Spatial and seasonal variability in volatile organic sulfur compounds in seawater and the overlying atmosphere of the Bohai and Yellow Seas

4 Juan Yu^{1,2,3,†}, Lei Yu^{1,†}, Zhen He^{1,2,3}, Gui-Peng Yang^{1,2,3,*}, Jing-Guang Lai¹, Qian Liu¹

¹Frontiers Science Center for Deep Ocean Multispheres and Earth System, Key Laboratory of Marine Chemistry
 Theory and Technology, Ministry of Education, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266100, China.

²Laboratory for Marine Ecology and Environmental Science, Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and
 Technology, Qingdao 266237, China.

⁹ ³Institute of Marine Chemistry, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266100, China.

10

Abstract. Volatile organic sulfur compounds (VSCs), including carbon disulfide (CS₂), dimethyl sulfide (DMS), and 11 12 carbonyl sulfide (COS), were surveyed in the seawater of the Bohai and Yellow Seas and the overlying atmosphere 13 during spring and summer of 2018 to understand the production and loss of VSCs and their influence factors. The concentration ranges of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in the surface seawater were 0.14–0.42, 0.41–7.74, and 0.01–0.18 nmol 14 L⁻¹ during spring and 0.32-0.61, 1.31-18.12, and 0.01-0.65 nmol L⁻¹ during summer, respectively. The COS 15 16 concentrations exhibited positive correlation with dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations in seawater during 17 summer, which verified the photochemical production of COS from chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM). 18 High DMS concentrations occurred near the Yellow River, Laizhou Bay, and Yangtze River Estuary, coinciding with 19 high nitrate and Chl a concentrations due to river discharge during summer. The COS, DMS, and CS₂ concentrations 20 were the highest in the surface seawater and decreased with the depth. The mixing ratios of COS, DMS, and CS_2 in

^{*} Corresponding author at: Key Laboratory of Marine Chemistry Theory and Technology, Ministry of Education, Ocean University of China, 238 Songling Road, Qingdao 266100, China. E-mail address: gpyang@mail.ouc.edu.cn (G.-P. Yang)

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work and should be considered co-first authors.

- 21 the atmosphere were 255.9–620.2 pptv, 1.3–191.2 pptv, and 5.2–698.8 pptv during spring and 394.6–850.1 pptv, 10.3–
- 464.3 pptv, and 15.3–672.7 pptv in summer, respectively. The mean oceanic concentrations/atmospheric mixing ratios
- of COS, DMS, and CS₂ were 0.8/0.6-, 2.1/3.6-, and 2.7/0.5-fold higher in summer than in spring. The mean sea-to-air
- fluxes of COS, DMS, and CS₂ were 0.2-, 1.1-, and 3.3-fold higher in summer than in spring. The sea-to-air fluxes of
- 25 VSCs indicated that these marginal seas are major sources of VSCs in the atmosphere. The results provide help with
- 26 a better understanding of the contribution of VSCs in marginal seas.
- 27 Keywords: Volatile organic sulfur compound; Carbonyl sulfide; Dimethyl sulfide; Carbon disulfide

28 1 Introduction

Carbonyl sulfide (COS), dimethyl sulfide (DMS), and carbon disulfide (CS₂) are three major volatile organic sulfur compounds (VSCs) in seawater and the marine atmosphere. Their biogeochemical cycles are closely related to climate change (Charlson et al., 1987; Li et al., 2022). VSCs contribute to the formation of atmospheric cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and sulfate aerosols, significantly affecting the global radiation budget and ozone concentration (Andreae and Crutzen, 1997). Hence, interest in the distribution, production, and chemistry of VSCs has grown in recent years (Lennartz et al., 2017; Lennartz et al., 2020; Li et al., 2022; Remaud et al., 2022; Whelan et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2022).

