when we saw no sharp N₂O peak (Fig. 2h). Furthermore, the regions
- 396 of deepening and dredging did not match the region of high N₂O concentrations, and turbidity at the time of
- 397 sampling did not change significantly compared to other cruises. Jointly, this suggests that channel dredging and
- 398 deepening was not the primary cause for the 2021 winter N₂O peak.
- 399 Another possible source of N₂O is the WWTP outflow in the Southern Elbe that joins the main estuary at stream
- 400 kilometer 626 (Fig. 1), matching the N₂O peak at stream kilometer 627 (Fig. 2h). As explained above (section 4.3),
- 401 the effect of this WWTP on N₂O saturations under normal conditions should be negligible. This peak can be the
- 402 result of an extraordinary event during our sampling. We indeed found that an extreme rain event occurred on

- 403 March 11th 2021 (HAMBURG WASSER, pers. Comm., Laurich 2022) with a statistical recurrence probability of
- 404 one to five years (https://sri.hamburgwasser.de/, last access: 04.04.2023). This rare event caused aggravated
- 405 operation conditions in the WWTP at the time of sampling. While the operators could still meet the limits for the
- 406 effluent levels of nitrate and ammonium, higher than usual ammonium loads exited the treatment plant at this time.
- 407 We assume that these elevated ammonium WWTP loads, were rapidly converted to N₂O as the warmer and
- 408 biologically active waste water entered the Elbe Estuary in March 2021. An important factor for aggravated
- 409 conditions was a temperature drop in the WWTP caused by cold rain water, we hypothesize that a similar rain
- 410 event in warmer months would not lead to comparable N₂O peaks.
- 411 Therefore, we argue that our March 2021 cruise likely represents an exception due to an extreme weather situation,
- 412 whereas normal winter conditions in the estuary comply with the N₂O production, like in March 2022.

413 4.5 Seasonally varying N₂O:DIN dynamic

We calculated annual N₂O emissions of the Elbe Estuary ranging from 0.08 ± 0.03 Gg-N₂O yr⁻¹ to 0.25 ± 0.16 Gg-N₂O yr⁻¹, which varied from recent N₂O summer emission estimate of 0.18 ± 0.01 Gg-N₂O yr⁻¹ by Brase et al. (2017). Estuarine N₂O emissions are affected by tides, diel variations and currents (Barnes et al., 2006; Baulch et al., 2012; Gonçalves et al., 2015), all of which we did not address in our study. Range of possible parametrizations of gas transfer coefficients further complicates a direct comparison of fluxes between studies (Hall Jr. and Ulseth, 2020; Rosentreter et al., 2021), which were reflected in the big differences of our emission estimates (Table 2). Therefore, a direct comparison to other studies is difficult.

- 421 In a more general approach, the relationship between N_2O and DIN (N_2O :DIN) is used for global estimates of N_2O
- 422 emissions (Kroeze et al., 2005, 2010; Ivens et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2016). Using publicly available data (Table S4
- 423 and S5), we calculated the amount of the annual nitrogen load released as N₂O. Depending on the parametrization
- 424 used for the gas transfer coefficients, 0.14 % to 0.67 % of the annual DIN loads of the Elbe Estuary were released
- 425 as N₂O (0.11 % to 0.57 % for TN loads). This is significantly less than the 1 % predicted by Kroeze et al. (2005),
- 426 but matches results from other estuaries with high agricultural input, e.g. Wells et al. (2018) with 0.3 % to 0.7 %
- 427 (0.1 % for TN loads) and Robinson et al. (1998) with 0.5 % (0.3 % for TN loads) as well as the 0.11 % to 0.37 %
- 428 estimated by Maavara et al. (2019), who used TN loads to predict global estuarine emissions.
- 429 At our site, highest emissions were estimated in winter (Fig. 5b) along with highest DIN loads (Fig. 5c). In spring, 430 summer and late summer, N₂O emissions reduced along with DIN loads (Fig. 5b, c). However, N₂O release did 431 not scale with the seasonal change of DIN. In winter, 0.10 % to 0.32 % of DIN were released as N₂O, whereas 432 during the other seasons, up to 1.26 % were emitted. Thus, our results corroborate that there is a deviating 433 relationship between DIN and N₂O (Borges et al., 2015; Marzadri et al., 2017; Wells et al., 2018) showing that 434 this relationship even varies seasonally on site due to changing drivers for N₂O production and emissions.
- in the second se
- 435 Next to DIN loads, we find that organic matter is an important driver for N_2O production by providing substrate
- 436 for nitrification. Furthermore, the comparison of our results with previous measurements in the Elbe Estuary
- 437 revealed that N_2O saturation stopped to scale with DIN input after the 1990s (section 4.1). The significant regime
- 438 change after the 1990s enabled phytoplankton growth to reestablish in the river (Kerner, 2000; Amann et al., 2012;
- 439 Hillebrand et al., 2018; Rewrie et al., submitted) and led to high nitrification rates in the estuary (Dähnke et al.,
- 440 2008; Sanders et al., 2018), supporting the overarching control of organic matter on N₂O production and emissions
- 441 along the Elbe Estuary.



