



Seasonal variability of nitrous oxide concentrations and emissions along the Elbe estuary

3 Gesa Schulz^{1,2}, Tina Sanders², Yoana G. Voynova², Hermann W. Bange³, and Kirstin Dähnke²

4

¹Institute of Geology, Center for Earth System Research and Sustainability (CEN), University Hamburg, Hamburg,
 20146, Germany

³Marine Biogeochemistry Research Division, GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, 24105,
 Germany

10 Correspondence to: Gesa Schulz (Gesa.Schulz@hereon.de)

11 Abstract

12 Nitrous oxide (N_2O) is a greenhouse gas, with a global warming potential 298 times that of carbon dioxide. 13 Estuaries can be sources of N₂O, but their emission estimates have significant uncertainties due to limited data 14 availability and high spatiotemporal variability. We investigated the spatial and seasonal variability of dissolved 15 N₂O and N₂O emissions along the Elbe estuary (Germany). During nine research cruises done between 2017 and 16 2022, we measured dissolved N₂O concentrations, as well as dissolved nutrients and oxygen concentrations along 17 the estuary and calculated N₂O saturation, flux densities and emissions. We found intense N₂O production along 18 the Elbe estuary that compensated the effect of decreasing dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) loads since the 19 1990s. Two hot-spots areas of N₂O production have been identified in the estuary: the Port of Hamburg and the 20 mesohaline estuary near the estuarine turbidity maximum (MTZ). N2O production was enhanced by warmer 21 temperatures and fueled by riverine organic matter in the Hamburg Port or marine organic matter in the MTZ. 22 Surprisingly, estuarine N_2O emissions where equally high in winter and summer. In winter, high riverine N_2O 23 concentrations led to high N₂O emissions from the estuary, whereas in summer, estuarine biological N₂O 24 production led to equally high N₂O emissions. Overall, we find that the Elbe estuary is a year-round source of N₂O 25 with estimated annual emissions of 0.24 ± 0.06 Gg yr⁻¹.

26 1 Introduction

27 Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is an important atmospheric trace gas that contributes to global warming and stratospheric ozone depletion (WMO, 2018; IPCC, 2021). Estuaries are important regions of nitrogen turnover (Middelburg and 28 29 Nieuwenhuize, 2001; Crossland et al., 2005; Bouwman et al., 2013), and a potential source of N₂O (Bange, 2006; Barnes and Upstill-Goddard, 2011; Murray et al., 2015). Together with coastal wetlands, estuaries contribute 30 31 between 0.17 and 0.95 Tg N₂O-N of the annual global budget of 16.9 Tg N₂O-N (Murray et al., 2015; Tian et al., 32 2020). N₂O emission estimates from estuaries are associated with significant uncertainties due to limited data 33 availability and high spatiotemporal variability (e.g. Bange 2006; Barnes and Upstill-Goddard 2011; Maavara et 34 al. 2019), presenting a big challenge for the global N₂O emission estimates.

Nitrification and denitrification are the most important N₂O production pathways in estuaries. Under oxic conditions, N₂O is produced as a side product during the first step of nitrification, the oxidation of ammonia to nitrite (e.g. Wrage et al. 2001; Barnes and Upstill-Goddard 2011). At low oxygen (but not anoxic) conditions, nitrifier-denitrification may occur, during which nitrifiers reduce nitrite to N₂O (e.g. Wrage et al. 2001; Barnes)

^{7 &}lt;sup>2</sup>Institute of Carbon Cycles, Helmholtz Centre Hereon, Geesthacht, 21502, Germany



39



N₂O to N₂ (e.g. Knowles 1982; Bange 2008). In estuaries, denitrification mainly occurs in anoxic sediments 40 41 whereas oxic nitrification and nitrifier-denitrification take place in the oxygenated water column (e.g. Beaulieu et 42 al. 2011; Murray et al. 2015). Beside oxygen availability, temperature, substrate availability, pH and water level 43 can also control nitrous oxide production (Murray et al., 2015; Quick et al., 2019). 44 The Elbe estuary is a heavily managed estuary that hosts the third biggest port in Europe (e.g. Radach and Pätsch 45 2007; Bergemann and Gaumert 2010; Pätsch et al. 2010; Quiel et al. 2011). It has been identified as a N₂O source, with a hotspot of N₂O production in the Port of Hamburg (Hanke and Knauth, 1990; Brase et al., 2017). However, 46 47 the seasonal variability of N2O along the estuary is largely unknown so far. Therefore, the objectives of our study 48 were (1) to detect a long-term trend of N_2O concentrations, (2) to decipher the spatial and temporal distribution of 49 N₂O concentrations along the Elbe estuary during different seasons and (3) to identify hotspots and drivers for 50 N₂O production. To this end, we present here measurements of dissolved N₂O as well as dissolved nutrients and

2008). Denitrification takes place under anoxic conditions and mostly acts as a source of N_2O , but can also reduce

51 oxygen from nine research cruises along the Elbe estuary from August 2017 to March 2022.

52 2 Methods

53 2.1 Study site

The Elbe River stretches over 1094 km from its spring in the Giant Mountains (Czech Republic) to the North Sea (Cuxhaven, Germany). The catchment of the Elbe river is 140 268 km² (Boehlich and Strotmann, 2019), with 74 % urban and agricultural land-use (Johannsen et al., 2008). This makes the Elbe the second largest German river discharging into the North Sea, as well as the largest source of dissolved nitrogen for the German Bright, which is heavily affected by eutrophication (van Beusekom et al., 2019).

59 The Elbe estuary begins at stream kilometer 586 at a weir in Geesthacht and flows through the Port of Hamburg, 60 entering the North Sea near Cuxhaven, stream kilometer 727 (Fig. 1). Estuaries are commonly structured along their salinity gradient into an oligohaline section (salinity: 0.5 - 5.0), a mesohaline section (salinity: 5.0 - 18.0) 61 62 and polyhaline section (salinity > 18.0) (US EPA, 2006). The Elbe estuary has a length of 142 km (Boehlich and 63 Strotmann, 2019) and a mean annual discharge of 712 m³ s⁻¹ with a mean variation range of 276 m³ s⁻¹ to 64 1960 m³ s⁻¹ (measured at gauge Neu Darchau at stream kilometer 536) (HPA and Freie und Hansestadt Hamburg, 2017). The average water residence time is \sim 32 days and ranges from \sim 72 days during low discharge (300 m³ s⁻¹) 65 66 to ~10 days with high discharge (2000 m³ s⁻¹) (Boehlich and Strotmann, 2008). The estuary has an annual nitrogen 67 load of 84 Gg-N (FGG Elbe, 2018). Oxygen concentrations in the Elbe estuary shows a high seasonal variability: 68 In summer months, oxygen depletion and low oxygen zones occur regularly reaching concentrations below 3 mg 69 O2 L-1 (Schroeder, 1997; Gaumert and Bergemann, 2007; Schöl et al., 2014). 70 The Elbe estuary is deepened and dredged on a regular basis to grant access for large container ships to the Port

- of Hamburg (Boehlich and Strotmann, 2019), which is the third biggest port in Europe (HAFEN HAMBURG,
- 2021). Construction work for further deepening of the fairway was carried out in our study period, from 2019 to
- 73 early 2022.







74

Figure 1: Map of the Elbe estuary sampled during the research cruises with stream kilometers indicated (wsv.de, last access: 12.09.2022). Background map: © OpenStreetMap contributors 2021. Distributed under the Open Data 87 Commons Open Database License (ODbL) v1.0. The Hamburg Port region stretches from stream kilometer 620 – 635 and is shown between the two grey dashed lines. The typical position of the maximum turbidity zone (MTZ) is shown between the dotted grey lines (Bergemann, 2004).

80 2.2 Transect sampling and measurements

We performed nine sampling campaigns along the estuary with the research vessel Ludwig Prandtl (Tab. 1). Most of the cruises took place during the spring and summer seasons, with water temperatures > 10 °C (May – September), while two cruises were conducted in colder winter months (early March, water temperature < 6 °C) (Tab. 1). Transect sampling started in the German Bright, close to the island Scharhörn and continued along the salinity gradient, through the Port of Hamburg to Oortkaten (stream kilometer 609). Transect sampling always was performed after high-tide, steaming upstream against the outgoing tide. For comparison, we included summer data from a previous study in 2015 (Brase et al., 2017).