36 COS has an average tropospheric residence time of 2-7 years and is the most abundant and widely distributed reduced sulfur trace gas in the atmosphere (Brihl et al., 2012). COS can be converted to sulfate aerosols in the 37 stratosphere, affecting the Earth's radiation balance (Crutzen, 1976). Atmospheric COS originates directly from 38 39 oceanic emissions and indirectly from the oxidation of DMS and CS₂ (Kettle et al., 2002; Lennartz et al., 2020). 40 Uptake by terrestrial vegetation and soil is the most important sink of atmospheric COS (Kettle et al., 2002; Maignan 41 et al., 2021). Therefore, COS can be used as a proxy for estimating the photosynthesis rate in ecosystems (Campbell 42 et al., 2008). COS production is dependent on UV radiation, chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM), cysteine, 43 and nitrate concentration (Lennartz et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022). COS production rates increase with increasing nitrate 44 concentration (Li et al., 2022). Some studies have indicated that the ocean is a COS source (Chin and Davis, 1993; 45 Yu et al., 2022), whereas others have shown that the ocean is a COS sink (Zhu et al., 2019).

Atmospheric DMS can react with OH and NO₃ radicals to form SO₂ and methane sulfonic acid (MSA, CH₃SO₃H), creating non-sea salt sulfates (nss-SO₄²⁻), which contribute to acid deposition and CCN (Charlson et al., 1987). DMS is the predominant biogenic sulfur originating from dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP), predominantly produced by bacteria and phytoplankton (Curson et al., 2017; Keller et al., 1989). DMSP lyase from phytoplankton and bacteria can convert DMSP to DMS (Reisch et al., 2011). The community composition of phytoplankton and bacteria can affect the net DMSP concentrations via synthesis and degradation (O'Brien et al., 2022, Zhao et al., 2021). DMS entering the atmosphere via sea-to-air exchange accounts for about 50% of all natural sulfur releases (Cline and Bates,
1983).

 CS_2 is the key precursor of COS, and 82% COS is the oxidation production of CS_2 (Lennartz et al., 2020). Photochemical reaction with dissolved organic matter (DOM) is a principal source of CS_2 in seawater (Xie et al., 1998). ³CDOM^{*}, ¹O₂, H₂O₂, and 'OH produced by the photochemical reaction of DOM react with DMS and produce COS and CS_2 (Modiri Gharehveran and Shah, 2021). Anthropogenic CS_2 sources include rayon and/or aluminum production, fuel combustion, oil refineries, and coal combustion (Campbell et al., 2015; Zumkehr et al., 2018).

Two different approaches (ice core and isotope measurements) were used to evaluate anthropogenic COS emissions (Aydin et al., 2020; Hattori et al., 2020). The latter study and a modeling approach used by Remaud et al. (2022) observed a gradient of anthropogenic COS in East Asia. Anthropogenic COS is initially emitted as CS_2 and oxidized by OH to COS in the atmosphere (Kettle et al., 2002). The production and loss of DMS involve phytoplankton and bacteria synthesis, zooplankton grazing, bacterial degradation, and sea-air diffusion (Sch äfer et al., 2010). COS and CS_2 production are related to photo-oxidation and/or photochemical reactions (Lennartz et al., 2020; Xie et al., 1998). However, the production and loss mechanisms remain unclear.

66 Yu et al. (2022) investigated the distributions of COS, DMS, and CS_2 and sea-to-air flux in the Changiang Estuary 67 and the adjacent East China Sea, demonstrating that oceanic VSCs (COS, DMS, and CS₂) are sources of atmospheric VSCs. In contrast, Zhu et al. (2019) showed that the ocean was a COS sink. The Yellow Sea (YS) and Bohai Sea (BS) 68 69 are semi-enclosed seas in the northwestern Pacific Ocean. The BS coastal current, YS coastal current, and YS warm 70 current substantially affect the hydrological characteristics of this area (Chen, 2009), potentially altering the VSC 71 distributions via water mass exchanges. In addition, the Yellow Sea Cold Water Mass (YSCWM), a seasonal 72 hydrological phenomenon located in the 35 N transect, forms, peaks, and disappears in spring, summer, and after 73 September, respectively (Zhang et al., 2014). In this study, we investigate the spatial distributions and seasonal 74 variability of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in the seawater and overlying atmosphere of the YS and BS and the effects of the YSCWM (the 35 N transect) on the VSC distributions to better understand the distributions and impact factors of
VSCs in Chinese marginal seas.