442 443



449 **5** Conclusions

450 Overall, the Elbe is a year-round source of N₂O to the atmosphere, with highest emission occurring in winter, 451 along with high DIN loads and high wind speeds. However, summer N₂O saturation and emissions did not decrease 452 with lower riverine nitrogen input suggesting variable relations of DIN and N₂O (Borges et al., 2004; Marzadri et al., 2017; Wells et al., 2018), and seasonal variability of this ratio caused by changing drivers for N₂O production 453 454 and emissions. Two hot-spots of N₂O production were found in the Elbe Estuary: the Port of Hamburg and the 455 mesohaline estuary near the estuarine turbidity maximum. Biological N₂O production was enhanced by warmer 456 temperatures and fueled by riverine organic matter in the Hamburg Port or marine organic matter in the MTZ. A 457 comparison with historical N2O measurements in the Elbe Estuary revealed that N2O saturation did not decrease 458 with DIN input after the 1990s. The improvement of water quality in the Elbe Estuary allowed phytoplankton 459 growth after the reunification of Germany in 1990s (Kerner, 2000; Amann et al., 2012; Hillebrand et al., 2018; 460 Rewrie et al., submitted) and led to a switch from dominant denitrification to high nitrification (Dähnke et al., 461 2008; Sanders et al., 2018), supporting the overarching control of organic matter on N_2O production along the 462 Elbe Estuary. Thus, our findings indicate that DIN availability is not the sole control of N₂O production in estuaries 463 with high agricultural input. High organic matter availability due to phytoplankton blooms driven by river 464 eutrophication fuels nitrification and subsequent N₂O emissions, causing a decoupling of the N₂O:DIN ratio. 465 Therefore, N₂O emissions in heavily managed estuaries with high agricultural loads are clearly linked to 466 eutrophication. Consequently, reducing nitrogen input alone is not sufficient to minimize N₂O emissions from 467 estuaries. Further measures are needed to prevent the developments of intense phytoplankton blooms in rivers and estuaries. Especially considering climate change projections of more frequent and extensive draughts and warmer
temperatures (IPCC, 2022), which potentially fuel phytoplankton growth (e.g. Scharfe et al., 2009; Kamjunke et
al., 2021; IPCC, 2022).

471 Data availability

The dataset generated and/or analyzed in this study are currently available upon request from the corresponding author and will be made publicly available under coastMap Geoportal (<u>www.coastmap.org</u>) connecting to PANGAEA. (https://www.pangaea.de/) with DOI availability in the near future.

475 Authors contribution

GS, TS and KD designed this study. GS did the sampling and measurements for cruises from 2020 to 2022 as well

477 as the data interpretation and evaluation. TS was responsible for the sampling and measurements for cruises done

478 in 2017 and 2019. YGV provided the oxygen data correction from the FerryBox data. KD, HWB, YGV and TS

- 479 contributed with scientific and editorial recommendations. GS prepared the manuscript with contributions of all
- 480 co-authors.

481 **Competing interest**

482 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

483 Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under 484 485 Germany's Excellence Strategy - EXC 2037 "CLICCS - Climate, Climatic Change, and Society" - Project Number: 390683824, contribution to the Center for Earth System Research and Sustainability (CEN) of Universität 486 Hamburg. Parts of the study were done in the framework of the cross-topic activity MOSES (Modular Observation 487 488 Solutions for Earth Systems) within the Helmholtz program Changing Earth (Topic 4.1). We thank the crew of 489 R/V Ludwig Prandtl for the great support during the cruises. Thanks to Leon Schmidt and the entire working group 490 "Aquatic nutrients cycles" for measuring nutrients and the support during the campaigns. We are thankful for the 491 Hereon FerryBox Team for providing the FerryBox data. Thanks to the working group of Biogeochemistry at the 492 Institute for Geology of the University Hamburg for measuring C/N ratios, PC and PN fractions. We thank Frank 493 Laurich (HAMBURG WASSER) and Dr. Maja Karrasch (Hamburg Port Authority) for their interest in our N2O 494 measurements and their willingness to provide information. Thanks to Victoria Oritz (Federal Waterways and 495 Engineering and Research Insitute) for providing the respective areas of the Elbe Estuary. Thanks to the NOAA 496 ESRL GML CCGG Group for providing high quality, readily accessible atmospheric N₂O data.

497 References

Amann, T., Weiss, A., and Hartmann, J.: Carbon dynamics in the freshwater part of the Elbe estuary, Germany:
Implications of improving water quality, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 107, 112–121,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2012.05.012, 2012.