88	Table 1: Campaign dates with the sampled Elbe estuary sections shown via stream kilometers, average discharge during
89	each cruise measured at the Pegel Neu Darchau, averages and standard deviations for water temperature (°C), wind
90	speed (m ³ s ⁻¹) in 10 m height, dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) concentrations (µmol L ⁻¹) for each campaign.

Campaign Dates	Stream	Water	Wind speed 10	Average	Average
	kilometers	temperature (°C)	m	discharge	DIN load
	(km)		(m s ⁻¹)	(m ³ s ⁻¹)	$(\mu mol \ L^{-1})$
2829.04.2015	627 - 741	12.3 ± 1.0	11.8 ± 0.3	595	191.0 ± 45.0
0204.06.2015	609 - 739	17.4 ± 1.7	5.0 ± 1.3	276	105.9 ± 36.2
0102.08.2017	621 - 749	20.9 ± 0.7	3.6 ± 1.5	607	79.2 + 30.2
0405.06.2019	610 - 750	18.7 ± 2.2	4.0 ± 1.7	423	108.3 ± 35.9
30.0701.08.2019	609 - 752	22.6 ± 1.0	4.2 ± 1.4	171	60.8 ± 38.6
1920.06.2020	609 - 747	19.8 ± 1.4	5.8 ± 1.2	331	74.6 ± 33.8
0911.09.2020	607 - 745	18.9 ± 0.6	5.9 ± 2.8	305	93.1 ± 32.7
1012.03.2021	609 - 748	5.4 ± 0.5	9.3 ± 2.6	862	324.4 ± 83.8
0405.05.2021	610 - 751	10.5 ± 0.8	11.0 ± 3.1	411	85.7 ± 36.6
2728.07.2021	621 - 751	22.2 ± 0.7	5.2 ± 1.3	721	139.8 ± 58.4
0102.03.2022	610 - 752	5.6 ± 0.2	2.9 ± 1.0	1282	238.0 ± 74.7

An onboard membrane pump continuously provided water from 1.2 m depth to an on-line in-situ FerryBox system and to an equilibrator used for the measurements of N₂O dry mole fraction (Section 2.4). The FerryBox system continuously measured water temperature, salinity, oxygen concentration, pH and turbidity. We corrected the oxygen measurements using the salinity corrected optode measurements in comparisons to Winkler titrations. The corrections of the individual cruises are listed in the Tab. S1 of the supplementary material.

96 Discrete water samples were taken every 20 min from a bypass of the FerryBox system. For nutrient analysis water 97 samples were filtered immediately through combusted, pre-weighted GF/F Filters (4 h, 450 °C), and stored frozen 98 in acid washed PE-bottles until analysis. The filters were stored frozen (-20 °C) and used for the later analysis of 99 suspended particulate matter (SPM), particulate nitrogen fraction (PN), particulate carbon fraction (PC) and C/N 910 ratios (supplementary material Fig. S1).

101 2.3 Nutrient measurements

Filtered water samples were measured in triplicates with a continuous flow auto analyzer (AA3, SEAL Analytics) using standard colorimetric and fluorometric techniques (Hansen and Koroleff 2007) for dissolved nitrate (NO_3^{-1}),

104 nitrite (NO₂⁻) and ammonium (NH₄⁺) concentrations.

105 2.4 Equilibrator based N₂O measurements and calculations

Equilibrated dry mole fractions of N₂O were measured by a N₂O analyzer based on off-axis integrated cavity output (OA-ICOS) absorption spectroscopy (Model 914-0022, Los Gatos Res. Inc., San Jose, CA, USA), which was coupled with a sea water/gas equilibrator using off-axis cavity output spectroscopy. Brase et al. (2017) described the set-up and instrument precision in detail. We regularly analyzed two standard gas mixtures of N₂O in synthetic air (500.5 ppb \pm 5 % and 321.2 ppb \pm 3 %) to validate our measurements. No drift was detected during our cruises.





We calculated the dissolved N₂O concentrations in water with the Bunsen solubility function of Weiss and Price (1980) using 1 min averages of the measured N₂O dry mole fraction (ppb). Temperature differences between sample inlet and equilibrator were taken into account for the calculation of the final N₂O concentrations (Rhee et al., 2009). N₂O saturation were calculated based on N₂O concentrations in water (N₂O_{cw}) and in the air (N₂O_{air}) (Eq. 1). During each cruise, we regularly measured the atmospheric N₂O dry mole fractions.

$$s = 100 \times \frac{N_2 O_{cw}}{N_2 O_{air}} \tag{1}$$

117

The gas transfer coefficients (k) were determined based on Borges et al. (2004) taking the Schmidt number (Sc) and wind speed in 10 m height (u_{10}) into account (Eq. 2). The Schmidt number was calculated as ratio of the kinematic viscosity in water (Siedler and Peters, 1986) to the N₂O diffusivity in water (Rhee, 2000). Wind speeds were measured on board in 10 m height of the *R/V Ludwig Prandtl* by a MaxiMet GMX600 (Gill Instruments Limited). Flux densities were calculated according to Equation 3.

$$k = 0.24 \times (4.045 + 2.58u_{10}) \times \left(\frac{Sc}{600}\right)^{-0.5}$$
(2)

$$f = k \times (N_2 O_{cw} - N_2 O_{air}) \tag{3}$$

For emission calculations we used an area of 371.85 km² for the Elbe estuary in line with Brase et al. (2017). We calculated seasonal averages for winter and spring/summer based on our transect cruises, which we used for

125 estimation of annual emissions.

126 2.5 Excess N₂O and apparent oxygen utilization

127 The correlation between excess N_2O (N_2O_{xs}) and apparent oxygen utilization (AOU) can provide insights into N_2O 128 production. We calculated N_2O_{xs} as the difference between the N_2O concentration in water (N_2O_w) and the 129 theoretical equilibrium concentration (N_2O_{eq}) (Eq. 4). AOU was determined using equation 5, where O_2 is the 130 measured dissolved oxygen concentration, and O_2 is the theoretical equilibrium concentration between water and 131 atmosphere calculated according to Weiss (1970).

$$N_2 O_{xs} = N_2 O_w - N_2 O_{eq} (4)$$

$$AOU = O_2' - O_2 \tag{5}$$

A linear relationship between AOU and N_2O_{xs} is usually an indicator for nitrification (Nevison et al., 2003; Walter et al., 2004).

134 3 Results

135 **3.1** Hydrographic properties and DIN distribution

Discharge ranged between 171 m³ s⁻¹ and 1282 m³ s⁻¹ during our cruises (ZDM, 2022), with higher discharge in winter and lower discharge in summer (Tab. 1). Average water temperature over the entire estuary ranged from 5.4 ± 0.5 °C in March 2021 to 22.6 ± 1.0 °C in August 2017 (Tab. 1). For the further evaluation, March 2021 and 2022 cruises will be regarded as winter cruises (water temperature < 6°C), whereas all cruises with higher water temperature are jointly regarded as spring and summer conditions. Nitrate was the major form of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) during all cruises. In winter, high nitrogen

142 concentrations entered the estuary from the river. Towards summer, the riverine input (stream kilometer < 620)





- 143 decreased, but along the estuary nitrate concentrations increased up to approximate stream kilometer 700, then
- 144 decreased again towards the North Sea. Nitrate concentrations were highest during both March cruises with
- averages of 319.0 \pm 85.7 μ mol L⁻¹ and 230.9 \pm 76.2 μ mol L⁻¹ in 2021 and 2022, respectively. During summer,
- 146 nitrate concentrations were lower. The nitrate concentrations averages were between $151.0 \pm 58.1 \,\mu mol \, L^{-1}$ in May
- 147 2021 and 63.3 \pm 38.8 $\mu mol \; L^{\text{-1}}$ in July 2019 (Fig. 2a and b).
- 148 Nitrite and ammonium concentrations were usually low along the Elbe estuary, but showed peaks in the Hamburg
- Port region and around stream kilometer 720 (Fig. 2c and 2e). We measured pronounced variations in nitrite concentrations during most of our cruises, ranging from > 6.0 μ mol L⁻¹ (July 2019) to concentrations below the
- 150 concentrations during most of our cruises, ranging from > 6.0 μ mol L⁻¹ (July 2019) to concentrations below the 151 detection limit (< 0.05 μ mol L⁻¹) (Fig. 2c and d). The highest ammonium concentration was measured in March
- detection limit (< 0.05 μ mol L⁻¹) (Fig. 2c and d). The highest ammonium concentration was measured in March 2021 with 23.5 μ mol L⁻¹. Over large stretches of the estuary, ammonium was below the detection limit
- 153 (< 0.07 μ mol L⁻¹) in winter as well as in spring and summer (Fig. 2e and f).