77 2 Materials and methods

78 2.1 Sampling

79 Two cruises were conducted aboard the R/V "Dong Fang Hong 2" in the YS and BS from 27 March to 16 April 80 (spring) 2018 and from 24 July to 8 August (summer) 2018. The sampling stations are shown in Fig. 1. Seawater 81 samples were collected using 12 L Niskin bottles mounted on a Seabird 911 conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) 82 rosette. The seawater was slowly siphoned from the Niskin bottles into 100 mL glass jaw bottles (CNW Technologies 83 GmbH, GER) via a translucent silicone tube. The seawater was allowed to overflow the sampling bottle by twice its 84 volume before the silicone tube was gently removed, and the bottle was immediately sealed with an aluminum cap 85 containing a Teflon-lined butyl rubber septum without any headspace. Subsequently, the concentrations of oceanic 86 VSCs were immediately measured on the ship. The environmental and hydrological parameters such as seawater 87 temperature and salinity were measured simultaneously by the CTD equipment.

Atmospheric VSC samples were collected using cleaned and vacuumed SilcoCan canisters (Restek, USA) in the windward direction approximately 10 m above the ocean. The stability of VSCs in fused silica-lined canisters has been verified during storage for 16 d at room temperature (Brown et al., 2015). The atmospheric samples were analyzed immediately after being brought back to the laboratory.

92 2.2 Analytical procedures

The VSC concentrations in the seawater were measured using a gas chromatograph (GC) (Agilent 7890A, USA) with a flame photometric detector (FPD). The atmospheric VSC mixing ratios were measured using a GC equipped with a mass spectrometer (GC-MS) (Agilent 7890A/5975C, USA) using the methods of Inomata et al. (2006) and Staubes and Georgii (1993), respectively. A CP-Sil 5 CB column (30 m \times 0.32 mm \times 4.0 µm, Agilent Technologies, USA) was used to separate the three VSCs. Standard VSC gases with mixing ratios of 1 ppmv were bought from Beijing Minnick Analytical Instrument Equipment Center. Qualitative analysis was conducted by comparing the results with the retention times of the standards, and quantitative analysis was conducted by diluting the VSC standard gases to 1 ppbv and 5 ppbv using a 2202A dynamic dilution meter (Nutech, USA) and injecting different volumes of the diluted
 VSC standards into the GC using a gas-tight syringe. The VSC mixing ratios were calculated after calibration using
 standard gases (Fig. S1).

103 The VSC concentrations in seawater were determined using a cryogenic purge-and-trap system coupled with the 104 GC-FPD. A 30 mL seawater sample was injected into a glass bubbling chamber with a gas-tight syringe (SGE, 105 Australia). The VSCs were extracted from the seawater with high purity N_2 at a rate of 60 mL min⁻¹ for 15 min and 106 passed through an anhydrous CaCl₂-filled drying tube and a 100% degreased cotton-filled 1/4 Teflon tube to remove 107 water and oxides. Subsequently, the VSC gases were passed through a six-way valve and trapped in a loop of the 1/16 108 Teflon capture tube immersed in liquid nitrogen. After all VSCs had been purged from the seawater, the capture tube 109 was removed from the liquid nitrogen and placed into hot water (>90 $^{\circ}$ C) to desorb the trapped VSCs. The VSCs gases 110 were carried into the GC by N₂ and detected by the FPD. The column temperature was programmed with an initial temperature of 55 °C, followed by an increase to 100 °C at 10 °C min⁻¹ and a final increase to 150 °C at 15 °C min⁻¹. 111 112 The inlet and detector temperatures were 150 $^{\circ}$ C and 160 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively, and the split ratio of pure N₂ was 10:1. The 113 detection limits of the method for COS, DMS, and CS₂ were 33 pg, 387 pg, and 22 pg and the measurement precision 114 was 5.59%-11.70% (Tian et al., 2005). The DMS concentrations in seawater were obtained from Zhang et al. (2023).

115 The mixing ratios of atmospheric VSCs were analyzed using an Entech 7100 pre-concentrator (Nutech, USA) 116 coupled with GC-MS. The sample SilcoCan canister was connected to the pre-concentrator, and 200 mL of gas was 117 drawn into the preconcentration system with a three-stage cold trap (Fig. S1). The pre-concentrator parameters of the 118 three-stage cold trap are listed in Table S1. The first trap removes N₂, O₂, and H₂O (g) from the atmospheric samples, 119 and the second trap eliminates CO_2 . The third trap is used to separate the three VSCs and obtain better peak shapes. 120 The temperature programming of the column was the same as for the seawater samples. In addition, the temperature 121 of the quadrupole and ion source were 110 °C and 230 °C, respectively, and the electron ionization source was run at 122 70 ev. The carrier gas had a split ratio of 10:1 and a flow rate of 2.0 mL min⁻¹. Qualitative and quantitative analyses 123 of the VSCs were conducted using the full scan mode (SCAN) and the selected ion monitoring mode (SIM). The 124 mass-to-charge ratios (m/z) for COS, DMS, and CS₂ were 60, 62, and 76, respectively. The detection limit of the VSCs 125 was 0.1-0.5 pptv (Zhu et al., 2017).