- 501 Bange, H. W.: Nitrous oxide and methane in European coastal waters, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 70, 361–374, 502 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2006.05.042, 2006.
- Bange, H. W.: Chapter 2 Gaseous Nitrogen Compounds (NO, N2O, N2, NH3) in the Ocean, Nitrogen Mar.
 Environ. Second Ed., 51–94, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372522-6.00002-5, 2008.
- Barnes, J. and Owens, N. J. P.: Denitrification and Nitrous Oxide Concentrations in the Humber Estuary, UK, and
 Adjacent Coastal Zones, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 37, 247–260, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(99)00079-X, 1999.
- Barnes, J. and Upstill-Goddard, R. C.: N2O seasonal distributions and air-sea exchange in UK estuaries:
 Implications for the tropospheric N2O source from European coastal waters, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences,
 116, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JG001156, 2011.
- Barnes, J., Ramesh, R., Purvaja, R., Nirmal Rajkumar, A., Senthil Kumar, B., Krithika, K., Ravichandran, K.,
 Uher, G., and Upstill-Goddard, R.: Tidal dynamics and rainfall control N2O and CH4 emissions from a pristine
 mangrove creek, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL026829, 2006.
- Baulch, H. M., Dillon, P. J., Maranger, R., Venkiteswaran, J. J., Wilson, H. F., and Schiff, S. L.: Night and day:
 short-term variation in nitrogen chemistry and nitrous oxide emissions from streams, Freshw. Biol., 57, 509–525,
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2011.02720.x, 2012.
- 516 BAW, Oritz, V.: pers. Comm.: Flächen des Elbe Ästuars, 2023.
- 517 Beaulieu, J. J., Shuster, W. D., and Rebholz, J. A.: Nitrous Oxide Emissions from a Large, Impounded River: The 518 Ohio River, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 7527–7533, https://doi.org/10.1021/es1016735, 2010.
- Bergemann, M.: Die Trübungszone in der Tideelbe Beschreibung der räumlichen und zeitlichen Entwicklung,
 Wassergütestelle Elbe, 2004.
- 521 Bergemann, M. and Gaumert, T.: Elbebericht 2008: Ergebnisse des nationalen Überwachungsprogramms Elbe der
- 522 Bundesländerüber den ökologischen und chemischen Zustand der Elbe nach EG-WRRL sowie der
- 523 Trendentwicklung von Stoffen und Schadstoffgruppen, Flussgebietsgemeinschaft Elbe (FGG Elbe), Hamburg,
- 524 2008.
- van Beusekom, J. E. E., Carstensen, J., Dolch, T., Grage, A., Hofmeister, R., Lenhart, H., Kerimoglu, O., Kolbe,
- K., Pätsch, J., Rick, J., Rönn, L., and Ruiter, H.: Wadden Sea Eutrophication: Long-Term Trends and Regional
 Differences, Front. Mar. Sci., 6, 370, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00370, 2019.
- 528 Bianchi, T. S.: Biogeochemistry of Estuaries, Oxford University Press, New York, 706 pp., 2007.
- 529 Boehlich, M. J. and Strotmann, T.: The Elbe Estuary, Küste, 74, 288–306, 2008.
- Boehlich, M. J. and Strotmann, T.: Das Elbeästuar, Küste, 87, Kuratorium für Forschung im Küsteningenieurwesen
 (KFKI), https://doi.org/10.18171/1.087106, 2019.
- Borges, A., Vanderborght, J.-P., Schiettecatte, L.-S., Gazeau, F., Ferrón-Smith, S., Delille, B., and Frankignoulle,
 M.: Variability of gas transfer velocity of CO2 in a macrotidal estuary (The Scheldt), Estuaries, 27, 593–603,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02907647, 2004.
- Borges, A. V., Darchambeau, F., Teodoru, C. R., Marwick, T. R., Tamooh, F., Geeraert, N., Omengo, F. O.,
 Guérin, F., Lambert, T., Morana, C., Okuku, E., and Bouillon, S.: Globally significant greenhouse-gas emissions
 from African inland waters, Nat. Geosci., 8, 637–642, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2486, 2015.
- Bouwman, A. F., Bierkens, M. F. P., Griffioen, J., Hefting, M. M., Middelburg, J. J., Middelkoop, H., and Slomp,
 C. P.: Nutrient dynamics, transfer and retention along the aquatic continuum from land to ocean: towards
 integration of ecological and biogeochemical models, Biogeosciences, 10, 1–23, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-12013, 2013.
- 542 Brase, L., Bange, H. W., Lendt, R., Sanders, T., and Dähnke, K.: High Resolution Measurements of Nitrous Oxide 543 (N2O) in the Elbe Estuary, Front. Mar. Sci., 4, 162, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00162, 2017.

Büttner, O., Jawitz, J. W., and Borchardt, D.: Ecological status of river networks: stream order-dependent impacts
of agricultural and urban pressures across ecoregions, Environ. Res. Lett., 15, 1040b3,
https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abb62e, 2020.

Clark, J. F., Schlosser, P., Simpson, H. J., Stute, M., Wanninkhof, R., and Ho, D. T.: Relationship between gas
transfer velocities and wind speeds in the tidal Hudson River determined by the dual tracer technique, in: AirWater Gas Transfer, edited by: Jähne, B. and Monahan, E. C., AEON Verlag, Hanau, 785–800, 1995.