154

155Figure 2: Nitrate concentration in μ mol L⁻¹ along the Elbe estuary (a) in spring/summer, (b) in winter. Nitrite156concentration in μ mol L⁻¹ along the Elbe estuary (c) in spring/summer and (d) in winter. Ammonium concentration in157 μ mol L⁻¹ along the Elbe estuary (e) in spring/summer and (f) in winter. N₂O concentration in nmol L⁻¹ along the Elbe158estuary (g) in spring/summer, (h) in winter. Dissolved oxygen in % saturation along the Elbe estuary (i) in159spring/summer and (j) in winter. All values are potted against stream kilometers. The Hamburg Port region is shown160with light grey background. The typical position of the maximum turbidity zone (MTZ) is shown with a dark grey161(j) indicate an oxygen saturation of 100 %.



164



163 3.2 Atmospheric and dissolved N₂O

2). The differences between our measurements and the mean monthly N2O mole fraction measured at the 165 166 atmospheric monitoring station Mace Head (Ireland) (Dlugokencky et al., 2022) were always less than 1.5 %, 167 indicating a good agreement with the monitoring data. 168 Comparable to the nutrient concentrations, N₂O varied seasonally (Fig. 2): In spring and summer, riverine N₂O 169 (i.e., inflow into the estuary, stream kilometer <589) ranged from 8 nmol L⁻¹ to 15 nmol L⁻¹. At the onset of the 170 estuary, spring and summer N₂O concentrations usually showed a steep increase and peak values between 171 27 nmol L⁻¹ and 58 nmol L⁻¹ (~stream kilometer 620-635). Further downstream, N₂O concentrations decreased 172 towards a local minimum (~ stream kilometer 670). A second peak was located along the salinity gradient at 173 salinity ~ 5 (~ stream kilometer 680 - 700) ranging from 17 nmol L⁻¹ to 22 nmol L⁻¹. N₂O concentrations dropped 174 towards equilibrium concentrations of 8 - 12 nmol L⁻¹ in the North Sea (Fig. 2g). 175 In winter, riverine N₂O concentrations were elevated (~ 25 nmol L⁻¹) compared to spring and summer riverine 176 concentrations. In 2021, a steep increase of N2O concentrations occurred in the Hamburg Port region, (stream 177 kilometer 620 - 635) leading to exceptionally elevated N₂O concentrations of up to 158 nmol L⁻¹ (Fig. 2h). In March 2022, N₂O increased to 44 nmol L⁻¹ in this region. Further downstream, N₂O concentrations remained 178

The average atmospheric N₂O dry mole fractions ranged from 325 ppb in June 2015 to 336 ppb in July 2022 (Tab.

179 relatively constant at ~ 35 nmol L⁻¹ during both cruises, and dropped to near equilibrium concentrations between

180 stream kilometer 700 and the coastal ocean.

181 3.3 N₂O saturations and flux densities

182 During all cruises, the Elbe estuary was supersaturated in N₂O in the freshwater region (Fig. 3). The average N₂O 183 saturation over the entire transect ranged between 146 % and 243 % with an overall average of 197 % for all 184 cruises. Highest N₂O saturations occurred in the Hamburg Port region in spring and summer with an average N₂O peak of 402 % and a maximum supersaturation of 710 % in July 2019. The distribution of N₂O saturations during 185 186 the winter cruises were significantly different: In March 2022, highest N₂O saturation (280 %) occurred at stream 187 kilometer 640. In March 2021, in contrast, we found an extraordinarily high peak with a saturation of 1018 %. Between stream kilometer 680 and 720, a supersaturation of up to 277 % occurred in spring and summer months. 188 189 Further towards the North Sea, N2O saturation decreased, and approaching equilibrium with the atmosphere.

190







191

Figure 3: (a): N₂O saturation along the Elbe estuary for cruises in spring/summer, (b) N₂O saturation for the cruises done in March. The dashed black lines in both plots indicate a saturation of 100 %. The Hamburg Port region is shown with a background in light grey. The typical position of the maximum turbidity zone (MTZ) is shown with a dark grey (Bergemann, 2004). Y-axis scales differ for both plots.

196 The N₂O flux densities were usually highest in the Hamburg Port area with an average of $95.1 \pm 113.6 \ \mu mol \ m^{-2}$

 d^{-1} and lowest towards the North Sea with an average of $3.9 \pm 3.0 \ \mu mol \ m^{-2} \ d^{-1}$ (stream kilometers > 735). The

198 average N₂O flux density of all cruises was $37.8 \pm 51.0 \ \mu mol \ m^{-2} \ d^{-1}$. (Tab. 2).

199Table 2: Calculated average N2O saturation, sea-to-air fluxes and atmospheric N2O dry mole fractions during our200cruises for the Elbe estuary

Campaign Dates	Average	N_2O Flux densities (µmol m ⁻² d ⁻¹)			Average atmospheric
	saturation	Min	Max	Average	dry mole fraction (ppb)
	(%)				
2829.04.15	160.8	-2.5	100.0	33.1 ± 21.0	331
0204.06.15	203.8	2.6	199.8	45.5 ± 49.8	325
0102.08.17	221.3	1.5	121.9	35.8 ± 31.8	331
0405.06.19	192.9	3.4	63.4	26.6 ± 16.1	332
30.0701.08.19	228.6	1.3	196.0	41.7 ± 49.7	327
1920.06.20	194.1	3.7	119.6	38.9 ± 30.8	330
0911.09.20	163.9	-0.1	60.3	21.1 ± 15.7	331
1012.03.21	244.2	9.1	745.7	105.6 ± 119.2	331
0405.05.21	123.5	-0.5	77.7	15.1 ± 19.9	331
2728.07.21	174.8	2.5	70.2	27.4 ± 14.7	336
0102.03.22	196.5	1.1	51.0	27.3 ± 13.6	333

201 3.4 Dissolved oxygen saturation

Average oxygen saturation varied between 76 and 95 in % saturation with a minimum in the Hamburg Port area. Winter cruises showed only little variation, with oxygen remaining relatively constant along the estuary (> 88 % saturation). During most spring and summer cruises, water from the river coming into the estuary was high in oxygen. In the Hamburg Port region, oxygen saturation generally decreased. Lowest values occurred in June 2020 with 47 % saturation. The along-estuary minimum oxygen in summer months (June - August) was always below





61 % saturation. In spring and summer, oxygen increased towards the North Sea and reached 100 % saturation(Fig. 2i and j).

209 Plots of excess N2O (N2Oxs) and apparent oxygen utilization (AOU) (Fig. 4) revealed excess N2O along the entire 210 estuary (Fig. 4 and supplementary material S2). During all cruises, elevated riverine N2Oxs concentrations entered 211 the estuary (stream kilometer < 620). In spring and summer, steep, non-linear increases of N_2O_{xs} in the Port of 212 Hamburg suggested in-situ N_2O production (Fig. 4a, 4b). This production extended into the oligohaline estuary 213 (stream kilometer > 635 and salinity < 5) followed by a linear decrease of N_2O_{xs} reduction in the transition region 214 to the mesohaline section of the estuary (salinity: 5-18), N_2O_{xx} increased again, followed by a decrease to 0 nmol 215 L-1 towards the North Sea. In winter, we found a linear relationship of N₂O_{xs} and AOU along the estuary, with a 216 decoupling in the Hamburg Port and the oligohaline part of the Elbe estuary. In Figure 4, representative 217 N2Oxs/AOU plots for summer (4 a, b) and winter (4 c, d) are shown (see supplementary material: Fig. S2 for all 218 plots).



Marine (Salinity > 18)
 Oligohaline (Salinity: 5 - km: 635)
 River (km: < 620)
 Mesohaline (Salinity 5 - 18)
 Port: (km: 620 - 635)

219

Figure 4: Representative plots of N₂O_{ss} vs AOU for (a) June 2019, (b) June 2020, (c) March 2021 and (d) March 2022. The values are colored to distinguish between different regions of the estuary. Values upstream of stream kilometer 620 are yellow. In the Hamburg Port region (km: 620 – 635), points are colored grey. Red points mark the region downstream of the port with low salinity (km: 635 – salinity 5). Up to stream kilometer 720, points are light blue (mesohaline part, salinity: 5 – 18) and everything further out in the North Sea is a dark blue (salinity > 18). Y-axis scale differ for Fig. 4c.