126 **2.3 Calculation of sea-to-air fluxes of VSCs**

The sea-to-air fluxes of the VSCs were calculated using the model established by Liss and Slater (1974): $F = k_w(c_w - c_g/H)$, where *F* is the sea-to-air flux of VSCs (µmol m⁻² d⁻¹); k_w is the VSC transfer velocity (m d⁻¹); c_w and c_g are the equilibrium concentrations of VSCs in the surface seawater and the atmosphere (nmol L⁻¹), respectively; and *H* is Henry's constant calculated using the equation listed in Table S2 (De Bruyn et al., 1995; Dacey et al., 1984). It was converted to a dimensionless constant using the equation proposed by Sander (2015). k_w was calculated from the wind speed, and the sea surface temperature was obtained by the N2000 method (Nightingale et al., 2000). This method has been internationally accepted; we used the calculation developed by Kettle et al. (2001).

134 **2.4 Measurements of Chl***a*, nutrients, and dissolved organic carbon

135 The seawater samples for the analysis of the Chl a concentrations were filtered through Whatman GF/F filters, and 136 the filtrate was stored in darkness at -20 °C. Then, Chl *a* was extracted with 90% acetone for 24 h at 4 °C in darkness. 137 The Chl a concentrations were determined following the method of Parsons et al. (1984) with a fluorescence 138 spectrophotometer (F-4500, Hitachi) at excitation/emission wavelengths of 436 nm/670 nm. The seawater was filtered 139 through Whatman GF/F filters (0.7 μ m), and the filtered water samples were stored at -20 °C before nutrient (nitrate, 140 phosphate, and silicate) analysis. A Technicon Autoanalyser AAII (SEAL Analytical, UK) was used to measure the 141 nitrate, phosphate, and silicate concentrations. The nitrate, phosphate, and silicate data were provided by the open 142 research cruise supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (NSFC) Shiptime Sharing Project.

The dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations were measured using the method of Chen et al. (2021). The seawater was filtered through Whatman GF/F filters (pre-combusted at 500 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 h), and the filtrate was stored at -20 $^{\circ}$ C for DOC analysis. The DOC concentrations were determined by a total organic carbon analyzer (Shimadzu TOC-VCPH) after adding two drops of 12 mol/L HCl.

147 **2.5 Data analysis**

SPSS 24.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to analyze the relationships between the environmental factors and the concentrations and mixing ratios of the three VSCs in seawater and the atmosphere during spring and summer.

151 **3 Results**

152 **3.1 Spatial distributions of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in surface seawater**

153 **3.1.1 Spring distributions**

The temperature in the surface seawater showed a decreasing trend from south to north, and the salinity increased from the inshore to the offshore sites due to the influences of the YS warm current, Yalu River, and Yellow River (Fig. 2). The Chl *a* concentrations in the surface water of the BS and YS in the spring were $0.17-4.45 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$ with an average of $1.19 \pm 0.96 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$. The highest Chl *a* concentration occurred at station B39 in the BS (Fig. 2), which may be related to the enhanced phytoplankton growth due to the abundance of nutrients resulting from a seawater exchange between the BS and YS. In addition, high Chl *a* concentrations were observed in the central area of the southern YS.