- Crossland, C. J., Baird, D., Ducrotoy, J.-P., Lindeboom, H., Buddemeier, R. W., Dennison, W. C., Maxwell, B.
 A., Smith, S. V., and Swaney, D. P.: The Coastal Zone a Domain of Global Interactions, in: Coastal Fluxes in
- the Anthropocene: The Land-Ocean Interactions in the Coastal Zone Project of the International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme, edited by: Crossland, C. J., Kremer, H. H., Lindeboom, H. J., Marshall Crossland, J. I., and
- Biosphere Programme, edited by: Crossland, C. J., Kremer, H. H., Lindeboom, H. J., Marshall Crossland, J. I.,
 Le Tissier, M. D. A., Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1–37, https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-27851-6 1, 2005.
- Dähnke, K., Bahlmann, E., and Emeis, K.-C.: A nitrate sink in estuaries? An assessment by means of stable nitrate isotopes in the Elbe estuary, Limnol. Oceanogr., 53, 1504–1511, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2008.53.4.1504, 2008.

Dähnke, K., Sanders, T., Voynova, Y., and Wankel, S. D.: Nitrogen isotopes reveal a particulate-matter-driven
biogeochemical reactor in a temperate estuary, Biogeosciences, 19, 5879–5891, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-195879-2022, 2022.

- Deek, A., Dähnke, K., van Beusekom, J., Meyer, S., Voss, M., and Emeis, K.-C.: N2 fluxes in sediments of the
 Elbe Estuary and adjacent coastal zones, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 493, 9–21, https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10514,
 2013.
- 563 Dlugokencky, E. J., Crotwell, A. M., Mund, J. W., Crotwell, M. J., and Thoning, K. W.: Earth System Research

Laboratory Carbon Cycle and Greenhouse Gases Group Flask-Air Sample Measurements of N2O at Global and Regional Background Sites, 1967-Present [Data set], https://doi.org/10.15138/53G1-X417, 2022.

- Fabisik, F., Guieysse, B., Procter, J., and Plouviez, M.: Nitrous oxide (N2O) synthesis by the freshwater
 cyanobacterium Microcystis aeruginosa, Biogeosciences, 20, 687–693, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-20-687-2023,
 2023.
- FGG Elbe: Naehrstoffminderungsstrategie für die Flussgebietsgemeinschaft Elbe, Flussgebietsgemeinschaft Elbe
 (FGG Elbe), Magdeburg, 2018.
- 571 Das Fachinfomrationssystem (FIS) der FGG Elbe: https://www.elbe572 datenportal.de/FisFggElbe/content/start/ZurStartseite.action;jsessionid=A37EDCF5B5EC1ECB15091447E64EC
 573 538, last access: 21 November 2022.
- Fraga, F., Ríos, A. F., Pérez, F. F., and Figueiras, F. G.: Theoretical limits of oxygen:carbon and oxygen:nitrogen
 ratios during photosynthesis and mineralisation of organic matter in the sea, Sci. Mar., 62, 161–168,
 https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1998.62n1-2161, 1998.
- Garnier, J., Cébron, A., Tallec, G., Billen, G., Sebilo, M., and Martinez, A.: Nitrogen Behaviour and Nitrous Oxide
 Emission in the Tidal Seine River Estuary (France) as Influenced by Human Activities in the Upstream Watershed,
 Biogeochemistry, 77, 305–326, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-005-0544-4, 2006.
- Gaumert, T. and Bergemann, M.: Sauerstoffgehalt der Tideelbe Entwicklung der kritischen Sauerstoffgehalte im
 Jahr 2007 und in den Vorjahren, Erörterung möglicher Ursachen und Handlungsoptionen,
 Flussgebietsgemeinschaft Elbe, 2007.
- Geerts, L., Wolfstein, K., Jacobs, S., van Damme, S., and Vandenbruwaene, W.: Zonation of the TIDE estuaries,
 TIDE toolbox, 2012.
- Gonçalves, C., Brogueira, M. J., and Camões, M. F.: Seasonal and tidal influence on the variability of nitrous oxide
 in the Tagus estuary, Portugal, Sci. Mar., 74, 57–66, https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.2010.74s1057, 2010.
- 587 Gonçalves, C., Brogueira, M. J., and Nogueira, M.: Tidal and spatial variability of nitrous oxide (N2O) in Sado 588 estuary (Portugal), Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 167, 466–474, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2015.10.028, 2015.