226 4 Discussion

227 4.1 N₂O saturation and flux densities of the Elbe estuary

228 The average N₂O saturation and flux density were 197 % and 39.68 µmol m⁻² d⁻¹, respectively. The N₂O flux 229 densities from the Elbe estuary were in the mid-range of flux densities of other European estuaries ranging from 230 $2.9 \,\mu$ mol m⁻² d⁻¹ to 96.5 μ mol m⁻² d⁻¹ (e.g. Garnier et al. 2006; Gonçalves et al. 2010; Murray et al. 2015). But they 231 were significantly lower than observed medians for tidal environments with high DIN loads (72 µmol m⁻² d⁻¹ and 232 168 µmol m⁻² d⁻¹) (Murray et al., 2015). As shown in Fig. 4, there was no linear relationship between N₂O_{xs} and 233 AOU for most of the regions of the Elbe estuary. Therefore, large sections in the estuary were influenced by either 234 initial riverine N₂O production, or in-situ production along the estuary. During spring and summer, we found 235 enhanced N₂O concentrations in two regions: the Hamburg Port region (see also Brase et al. (2017)), and the 236 salinity gradient (stream kilometer 680 - 700, salinity ~5). Both N₂O peaks varied in height and spatial extension 237 suggesting in-situ biological production (Fig. 2g, 3a). This matches previous research linking estuarine N₂O fluxes 238 to in-situ generation (e.g. Bange 2006; Barnes and Upstill-Goddard 2011; Murray et al. 2015).

Previous measurements of N₂O saturation and flux densities in the Elbe estuary between the 1980s and 2015 (Hanke and Knauth, 1990; Barnes and Upstill-Goddard, 2011; Brase et al., 2017) showed a significant reduction of N₂O saturation due to the reduced riverine nutrient load and higher dissolved oxygen concentrations (Brase et al., 2017). Since the BIOGEST study in 1997 (Barnes and Upstill-Goddard, 2011), N₂O saturation did not decrease in scale with riverine nitrogen input suggesting that in-situ N₂O production along the estuary is important (Fig. 5). In the following sections, we investigate the biogeochemical controls of this in-situ production. For this purpose, we discuss both zones of intense N₂O production separately and also distinguish between biological active cruises



246 in spring and summer (water temperature > 10 °C) and winter (water temperature < 6 °C).

247

Figure 5: Comparison of average N₂O saturation transect measurements with previous research from the Elbe estuary. Average annual oxygen concentration and total nitrogen concentration are shown for the station Zollenspieker at the beginning of the estuary (stream kilometer 598.7) and publicly available (Das Fachinfomrationssystem (FIS) der FGG Elbe, 2022). Values for 2022 and total nitrogen concentration for 2021 are not presented as the data is not publicly available yet.

253 4.2 N₂O production in spring and summer in the mesohaline estuary

254 The N₂O peak in the transition between oligohaline and mesohaline estuary was accompanied by a sudden change

255 from a decreasing to an increasing trend between AOU and N₂O_{xs}, (Fig. 4a, 4b), pointing towards N₂O production





256 in the oxic water column. Interestingly, we find that small peaks of nitrite and ammonium coincided with the 257 nitrous oxide peak between km 680 -700, with ammonium around stream kilometer ~720, and a nitrite peak at 258 ~700. Highest N₂O concentrations were usually measured between the nitrite peak and the region with highest turbidity (see Fig. 6 for an example, supplementary material Fig. S3-S13), as seen for our cruise in September 259 2020 in Fig. 6a. Such a succession of nitrite and ammonium peaks is typical for remineralization and nitrification, 260 261 and the slight decrease of oxygen concentrations around the N2O peak (Fig. 2g and i) suggests that oxygen 262 consumption, possibly caused by nitrification, occured. Sanders et al. (2018) measured small but detectable 263 nitrification rates of $1 - 2 \mu$ mol L⁻¹ d⁻¹ for this region of the Elbe estuary, suggesting that N₂O in this region may 264 be a side product of nitrification.



265

Figure 6: (a) Succession of N-bearing substances coming from the North in September 2020. The grey area shows the position of the MTZ. (b) Succession of N-bearing substances in the Port of Hamburg in September 2020. The grey area shows the position of the Port of Hamburg. On the left y-axis nitrate concentrations in µmol L⁻¹ are presented as green circles and particulate nitrogen (PN) concentrations as unfilled circles in µmol L⁻¹. The right y-axis shows nitrite concentrations in µmol L⁻¹ as light blue triangles, ammonium concentration in µmol L⁻¹ as dark blue squares, nitrous oxide concentration in nmol L⁻¹ as a red line and C/N ratios as grey crosses.

272 However, this suggest input of particulate matter from the North Sea and upstream particle transport towards the 273 maximum turbidity zone of the estuary (MTZ). This transport mechanism is in line with Wolfstein and Kies (1999), 274 who explained organic matter contents and chlorophyll a concentrations in the polyhaline part of the Elbe estuary 275 by input of fresh marine particulate matter. Generally, the occurrence of an MTZ is unique to each estuary and is 276 generated by the balance between river-induced flushing and upstream transport of marine SPM as well as a 277 function of estuarine geomorphology, gravitational circulation and tidal flow, trapping the particles in the MTZ 278 (Bianchi, 2007; Sommerfield and Wong, 2011; Winterwerp and Wang, 2013). Other studies detected N₂O 279 production from water column nitrification in estuarine MTZs (e.g. Barnes and Owens 1999; de Wilde and de Bie 280 2000; Bange 2006; Barnes and Upstill-Goddard 2011; Harley et al. 2015), caused by high bacterial numbers, 281 particulate nitrogen availability and long residence times (Murray et al., 2015). 282 For the selected dataset, we calculated a negative correlation between average SPM concentrations and N₂O 283 saturation (R = -0.81), and found that the N₂O peak was located downstream of the MTZ, and upstream of 284 increasing nitrite and ammonium concentrations (Fig. 6). This (1) suggests that the mere concentration of SPM is 285 not the driving factor of nitrification as a source of N_2O , but that organic matter quality is key to biological turnover

286 (Dähnke et al. 2022), and (2) speaks in favor of material transport from the North Sea upstream towards the MTZ





287 (Kappenberg and Fanger, 2007). We find organic matter with low C/N ratios, and with relatively high PN and PC contents in the outermost samples (ranging from 5.9 in June 2020 to 8.8 August 2017), indicating fresh and easily 288 289 degradable organic matter (supplementary material Fig. S1, e.g. Redfield et al. 1963; Fraga et al. 1998; Middelburg 290 and Herman 2007). Towards the MTZ, C/N values, PN and PC contents decreased, indicating remineralization in 291 the water column. This remineralization and subsequent nitrification can then cause the observed succession of 292 ammonium, nitrite and N₂O peaks (Fig. 6), contributing to the already high nitrate concentrations in the MTZ 293 coming from the upper estuary, where high C/N values (9 - 11/16) indicate low organic matter quality (e.g. 294 Hedges and Keil 1995; Middelburg and Herman 2007).

 $295 \qquad \text{Overall, we conclude that remineralization of marine organic matter, followed by nitrification, produced the N_2O}$

- 296 peak in the salinity gradient of the Elbe estuary. This production was mainly fueled by fresh organic matter entering
- the estuary from the North Sea.

298 4.3 Hamburg Port: N₂O production in spring and summer

Several studies identified the Hamburg Port region as a hotspot of biogeochemical turnover: Deek et al. (2013) showed ongoing denitrification, Sanders et al. (2018) measured intense nitrification, Norbisrath et al. (2022) determined intense total alkalinity generation, and Dähnke et al. (2022) found that nitrogen turnover were driven by particulate organic matter. The highest N₂O peaks of our study were located in the Port of Hamburg. Brase et al. (2017) identified the port region as a hotspot of N₂O production and hypothesized that simultaneous nitrification and denitrification were responsible. We use our expanded dataset to further evaluate this hypothesis.

