

The authors have taken into consideration all my comments and those from the second reviewer. They have improved the quality of the presentation of the work with more precise writing and figures. I suggest the acceptance of the paper. I have just a couple of suggestion and points to note (line number refers to the version 2 of the manuscript):

LINE 88: I would simply say "... in relation to elevation in a boxplot..."

LINE 106: typo elevation7

FIGURE 2: RMSE in figure does not have the unit