- Hall Jr., R. O. and Ulseth, A. J.: Gas exchange in streams and rivers, WIREs Water, 7, e1391,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1391, 2020.
- Halling-Sorensen, B. and Jorgensen, S. E. (Eds.): 3. Process Chemistry and Biochemistry of Nitrification, in:
 Studies in Environmental Science, vol. 54, Elsevier, 55–118, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-1116(08)70525-9,
 1993.
- 594 HAMBURG WASSER, Laurich, F.: pers. Comm.: N2O in der Elbe, 2022.
- Hanke, V.-R. and Knauth, H.-D.: N2O-Gehalte in Wasser-und Luftproben aus den Bereichen der Tideelbe und der
 Deutschen Bucht, GKSS-Forschungszentrum, Weinheim, 1990.
- Hansen, H. P. and Koroleff, F.: Determination of nutrients, in: Methods of Seawater Analysis, John Wiley & Sons,
 Ltd, 159–228, https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527613984.ch10, 1999.
- Harley, J. F., Carvalho, L., Dudley, B., Heal, K. V., Rees, R. M., and Skiba, U.: Spatial and seasonal fluxes of the
 greenhouse gases N2O, CO2 and CH4 in a UK macrotidal estuary, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 153, 62–73,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2014.12.004, 2015.
- Hedges, J. I. and Keil, R. G.: Sedimentary organic matter preservation: an assessment and speculative synthesis,
 Mar. Chem., 49, 81–115, https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(95)00008-F, 1995.
- Hein, S. S. V., Sohrt, V., Nehlsen, E., Strotmann, T., and Fröhle, P.: Tidal Oscillation and Resonance in SemiClosed Estuaries—Empirical Analyses from the Elbe Estuary, North Sea, Water, 13, 848,
 https://doi.org/10.3390/w13060848, 2021.
- Schleswig-Holstein u. Hamburg: Mittlere Windgeschwindigkeit (1986-2015)* | Norddeutscher Klimamonitor:
 https://www.norddeutscher-klimamonitor.de/klima/1986-2015/jahr/mittlere-windgeschwindigkeit/schleswig holstein-hamburg/coastdat-1.html, last access: 27 April 2023.
- Hillebrand, G., Hardenbicker, P., Fischer, H., Otto, W., and Vollmer, S.: Dynamics of total suspended matter and
 phytoplankton loads in the river Elbe, J. Soils Sediments, 18, 3104–3113, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-0181943-1, 2018.
- 613 Hofmann, J., Behrendt, H., Gilbert, A., Janssen, R., Kannen, A., Kappenberg, J., Lenhart, H., Lise, W., Nunneri,
- 614 C., and Windhorst, W.: Catchment–coastal zone interaction based upon scenario and model analysis: Elbe and the 615 German Bight case study, Reg. Environ. Change, 5, 54–81, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-004-0082-y, 2005.
- HPA and Freie und Hansestadt Hamburg: Deutsches Gewässerkundliches Jahrbuch Elbegebiet, Teil III, Untere
 Elbe ab der Havelmündung 2014, Hamburg, 2017.
- HPA, Karrasch, M.: pers. Comm.: Anfrage wegen N2OPeak Baggerarbeiten Elbe März 2021 und März 2022,
 2022.
- Hu, M., Chen, D., and Dahlgren, R. A.: Modeling nitrous oxide emission from rivers: a global assessment, Glob.
 Change Biol., 22, 3566–3582, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13351, 2016.
- IKSE: Strategie zur Minderung der N\u00e4hrstoffeintr\u00e4ge in Gew\u00e4sser in der internationalen Flussgebietsgemeinschaft
 Elbe, Internationale Kommission zur Schutz der Elbe, Magdeburg, 2018.
- 624 IPCC: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth
- 625 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P.,
- 626 Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell,
- K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, Ö., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge
 University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA,
- 629 https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896, 2021.
- IPCC: Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the
 Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change., edited by: Pörtner, H.-O., Roberts,
 D. C. Timmer M. M. P. Palae and S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. M. Barrabal, S. Mittarabal, K. Alaería, A. Caris, M. Barrabal, S. Sanda, S.
- 632 D. C., Tignor, M. M. B., Poloczanska, E. S., Mintenbeck, K., Alegría, A., Craig, M., Langsdorf, S., Löschke, S.,