305 During all our cruises in spring and summer, we measured ammonium and nitrite peaks in the Hamburg Port region 306 (Fig. 2c and e, exemplary for September 2020 in Fig. 6b). High nitrite concentrations are favorable of N₂O 307 production by nitrification and of nitrifier-denitrification (Quick et al., 2019), and low-oxygen conditions made 308 both nitrification and denitrification plausible in this region. We found N₂O saturations increased with decreasing 309 discharge (R = -0.48) during spring and summer. This further points towards in-situ N₂O production, because 310 denitrification and nitrification are more intense at higher residence times (e.g. Nixon et al. 1996; Pind et al. 1997; 311 Silvennoinen et al. 2007; Gonçalves et al. 2010). Overall, our data show that the correlations of ammonium, nitrite 312 and N2O are significant and confirm that simultaneous denitrification and nitrification likely are responsible for 313 N₂O production.

A correlation analysis for the Hamburg Port region (stream kilometer 610 - 645) revealed a strong negative correlation of N₂O saturation with pH (R = -0.60), nitrite concentrations (R = 0.58), oxygen saturation (R = -0.58), particulate carbon (PC) content (R = -0.53) and particulate nitrogen (PN) content (R = -0.49).

317 In spring and summer, we found no linear relationship of N2Oxs and AOU in the Hamburg Port. This may result 318 from combined N₂O production by nitrification and denitrification. However, oxygen saturation and N₂O 319 saturation were inversely correlated in the Hamburg Port (R = -0.58), suggesting that N₂O production was 320 controlled by oxygen concentrations, and thus by oxygen consumption in the port region. Most (75 %) of this 321 oxygen consumption is caused by respiration (Schöl et al., 2014; Sanders et al., 2018). This respiration in turn is 322 determined by remineralization of algal material from the upstream river that is transported to and respired within 323 the port region (Schroeder, 1997; Kerner, 2000; Schöl et al., 2014), and this directly links estuarine N₂O production 324 to river eutrophication. Fresh organic matter from the Elbe River entered the estuary, showing up in low C/N 325 values ranging from 6.6 in July 2019 to 10.8 in August 2017 as well as in high PN and high PC contents, where it rapidly got degraded in the Hamburg Port region (supplementary material Fig. S1). Dähnke et al. (2022) found 326





- 327 labile organic matter strongly fueled nitrification but also denitrification in the fresh water part of the Elbe estuary,
- 328 which, as shown in our study, results in high N₂O production in the Hamburg Port region leading to the reported
- $\label{eq:22} 329 \qquad \text{negative correlations of PC and PN content with N_2O saturation.}$
- $330 \qquad \text{Overall in spring and summer, oxygen conditions mainly controlled N_2O production in the Hamburg Port region.}$
- 331 Since respiration of organic matter dominates oxygen drawdown in the port region, we deduced that N₂O
- 332 production was linked to the decomposition of phytoplankton produced in the upstream Elbe river regions.

333 4.4 Hamburg Port: N₂O production in winter

334 Intriguingly, we also find high N_2O concentrations in the port region in winter, at a time when low water temperature should hamper biological production. In the MTZ, the other identified region of intense estuarine N2O 335 336 production, we did not detect an N2O peak during either March cruise. With water temperature below 6 °C, 337 biological processing likely was inhibited (Koch et al., 1992; Halling-Sorensen and Jorgensen, 1993). For March 338 2022, we found a linear increase of N₂O_{xs} and AOU along with oxygen consumption and increasing ammonium, 339 nitrite and PN concentrations indicating nitrification in the port region producing N2O. Unlike in summer, N2O 340 concentrations showed a flat increase extending far into the oligohaline section of the estuary (Fig. 2, 4d, 341 supplementary material Fig. S1).

342 However, in March 2021, we found a sharp and sudden increase in N₂O, with a peak concentration that by far 343 exceeded internal biological sources in summer (Fig. 2h). Also, an ammonium peak in the water column coincided 344 with the N₂O maximum (Fig. 2f and supplementary material Fig. S10). If microbial activity is mostly temperature-345 inhibited, a point source of N2O in the port seems the most likely cause. Ammonium and N2O concentrations are 346 high in the pore water of underlying sediments, so one potential source of elevated N2O may be the deepening and 347 dredging works in the Hamburg Port region, stirring up sediment. Indeed, deepening and dredging work occurred 348 in the Hamburg Port region in 2021 (HPA, pers. Comm., Karrasch 2022). However, this also applied to 2022, 349 when we saw no sharp N₂O peak (Fig. 2h). Furthermore, the regions of deepening and dredging operation did not 350 match the region of high N2O concentrations, and since turbidity at the time of sampling did not change 351 significantly compared to other cruises, this also speaks against a major signal from channel dredging and 352 deepening. Thus, we conclude that sediment input most likely was not the source of N₂O in the water column in 353 winter 2021.

354 Another possible source of N₂O is the waste water treatment plant (WWTP) Köhlbrandhöft, which treats the waste water from the Hamburg metropolitan region. The WWTP outflow in the Southern Elbe joins the sampled stretch 355 at stream kilometer 626 (Fig. 1), matching the N₂O peak at stream kilometer 627 (Fig. 2h and 3b). We indeed 356 357 found out that aggravated operation conditions in the WWTP at the time of sampling were caused by an extreme 358 rain event on March 11th 2021 (HAMBURG WASSER, pers. Comm., Laurich 2022). While the operators could 359 still meet the limits for the effluent levels of nitrate and ammonium, higher than usual ammonium loads left the 360 treatment plant during the March 2021 campaign. We assume this elevated ammonium loads from the WWTP 361 most likely was the cause of the unexpected high N₂O peak in March 2021. Therefore, we argue that our March 362 2021 cruise likely represents an exception due to an extreme weather situation, and that typical winter conditions





364 4.5 Seasonal changes of N₂O emissions

For an accurate assessment of annual estuarine N2O emissions, we first evaluate their seasonal distribution. In 365 March, average N₂O emissions were 0.28 ± 0.17 Gg yr⁻¹. Note that we deliberately excluded the N₂O peak in 366 367 March 2021 and interpolated the concentrations in this region to exclude the effect of a point source (Section 4.4). 368 Nonetheless, high wind speeds still led to high N₂O emissions in March 2021 (Tab. 3). March 2022 (0.16 ± 0.08 369 Gg yr⁻¹) only slightly deviated from average N₂O emissions in spring and summer $(0.20 \pm 0.05$ Gg yr⁻¹). Overall, we calculated average annual emissions using the seasonal averages (Tab. 3) resulting in 0.24 ± 0.06 Gg yr⁻¹, thus 370 371 exceeding the recent summer N₂O emission estimates of 0.18 ± 0.01 Gg yr⁻¹ by Brase et al. (2017). 372 Enhanced microbial N₂O production can lead to high N₂O flux densities in summer (Usui et al., 2001; Allen et al., 373 2011; Murray et al., 2015; Quick et al., 2019), as is the case for the Elbe estuary in spring and summer (Fig. 7). 374 However, winter flux densities were unexpectedly high (Fig. 7). Elevated winter N₂O emissions originated from 375 1) high wind speeds with increased sea-air exchange, 2) nitrification in the Hamburg Port and 3) elevated riverine 376 N2O inputs into the estuary, with 25 nmol L⁻¹ (161.9 % saturation) and 26 nmol L⁻¹ (165.3 % saturation) in March 377 2021 and 2022, respectively, well above summer riverine input concentrations. Since the Elbe estuary acted as a 378 transport channel, rather than a bioreactor in the winter months, due to temperature inhibited biological processing 379 (Koch et al., 1992; Halling-Sorensen and Jorgensen, 1993), the N₂O concentrations remained high until mixing 380 with water from the North Sea, which resulted in a decrease and in outgassing to the atmosphere. 200 (a) (b)







383 The high riverine N₂O input into the estuary is intriguing and may be caused by high nitrate discharge from soils 384 and groundwater fueling N₂O production, which was found in other streams and rivers (Beaulieu et al., 2009; 385 Clough et al., 2011). Agricultural fertilizer application might also affect winter N₂O concentrations (Murray et al., 386 2015), since it can lead to high DIN and N₂O concentrations in estuaries. In the 1990s, Hanke and Knauth (1990) 387 also measured high riverine N₂O concentrations in the Elbe estuary in winter, albeit at higher DIN concentrations. 388 Other temperate estuaries showed high N₂O emissions with the dominant control on N₂O concentrations being 389 freshwater delivery, rather than in-situ production (Barnes and Owens, 1999; Robinson et al., 1998; Murray et al., 390 2020). In combination with a higher probability of strong winds in winter, it is clear that winter N₂O emissions in 391 the Elbe estuary should not be neglected, as they can potentially even exceed summer emissions.