- Möller, V., Okem, A., and Rama, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA,
 3056 pp., https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009325844, 2022.
- Ivens, W. P. M. F., Tysmans, D. J. J., Kroeze, C., Löhr, A. J., and van Wijnen, J.: Modeling global N2O emissions
 from aquatic systems, Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain., 3, 350–358, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2011.07.007,
 2011.
- Ji, Q., Frey, C., Sun, X., Jackson, M., Lee, Y.-S., Jayakumar, A., Cornwell, J. C., and Ward, B. B.: Nitrogen and
 oxygen availabilities control water column nitrous oxide production during seasonal anoxia in the Chesapeake
 Bay, Biogeosciences, 15, 6127–6138, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-6127-2018, 2018.
- Johannsen, A., Dähnke, K., and Emeis, K.: Isotopic composition of nitrate in five German rivers discharging into
 the North Sea, Org. Geochem., 39, 1678–1689, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2008.03.004, 2008.
- Kamjunke, N., Rode, M., Baborowski, M., Kunz, J., Zehner, J., Borchardt, D., and Weitere, M.: High irradiation
 and low discharge promote the dominant role of phytoplankton in riverine nutrient dynamics, Limnol. Oceanogr.,
 66, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11778, 2021.
- Kappenberg, J. and Fanger, H.-U.: Sedimenttransportgeschehen in der tidebeeinflussten Elbe, der Deutschen Bucht
 und in der Nordsee, GKSS-Forschungszentrum, Geesthacht, 2007.
- 648 Kassambara, A.: ggpubr: "ggplot2" Based Publication Ready Plots, 2023.
- Kerner, M.: Interactions between local oxygen deficiencies and heterotrophic microbial processes in the elbe estuary, Limnologica, 30, 137–143, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0075-9511(00)80008-0, 2000.
- 651 Knowles, R.: Denitrification, Microbiol. Rev., 46, 43–70, https://doi.org/10.1128/mr.46.1.43-70.1982, 1982.
- Koch, M. S., Maltby, E., Oliver, G. A., and Bakker, S. A.: Factors controlling denitrification rates of tidal mudflats
 and fringing salt marshes in south-west England, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 34, 471–485,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714(05)80118-0, 1992.
- Kroeze, C., Dumont, E., and Seitzinger, S. P.: New estimates of global emissions of N2O from rivers and estuaries,
 Environ. Sci., 2, 159–165, https://doi.org/10.1080/15693430500384671, 2005.
- Kroeze, C., Dumont, E., and Seitzinger, S.: Future trends in emissions of N2O from rivers and estuaries, J. Integr.
 Environ. Sci., 7, 71–78, https://doi.org/10.1080/1943815X.2010.496789, 2010.
- Maavara, T., Lauerwald, R., Laruelle, G. G., Akbarzadeh, Z., Bouskill, N. J., Van Cappellen, P., and Regnier, P.:
 Nitrous oxide emissions from inland waters: Are IPCC estimates too high?, Glob. Change Biol., 25, 473–488, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14504, 2019.
- Marzadri, A., Dee, M. M., Tonina, D., Bellin, A., and Tank, J. L.: Role of surface and subsurface processes in
 scaling N2O emissions along riverine networks, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 114, 4330–4335,
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1617454114, 2017.
- Middelburg, J. J. and Herman, P. M. J.: Organic matter processing in tidal estuaries, Mar. Chem., 106, 127–147,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2006.02.007, 2007.
- Middelburg, J. J. and Nieuwenhuize, J.: Uptake of dissolved inorganic nitrogen in turbid, tidal estuaries, Mar.
 Ecol.-Prog. Ser., 192, 79–88, https://doi.org/10.3354/meps192079, 2000.
- Murray, R. H., Erler, D. V., and Eyre, B. D.: Nitrous oxide fluxes in estuarine environments: response to global
 change, Glob. Change Biol., 21, 3219–3245, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12923, 2015.
- 671 Nevison, C., Butler, J. H., and Elkins, J. W.: Global distribution of N2O and the Δ N2O-AOU yield in the 672 subsurface ocean, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 17, 1119, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GB002068, 2003.
- Nightingale, P. D., Malin, G., Law, C. S., Watson, A. J., Liss, P. S., Liddicoat, M. I., Boutin, J., and Upstill-
- 674 Goddard, R. C.: In situ evaluation of air-sea gas exchange parameterizations using novel conservative and volatile
- 675 tracers, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 14, 373–387, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GB900091, 2000.

- Nixon, S. W., Ammerman, J. W., Atkinson, L. P., Berounsky, V. M., Billen, G., Boicourt, W. C., Boynton, W. R.,
 Church, T. M., Ditoro, D. M., Elmgren, R., Garber, J. H., Giblin, A. E., Jahnke, R. A., Owens, N. J. P., Pilson, M.
 E. Q., and Seitzinger, S. P.: The fate of nitrogen and phosphorus at the land-sea margin of the North Atlantic
- 679 Ocean, Biogeochemistry, 35, 141–180, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02179826, 1996.

Norbisrath, M., Pätsch, J., Dähnke, K., Sanders, T., Schulz, G., van Beusekom, J. E. E., and Thomas, H.: Metabolic
alkalinity release from large port facilities (Hamburg, Germany) and impact on coastal carbon storage,
Biogeosciences, 19, 5151–5165, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-19-5151-2022, 2022.

Pätsch, J., Serna, A., Dähnke, K., Schlarbaum, T., Johannsen, A., and Emeis, K.-C.: Nitrogen cycling in the
German Bight (SE North Sea) — Clues from modelling stable nitrogen isotopes, Cont. Shelf Res., 30, 203–213,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2009.11.003, 2010.

Pind, A., Risgaard-Petersen, N., and Revsbech, N. P.: Denitrification and microphytobenthic NO3- consumption
in a Danish lowland stream: diurnal and seasonal variation, Aquat. Microb. Ecol., 12, 275–284,
https://doi.org/10.3354/ame012275, 1997.