392 Overall in winter, large nitrogen loads from the Elbe catchment caused high riverine N₂O concentrations, which

led to high N₂O emissions in the adjacent estuary (Fig. 7). In summer, reduced riverine N₂O input was compensated

 $\label{eq:solution} 394 \qquad \text{by in-situ} \ N_2O \ \text{production processes}. \ We \ find \ that \ both \ effects \ are \ currently \ balanced, \ leading \ to \ year-round \ high$

395 N₂O emissions in this estuary.





396	Table 3: Average N ₂ O flux densities and emissions for spring and summer, winter and per year. For the winter, only
397	the March 2022 cruise was used due to the extreme peak in 2021 that was most probably caused by a point-source influx
398	of ammonium and an extreme weather event. For the emissions calculation, the area of the Elbe estuary of 371.85 km ²

399 was taken into account (Brase et al., 2017).

Season	Average N ₂ O flux densities	N ₂ O emissions
Spring and summer	$33.5\pm7.8\ \mu mol\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$	$0.20 \pm 0.05 \text{ Gg yr}^{-1}$
March	$47.3 \pm 28.1 \ \mu mol \ m^{-2} \ d^{-1}$	$0.28 \pm 0.17 \ Gg \ yr^{\text{-1}}$
Annual average	$40.3 \pm 14.3 \ \mu mol \ m^{-2} \ d^{-1}$	$0.24 \pm 0.06 \ Gg \ yr^{1}$

400 5 Conclusions

401 Overall, we found enhanced N₂O concentrations along the entire Elbe estuary, which point towards in-situ N₂O production that compensated the effect of decreasing DIN loads since the 1990s. Two hot-spots of N₂O production were found in the estuary: the Port of Hamburg and the mesohaline estuary near the estuarine turbidity maximum. Biological N₂O production was enhanced by warmer temperatures and fueled by riverine organic matter in the Hamburg Port or marine organic matter in the MTZ.

We saw no seasonality in N₂O emissions, despite seasonal variations in in-situ N₂O production: In winter, high riverine N₂O input led to high N₂O saturation and emissions in the estuary, whereas in summer, high N₂O emissions were controlled by in-situ production. Overall, the Elbe estuary is a year-round perennial source of N₂O, with estimated annual emissions of 0.24 ± 0.06 Gg yr⁻¹. In conjunction with the overarching control of N₂O production by organic matter quality, this highlights that a holistic approach of water quality improvement and nutrient mitigation is needed to further reduce N₂O emission from the Elbe estuary.

412 Data availability

413 The dataset generated and/or analyzed in this study are currently available upon request from the corresponding 414 author and will be made publicly available under coastMap Geoportal (<u>www.coastmap.org</u>) connecting to 415 PANGAEA. (<u>https://www.pangaea.de/</u>) with DOI availability in the near future.

416 Authors contribution

GS, TS and KD designed this study. GS did the sampling and measurements for cruises from 2020 to 2022 as well as the data interpretation and evaluation. TS was responsible for the sampling and measurements for cruises done in 2017 and 2019. YGV provided the oxygen data correction from the FerryBox data. KD, HWB, YGV and TS contributed with scientific and editorial recommendations. GS prepared the manuscript with contributions of all co-authors.

422 Competing interest

423 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.





424 Acknowledgments

- This study was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under
 Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2037 "CLICCS Climate, Climatic Change, and Society" Project
- 427 Number: 390683824, contribution to the Center for Earth System Research and Sustainability (CEN) of Universität
- 428 Hamburg. Parts of the study were done in the framework of the cross-topic activity MOSES (Modular Observation
- 429 Solutions for Earth Systems) within the Helmholtz program Changing Earth (Topic 4.1). We thank the crew of
- 430 R/V Ludwig Prandtl for the great support during the cruises. Thanks to Leon Schmidt and the entire working group
- 431 "Aquatic nutrients cycles" for measuring nutrients and the support during the campaigns. We are thankful for the
- 432 Hereon FerryBox Team for providing the FerryBox data. Thanks to the working group of Biogeochemistry at the
- 433 Institute for Geology of the University Hamburg for measuring C/N ratios, PC and PN fractions. We thank Frank
- 434 Laurich (HAMBURG WASSER) and Dr. Maja Karrasch (Hamburg Port Authority) for their interest in our N2O
- 435 measurements and their willingness to provide information. Thanks to the NOAA ESRL GML CCGG Group for
- 436 providing high quality, readily accessible atmospheric N₂O data.

437 References

Allen, D., Dalal, R. C., Rennenberg, H., and Schmidt, S.: Seasonal variation in nitrous oxide and methane
emissions from subtropical estuary and coastal mangrove sediments, Australia, Plant Biol., 13, 126–133,
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.2010.00331.x, 2011.

- Bange, H. W.: Nitrous oxide and methane in European coastal waters, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 70, 361–374,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2006.05.042, 2006.
- 443Bange, H. W.: Chapter 2 Gaseous Nitrogen Compounds (NO, N2O, N2, NH3) in the Ocean, in: Nitrogen in the444Marine Environment (Second Edition), edited by: Capone, D. G., Bronk, D. A., Mulholland, M. R., and Carpenter,
- 445 E. J., Academic Press, San Diego, 51–94, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372522-6.00002-5, 2008.
- Barnes, J. and Owens, N. J. P.: Denitrification and Nitrous Oxide concentrations in the Humber Estuary, UK, and
 Adjacent Coastal Zones, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 37, 247–260, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(99)00079-X, 1999.
- Barnes, J. and Upstill-Goddard, R. C.: N₂O seasonal distributions and air-sea exchange in UK estuaries:
 Implications for the tropospheric N₂O source from European coastal waters, J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences,
 116, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JG001156, 2011.
- Beaulieu, J. J., Arango, C. P., and Tank, J. L.: The Effects of Season and Agriculture on Nitrous Oxide Production
 in Headwater Streams, J. Environ. Qual., 38, 637–646, https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2008.0003, 2009.
- Beaulieu, J. J., Tank, J. L., Hamilton, S. K., Wollheim, W. M., Hall, R. O., Mulholland, P. J., Peterson, B. J.,
 Ashkenas, L. R., Cooper, L. W., Dahm, C. N., Dodds, W. K., Grimm, N. B., Johnson, S. L., McDowell, W. H.,
 Poole, G. C., Valett, H. M., Arango, C. P., Bernot, M. J., Burgin, A. J., Crenshaw, C. L., Helton, A. M., Johnson,
 L. T., O'Brien, J. M., Potter, J. D., Sheibley, R. W., Sobota, D. J., and Thomas, S. M.: Nitrous oxide emission
 from denitrification in stream and river networks, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 108, 214–219,
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1011464108, 2011.
- 459 Bergemann, M.: Die Trübungszone in der Tideelbe Beschreibung der räumlichen und zeitlichen Entwicklung,
 460 Wassergütestelle Elbe, 2004.
- 461 Bergemann, M. and Gaumert, T.: Elbebericht 2008, Flussgebietsgemeinschaft Elbe, Hamburg, 2010.
- 462 Bianchi, T. S.: Biogeochemistry of Estuaries, Oxford University Press, New York, 706 pp., 2007.
- 463 Boehlich, M. J. and Strotmann, T.: The Elbe Estuary, Küste, 74, 288–306, 2008.





Boehlich, M. J. and Strotmann, T.: Das Elbeästuar, Küste, 87, Kuratorium für Forschung im Küsteningenieurwesen
 (KFKI), https://doi.org/10.18171/1.087106, 2019.

Borges, A., Vanderborght, J.-P., Schiettecatte, L.-S., Gazeau, F., Ferrón-Smith, S., Delille, B., and Frankignoulle,
 M.: Variability of gas transfer velocity of CO₂ in a macrotidal estuary (The Scheldt), Estuaries, 27, 593–603,

468 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02907647, 2004.

469 Bouwman, A. F., Bierkens, M. F. P., Griffioen, J., Hefting, M. M., Middelburg, J. J., Middelkoop, H., and Slomp,

470 C. P.: Nutrient dynamics, transfer and retention along the aquatic continuum from land to ocean: towards

- 471 integration of ecological and biogeochemical models, Biogeosciences, 10, 1-22, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-1-
- 472 2013, 2013.
- Brase, L., Bange, H. W., Lendt, R., Sanders, T., and Dähnke, K.: High Resolution Measurements of Nitrous Oxide
 (N₂O) in the Elbe Estuary, Front. Mar. Sci., 4, 162, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00162, 2017.

Clough, T. J., Buckthought, L. E., Casciotti, K. L., Kelliher, F. M., and Jones, P. K.: Nitrous oxide dynamics in a
 braided river system, New Zealand, J. Environ. Qual., 40, 1532–1541, https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2010.0527, 2011.

477 Crossland, C. J., Baird, D., Ducrotoy, J.-P., Lindeboom, H., Buddemeier, R. W., Dennison, W. C., Maxwell, B.

478 A., Smith, S. V., and Swaney, D. P.: The Coastal Zone — a Domain of Global Interactions, in: Coastal Fluxes in

479 the Anthropocene: The Land-Ocean Interactions in the Coastal Zone Project of the International Geosphere-

480 Biosphere Programme, edited by: Crossland, C. J., Kremer, H. H., Lindeboom, H. J., Marshall Crossland, J. I., and

481 Le Tissier, M. D. A., Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1–37, https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-27851-6_1, 2005.

Dähnke, K., Sanders, T., Voynova, Y., and Wankel, S. D.: Nitrogen isotopes reveal a particulate-matter-driven
biogeochemical reactor in a temperate estuary, Biogeosciences, 19, 5879–5891, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-195879-2022, 2022.

Deek, A., Dähnke, K., van Beusekom, J., Meyer, S., Voss, M., and Emeis, K.-C.: N₂ fluxes in sediments of the
Elbe Estuary and adjacent coastal zones, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 493, 9–21, https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10514,
2013.

Dlugokencky, E. J., Crotwell, A. M., Mund, J. W., Crotwell, M. J., and Thoning, K. W.: Earth System Research
 Laboratory Carbon Cycle and Greenhouse Gases Group Flask-Air Sample Measurements of N₂O at Global and
 Regional Background Sites, 1967-Present [Data set], https://doi.org/10.15138/53G1-X417, 2022.

FGG Elbe, Flussgebietsgemeinschaft Elbe: Nachrstoffminderungsstrategie für die Flussgebietsgemeinschaft Elbe,
 Gemeinsamer Bericht der Bundesländer und der Flussgebietsgemeinschaft Elbe, 2018.

493DasFachinfomrationssystem(FIS)derFGGElbe:https://www.elbe-494datenportal.de/FisFggElbe/content/start/ZurStartseite.action;jsessionid=A37EDCF5B5EC1ECB15091447E64EC495538, last access: 21 November 2022.

Fraga, F., Ríos, A. F., Pérez, F. F., and Figueiras, F. G.: Theoretical limits of oxygen:carbon and oxygen:nitrogen
ratios during photosynthesis and mineralisation of organic matter in the sea, Sci. Mar., 62, 161–168,
https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.1998.62n1-2161, 1998.

Garnier, J., Cébron, A., Tallec, G., Billen, G., Sebilo, M., and Martinez, A.: Nitrogen Behaviour and Nitrous Oxide
 Emission in the Tidal Seine River Estuary (France) as Influenced by Human Activities in the Upstream Watershed,
 Biogeochemistry, 77, 305–326, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-005-0544-4, 2006.

Gaumert, T. and Bergemann, M.: Sauerstoffgehalt der Tideelbe - Entwicklung der kritischen Sauerstoffgehalte im
 Jahr 2007 und in den Vorjahren, Erörterung möglicher Ursachen und Handlungsoptionen,
 Flussgebietsgemeinschaft Elbe, 2007.

505 Gonçalves, C., Brogueira, M. J., and Camões, M. F.: Seasonal and tidal influence on the variability of nitrous oxide 506 in the Tagus estuary, Portugal, Sci. Mar., 74, 57–66, https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.2010.74s1057, 2010.

Halling-Sorensen, B. and Jorgensen, S. E. (Eds.): 3. Process Chemistry and Biochemistry of Nitrification, in:
 Studies in Environmental Science, vol. 54, Elsevier, 55–118, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-1116(08)70525-9,
 1993.





- 510 HAMBURG WASSER, Laurich, F.: pers. Comm.: N₂O in der Elbe, September 2022.
- Hanke, V.-R. and Knauth, H.-D.: N₂O-Gehalte in Wasser-und Luftproben aus den Bereichen der Tideelbe und der
 Deutschen Bucht, Vom Wasser, 75, 357–374, 1990.
- 513 Harley, J. F., Carvalho, L., Dudley, B., Heal, K. V., Rees, R. M., and Skiba, U.: Spatial and seasonal fluxes of the
- 514 greenhouse gases N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ in a UK macrotidal estuary, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 153, 62–73, 515 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2014.12.004, 2015.
- Hedges, J. I. and Keil, R. G.: Sedimentary organic matter preservation: an assessment and speculative synthesis,
 Mar. Chem., 49, 81–115, https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(95)00008-F, 1995.
- HPA and Freie und Hansestadt Hamburg: Deutsches Gewässerkundliches Jahrbuch Elbegebiet, Teil III, Untere
 Elbe ab der Havelmündung 2014, Hamburg, 2017.
- HPA, Karrasch, M.: pers. Comm.: Anfrage wegen N₂OPeak Baggerarbeiten Elbe März 2021 und März 2022,
 September 2022.
- 522 IPCC: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth 523 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., 524 Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, 525 K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, Ö., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge 526 University Press. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY. USA. 527 https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896, 2021.
- 528 Johannsen, A., Dähnke, K., and Emeis, K.: Isotopic composition of nitrate in five German rivers discharging into 529 the North Sea, Org. Geochem., 39, 1678–1689, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2008.03.004, 2008.
- Kappenberg, J. and Fanger, H.-U.: Sedimenttransportgeschehen in der tidebeeinflussten Elbe, der Deutschen Bucht
 und in der Nordsee, GKSS-Forschungszentrum, Geesthacht, 2007.
- 532 Kerner, M.: Interactions between local oxygen deficiencies and heterotrophic microbial processes in the elbe 533 estuary, Limnologica, 30, 137–143, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0075-9511(00)80008-0, 2000.
- 534 Knowles, R.: Denitrification, Microbiol. Rev., 46, 43–70, 1982.
- Koch, M. S., Maltby, E., Oliver, G. A., and Bakker, S. A.: Factors controlling denitrification rates of tidal mudflats
 and fringing salt marshes in south-west England, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 34, 471–485,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714(05)80118-0, 1992.
- Maavara, T., Lauerwald, R., Laruelle, G. G., Akbarzadeh, Z., Bouskill, N. J., Van Cappellen, P., and Regnier, P.:
 Nitrous oxide emissions from inland waters: Are IPCC estimates too high?, Glob. Change Biol., 25, 473–488, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14504, 2019.
- Middelburg, J. and Nieuwenhuize, J.: Uptake of dissolved inorganic nitrogen in turbid, tidal estuaries, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 192, 79–88, https://doi.org/10.3354/meps192079, 2001.
- Middelburg, J. J. and Herman, P. M. J.: Organic matter processing in tidal estuaries, Mar. Chem., 106, 127–147,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2006.02.007, 2007.
- Murray, R. H., Erler, D. V., and Eyre, B. D.: Nitrous oxide fluxes in estuarine environments: Response to global
 change, Glob. Change Biol., 21, 3219–3245, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12923, 2015.
- Murray, R. H., Erler, D. V., Rosentreter, J., Wells, N. S., and Eyre, B. D.: Seasonal and spatial controls on N₂O
 concentrations and emissions in low-nitrogen estuaries: Evidence from three tropical systems, Mar. Chem., 221,
 103779, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2020.103779, 2020.
- 550 Nevison, C., Butler, J. H., and Elkins, J. W.: Global distribution of N_2O and the ΔN_2O -AOU yield in the subsurface 551 ocean, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles, 17, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GB002068, 2003.