Quick, A. M., Reeder, W. J., Farrell, T. B., Tonina, D., Feris, K. P., and Benner, S. G.: Nitrous oxide from streams
and rivers: A review of primary biogeochemical pathways and environmental variables, Earth-Sci. Rev., 191, 224–
262, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.02.021, 2019.

Quiel, K., Becker, A., Kirchesch, V., Schöl, A., and Fischer, H.: Influence of global change on phytoplankton and
nutrient cycling in the Elbe River, Reg. Environ. Change, 11, 405–421, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-010-01522, 2011.

695TheRStatsPackage,Version4.0.2:696https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/stats/versions/3.6.2/topics/prcomp, last access: 29 January 2021.

Radach, G. and Pätsch, J.: Variability of continental riverine freshwater and nutrient inputs into the North Sea for
the years 1977–2000 and its consequences for the assessment of eutrophication, Estuaries Coasts, 30, 66–81,
https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02782968, 2007.

Reading, M. J., Tait, D. R., Maher, D. T., Jeffrey, L. C., Looman, A., Holloway, C., Shishaye, H. A., Barron, S.,
and Santos, I. R.: Land use drives nitrous oxide dynamics in estuaries on regional and global scales, Limnol.
Oceanogr., 65, 1903–1920, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11426, 2020.

Redfield, A. C., Ketchum, B. H., and Richards, F. A.: The influence of organisms on the composition of sea-water,
 Compos. Seawater Comp. Descr. Oceanogr. Sea Ideas Obs. Prog. Study Seas, 2, 26–77, 1963.

Rewrie, L. C. V., Voynova, Y. G., van Beusekom, J. E. E., Sanders, T., Körtzinger, A., Brix, H., Ollesch, G., and
Baschek, B.: Significant shifts in inorganic carbon and ecosystem state in a temperate estuary (1985 - 2018),
Limnol. Oceanogr., submitted.

- Rhee, T. S.: The process of air -water gas exchange and its application, Texas A&M University, College Station,2000.
- Rhee, T. S., Kettle, A. J., and Andreae, M. O.: Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from the ocean: A
 reassessment using basin-wide observations in the Atlantic, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 114, D12304,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD011662, 2009.
- Robinson, A. D., Nedwell, D. B., Harrison, R. M., and Ogilvie, B. G.: Hypernutrified estuaries as sources of N 2
 O emission to the atmosphere: the estuary of the River Colne, Essex, UK, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 164, 59–71, https://doi.org/10.3354/meps164059, 1998.
- 716 Rosenhagen, G., Schatzmann, M., and Schrön, A.: Das Klima der Metropolregion auf Grundlage meteorologischer
- 717 Messungen und Beobachtungen, in: Klimabericht für die Metropolregion Hamburg, edited by: von Storch, H. and
- 718 Claussen, M., Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 19–59, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-16035-6_2, 2011.
- 719 Rosentreter, J. A., Wells, N. S., Ulseth, A. J., and Eyre, B. D.: Divergent Gas Transfer Velocities of CO2, CH4,
- and N2O Over Spatial and Temporal Gradients in a Subtropical Estuary, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences, 126,
- 721 e2021JG006270, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JG006270, 2021.