- 552 Nixon, S. W., Ammerman, J. W., Atkinson, L. P., Berounsky, V. M., Billen, G., Boicourt, W. C., Boynton, W. R.,
- 553 Church, T. M., Ditoro, D. M., Elmgren, R., Garber, J. H., Giblin, A. E., Jahnke, R. A., Owens, N. J. P., Pilson, M.
- 554 E. Q., and Seitzinger, S. P.: The fate of nitrogen and phosphorus at the land-sea margin of the North Atlantic
- 555 Ocean, Biogeochemistry, 35, 141–180, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02179826, 1996.
- 556 Norbisrath, M., Pätsch, J., Dähnke, K., Sanders, T., Schulz, G., van Beusekom, J. E. E., and Thomas, H.: Metabolic
- 557 alkalinity release from large port facilities (Hamburg, Germany) and impact on coastal carbon storage,
- 558 Biogeosciences, 19, 5151–5165, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-19-5151-2022, 2022.
- Pätsch, A., Serna, A., Dähnke, K., Schlarbaum, T., Johannsen, A., and Emeis, K.-C.: Nitrogen cycling in the
 German Bight (SE North Sea) Clues from modelling stable nitrogen isotopes, Cont. Shelf Res., 30, 203–213,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2009.11.003, 2010.
- Pind, A., Risgaard-Petersen, N., and Revsbech, N. P.: Denitrification and microphytobenthic NO₃⁻ consumption
 in a Danish lowland stream: diurnal and seasonal variation, Aquat. Microb. Ecol., 12, 275–284,
 https://doi.org/10.3354/ame012275, 1997.
- HAFEN HAMBURG: Willkommen auf der offiziellen Webseite des größten deutschen Seehafens:
 http://www.portblog.de/de/startseite/, last access: 24 September 2021.
- Quick, A. M., Reeder, W. J., Farrell, T. B., Tonina, D., Feris, K. P., and Benner, S. G.: Nitrous oxide from streams
 and rivers: A review of primary biogeochemical pathways and environmental variables, Earth-Sci. Rev., 191, 224–
 262, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.02.021, 2019.
- Quiel, K., Becker, A., Kirchesch, V., Schöl, A., and Fischer, H.: Influence of global change on phytoplankton and
 nutrient cycling in the Elbe River, Reg. Environ. Change, 11, 405–421, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-010-01522, 2011.
- Radach, G. and Pätsch, J.: Variability of continental riverine freshwater and nutrient inputs into the North Sea for
 the years 1977–2000 and its consequences for the assessment of eutrophication, Estuaries Coasts, 30, 66–81,
 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02782968, 2007.
- Redfield, A. C., Ketchum, B. H., and Richards, F. A.: The influence of organisms on the composition of sea-water,
 Compos. Seawater Comp. Descr. Oceanogr. Sea Ideas Obs. Prog. Study Seas, 2, 26–77, 1963.
- Rhee, T. S.: The process of air -water gas exchange and its application, Texas A&M University, College Station,
 2000.
- 580 Rhee, T. S., Kettle, A. J., and Andreae, M. O.: Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from the ocean: A 581 reassessment using basin-wide observations in the Atlantic, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres, 114, 582 https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD011662, 2009.
- Robinson, A. D., Nedwell, D. B., Harrison, R. M., and Ogilvie, B. G.: Hypernutrified estuaries as sources of N₂O
 emission to the atmosphere: the estuary of the River Colne, Essex, UK, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 164, 59–71, 1998.
- Sanders, T., Schöl, A., and Dähnke, K.: Hot Spots of Nitrification in the Elbe Estuary and Their Impact on Nitrate
 Regeneration, Estuaries Coasts, 41, 128–138, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-017-0264-8, 2018.
- Schöl, A., Hein, B., Wyrwa, J., and Kirchesch, V.: Modelling Water Quality in the Elbe and its Estuary Large
 Scale and Long Term Applications with Focus on the Oxygen Budget of the Estuary, Küste 81 Model., 203–232,
 2014.
- Schroeder, F.: Water quality in the Elbe estuary: Significance of different processes for the oxygen deficit at
 Hamburg, Environ. Model. Assess., 2, 73–82, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1019032504922, 1997.
- Siedler, G. and Peters, H.: Properties of sea water, Physical properties, in: Oceanography, vol. V/3a, edited by:
 Sündermann, J., Springer, Berlin, Germany, 233–264, 1986.
- 594 Silvennoinen, H., Hietanen, S., Liikanen, A., Stange, C. F., Russow, R., Kuparinen, J., and Martikainen, P. J.:
- 595 Denitrification in the River Estuaries of the Northern Baltic Sea, AMBIO J. Hum. Environ., 36, 134–140, 596 https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447(2007)36[134:DITREO]2.0.CO;2, 2007.

20





- Sommerfield, C. K. and Wong, K.-C.: Mechanisms of sediment flux and turbidity maintenance in the Delaware
 Estuary, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 116, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JC006462, 2011.
- 599 Tian, H., Xu, R., Canadell, J. G., Thompson, R. L., Winiwarter, W., Suntharalingam, P., Davidson, E. A., Ciais,
- 600 P., Jackson, R. B., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Prather, M. J., Regnier, P., Pan, N., Pan, S., Peters, G. P., Shi, H.,
- Tubiello, F. N., Zaehle, S., Zhou, F., Arneth, A., Battaglia, G., Berthet, S., Bopp, L., Bouwman, A. F., Buitenhuis,
- 602 E. T., Chang, J., Chipperfield, M. P., Dangal, S. R. S., Dlugokencky, E., Elkins, J. W., Eyre, B. D., Fu, B., Hall,
- 603 B., Ito, A., Joos, F., Krummel, P. B., Landolfi, A., Laruelle, G. G., Lauerwald, R., Li, W., Lienert, S., Maavara,
- T., MacLeod, M., Millet, D. B., Olin, S., Patra, P. K., Prinn, R. G., Raymond, P. A., Ruiz, D. J., van der Werf, G.
- 605 R., Vuichard, N., Wang, J., Weiss, R. F., Wells, K. C., Wilson, C., Yang, J., and Yao, Y.: A comprehensive
- quantification of global nitrous oxide sources and sinks, Nature, 586, 248-256, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-
- 607 020-2780-0, 2020.
- US EPA: Volunteer Estuary Monitoring: A Methods Manual, United States Environmental Protection Agency
 (EPA), 2006.
- Usui, T., Koike, I., and Ogura, N.: N₂O Production, Nitrification and Denitrification in an Estuarine Sediment,
 Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci., 52, 769–781, https://doi.org/10.1006/ecss.2000.0765, 2001.
- 612 Van Beusekom, J. E. E., Carstensen, J., Dolch, T., Grage, A., Hofmeister, R., Lenhart, H., Kerimoglu, O., Kolbe,
- 613 K., Pätsch, J., Rick, J., Rönn, L., and Ruiter, H.: Wadden Sea Eutrophication: Long-Term Trends and Regional
- 614 Differences, Front. Mar. Sci., 6, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00370, 2019.
- Walter, S., Bange, H. W., and Wallace, D. W. R.: Nitrous oxide in the surface layer of the tropical North Atlantic
 Ocean along a west to east transect, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L23S07, https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL019937,
 2004.
- Weiss, R. F.: The solubility of nitrogen, oxygen and argon in water and seawater, Deep Sea Res. Oceanogr. Abstr.,
 17, 721–735, https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-7471(70)90037-9, 1970.
- 620 Weiss, R. F. and Price, B. A.: Nitrous oxide solubility in water and seawater, Mar. Chem., 8, 347–359, 621 https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(80)90024-9, 1980.
- de Wilde, H. P. and de Bie, M. J.: Nitrous oxide in the Schelde estuary: production by nitrification and emission
 to the atmosphere, Mar. Chem., 69, 203–216, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(99)00106-1, 2000.
- Winterwerp, J. C. and Wang, Z. B.: Man-induced regime shifts in small estuaries—I: theory, Ocean Dyn., 63,
 1279–1292, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10236-013-0662-9, 2013.
- WMO: Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2018, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva,Switzerland, 2018.
- Wolfstein, K. and Kies, L.: Composition of suspended participate matter in the Elbe estuary: Implications for
 biological and transportation processes, Dtsch. Hydrogr. Z., 51, 453–463, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02764166,
 1999.
- Wrage, N., Velthof, G. L., van Beusichem, M. L., and Oenema, O.: The role of nitrifier denitrification in the production of nitrous oxide, Soil Biol. Biochem., 33, 1723–1732, 2001.
- ZDM, Wasserstraßen und Schifffahrtsverwaltung des Bundes: Abfluss Neu Darchau, edited by: Wasserstraßen und Schifffahrtsamt Elbe, 2022.