- Sanders, T., Schöl, A., and Dähnke, K.: Hot Spots of Nitrification in the Elbe Estuary and Their Impact on Nitrate
 Regeneration, Estuaries Coasts, 41, 128–138, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-017-0264-8, 2018.
- Scharfe, M., Callies, U., Blöcker, G., Petersen, W., and Schroeder, F.: A simple Lagrangian model to simulate
 temporal variability of algae in the Elbe River, Ecol. Model., 220, 2173–2186,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2009.04.048, 2009.
- Schoer, J. H.: Determination of the origin of suspended matter and sediments in the Elbe estuary using natural
 tracers, Estuaries, 13, 161–172, https://doi.org/10.2307/1351585, 1990.
- Schöl, A., Hein, B., Wyrwa, J., and Kirchesch, V.: Modelling Water Quality in the Elbe and its Estuary Large
 Scale and Long Term Applications with Focus on the Oxygen Budget of the Estuary, Küste, 203–232, 2014.
- Schroeder, F.: Water quality in the Elbe estuary: Significance of different processes for the oxygen deficit at
 Hamburg, Environ. Model. Assess., 2, 73–82, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1019032504922, 1997.
- Sharma, N., Flynn, E. D., Catalano, J. G., and Giammar, D. E.: Copper availability governs nitrous oxide
 accumulation in wetland soils and stream sediments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 327, 96–115,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2022.04.019, 2022.
- Siedler, G. and Peters, H.: Properties of sea water, Physical properties, in: Oceanography, vol. V/3a, edited by:
 Sündermann, J., Springer, Berlin, Germany, 233–264, 1986.
- 738 Silvennoinen, H., Hietanen, S., Liikanen, A., Stange, C. F., Russow, R., Kuparinen, J., and Martikainen, P. J.:
- Denitrification in the River Estuaries of the Northern Baltic Sea, AMBIO J. Hum. Environ., 36, 134–140,
- 740 https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447(2007)36[134:DITREO]2.0.CO;2, 2007.
- Sommerfield, C. K. and Wong, K.-C.: Mechanisms of sediment flux and turbidity maintenance in the Delaware
 Estuary, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 116, C01005, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JC006462, 2011.
- Tang, W., Tracey, J. C., Carroll, J., Wallace, E., Lee, J. A., Nathan, L., Sun, X., Jayakumar, A., and Ward, B. B.:
 Nitrous oxide production in the Chesapeake Bay, Limnol. Oceanogr., 67, 2101–2116, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.12191, 2022.
- Tian, H., Xu, R., Canadell, J. G., Thompson, R. L., Winiwarter, W., Suntharalingam, P., Davidson, E. A., Ciais,
- P., Jackson, R. B., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Prather, M. J., Regnier, P., Pan, N., Pan, S., Peters, G. P., Shi, H., 747 Tubiello, F. N., Zaehle, S., Zhou, F., Arneth, A., Battaglia, G., Berthet, S., Bopp, L., Bouwman, A. F., Buitenhuis, 748 749 E. T., Chang, J., Chipperfield, M. P., Dangal, S. R. S., Dlugokencky, E., Elkins, J. W., Eyre, B. D., Fu, B., Hall, 750 B., Ito, A., Joos, F., Krummel, P. B., Landolfi, A., Laruelle, G. G., Lauerwald, R., Li, W., Lienert, S., Maavara, T., MacLeod, M., Millet, D. B., Olin, S., Patra, P. K., Prinn, R. G., Raymond, P. A., Ruiz, D. J., van der Werf, G. 751 752 R., Vuichard, N., Wang, J., Weiss, R. F., Wells, K. C., Wilson, C., Yang, J., and Yao, Y.: A comprehensive quantification of global nitrous oxide sources and sinks, Nature, 586, 248-256, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-753 754 020-2780-0, 2020.
- US EPA: Volunteer Estuary Monitoring: A Methods Manual, United States Environmental Protection Agency(EPA), 2006.
- 757 Walter, S., Bange, H. W., and Wallace, D. W. R.: Nitrous oxide in the surface layer of the tropical North Atlantic
- Ocean along a west to east transect, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L23S07, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL019937,
 2004.
- Wanninkhof, R.: Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 97,
 7373–7382, https://doi.org/10.1029/92JC00188, 1992.
- Weiss, R. F.: The solubility of nitrogen, oxygen and argon in water and seawater, Deep Sea Res. Oceanogr. Abstr.,
 17, 721–735, https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-7471(70)90037-9, 1970.
- Weiss, R. F. and Price, B. A.: Nitrous oxide solubility in water and seawater, Mar. Chem., 8, 347–359,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(80)90024-9, 1980.

- Wells, N. S., Maher, D. T., Erler, D. V., Hipsey, M., Rosentreter, J. A., and Eyre, B. D.: Estuaries as Sources and
 Sinks of N2O Across a Land Use Gradient in Subtropical Australia, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 32, 877–894,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2017GB005826, 2018.
- Wertz, S., Goyer, C., Burton, D. L., Zebarth, B. J., and Chantigny, M. H.: Processes contributing to nitrite
 accumulation and concomitant N2O emissions in frozen soils, Soil Biol. Biochem., 126, 31–39,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.08.001, 2018.
- de Wilde, H. P. and de Bie, M. J.: Nitrous oxide in the Schelde estuary: production by nitrification and emission to the atmosphere, Mar. Chem., 69, 203–216, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(99)00106-1, 2000.
- Winterwerp, J. C. and Wang, Z. B.: Man-induced regime shifts in small estuaries—I: theory, Ocean Dyn., 63, 1279–1292, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-013-0662-9, 2013.
- WMO: Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2018, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva,
 Switzerland, 2018.
- Wolfstein, K. and Kies, L.: Composition of suspended participate matter in the Elbe estuary: Implications for
 biological and transportation processes, Dtsch. Hydrogr. Z., 51, 453–463, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02764166,
 1999.
- Wrage, N., Velthof, G. L., van Beusichem, M. L., and Oenema, O.: The role of nitrifier denitrification in the
 production of nitrous oxide, Soil Biol. Biochem., 33, 1723–1732, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(01)000967, 2001.
- Zander, F., Heimovaara, T., and Gebert, J.: Spatial variability of organic matter degradability in tidal Elbe
 sediments, J. Soils Sediments, 20, 2573–2587, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-020-02569-4, 2020.
- Zander, F., Groengroeft, A., Eschenbach, A., Heimovaara, T. J., and Gebert, J.: Organic matter pools in sediments
 of the tidal Elbe river, Limnologica, 96, 125997, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.limno.2022.125997, 2022.
- 788 ZDM: Abfluss Neu Darchau, edited by: Wasserstraßen- und Schifffahrtsamt Elbe, 2022.