160 The concentrations of COS, DMS, and CS_2 in the surface seawater of the BS and YS during spring were 0.14–0.42, 161 0.41-7.74, and 0.01-0.18 nmol L⁻¹, with mean values of 0.24 ± 0.06 , 1.74 ± 1.61 , and 0.07 ± 0.05 nmol L⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 2). The high COS concentrations during the spring occurred in the YS (Fig. 2). The highest COS concentration 162 163 was observed at station H21, coinciding with a high Chl a concentration. The two areas with high concentrations of 164 COS in the central waters of the southern YS overlapped with areas with high Chl a concentrations. High DMS 165 concentrations existed in the coastal waters of the southern Shandong Peninsula, as well as at station B21 in the central part of the northern YS. The distribution of CS_2 in seawater exhibited a decreasing trend from inshore to offshore (Fig. 166 167 2). High CS₂ concentrations appeared at stations H18 and H19 in the coastal waters of YSCWM (Fig. 2). There was also a high CS₂ concentration at station B30 near the shore of the Liaodong Peninsula (Fig. 2). 168

169 **3.1.2 Summer distributions**

The temperature and salinity in the BS and YS in summer were relatively high, and high Chl *a* concentrations were concentrated in coastal waters (Fig. 3). The Chl *a* concentrations in the seawater during summer were $0.10-4.74 \mu g$ L^{-1} with an average of $1.60 \pm 1.19 \mu g L^{-1}$. Station B43 near the Yellow River estuary and Laizhou Bay had the highest Chl *a* concentration, which may have been due to the abundance of nutrients (nitrate: $5.85 \mu mol L^{-1}$, silicate: $17 \mu mol$ L^{-1}) carried by nearby rivers or coastal currents (Figs. 3 and S2). Low salinities and high nitrate and Chl *a* concentrations occurred at Stations H32, H34, and H35 in the northeast of the Yangtze River Estuary and at Stations B66 and B68 near the Laizhou Bay and Yellow River Estuary (Figs. 3 and S2). 177 The concentrations of COS, DMS, and CS_2 in the surface water of the BS and YS during summer were 0.32–0.61, 178 1.31–18.12, and 0.01–0.65 nmol L⁻¹, with mean values of 0.44 \pm 0.06, 5.43 \pm 3.60, and 0.26 \pm 0.15 nmol L⁻¹, 179 respectively (Fig. 3). The mean concentrations of Chl a, COS, DMS, and CS₂ were 0.3-, 0.8-, 2.1-, and 2.7-fold higher 180 in summer than in spring. High COS concentrations were observed at stations B38 and B54 in the BS during summer. 181 In addition, COS had a high concentration at station H25 in the central part of the southern YS, close to a location 182 with a high CS₂ concentration (Fig. 3). High DMS concentrations were common in the northern BS and were generally 183 coincident with high Chl a levels. However, high Chl a and DMS concentrations were found in the coastal waters of 184 the Yangtze River Estuary due to the Changjiang diluted water. In addition, the DMS concentration was high at station 185 H12 (Fig. 3). There were high CS_2 concentrations in the northeastern area of the Yangtze River estuary (Fig. 3).

186 **3.2 Depth distributions of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in seawater**

187 **3.2.1 Depth distributions in spring**

The temperature and Chl *a* decreased from the surface to the bottom seawater (Fig. 4). The mean concentrations of Chl *a*, COS, DMS, and CS₂ were 4.4-, 4.1-, 4.9-, and 7.9-fold higher at the surface (~ 4 m depth) than at greater depths (> 60 m) (Fig. 4). Consistent with the Chl *a* distribution, the depth distribution of DMS in the seawater decreased from the euphotic zone to the bottom seawater (Fig. 4). High COS concentrations occurred in the surface seawater and decreased with the depth, and the lowest concentrations occurred in the bottom waters. CS₂ exhibited depth gradients at most stations during spring, with higher concentrations at the surface, except for station H15 where the CS₂ concentrations were high in the bottom seawater.

195 **3.2.2 Depth distributions in summer**

The YSCWM affected the depth distributions in summer in the 35 N transect. Substantial temperature differences occurred between the surface and bottom seawater in summer, and stratification in the water bodies was observed (Fig. 5). A distinct thermocline existed at a depth of 20 m, indicating the formation of the YSCWM (Fig. 5). All high Chl *a* concentrations in the surveyed area of the BS and YS during summer occurred in the euphotic zone, and the highest concentrations occurred in waters at depths of 10–20 m (Fig. 5). The mean Chl *a* concentrations were 4.4-fold higher at depths of 10–20 m than at depths > 60 m. The depth distribution of DMS in seawater during summer decreased from the surface to the bottom seawater (Fig. 5). A significant depth gradient in the COS and CS₂ concentrations 203 occurred at most stations, exhibiting decreases with the increasing depth. The mean concentrations of COS, DMS, and 204 CS_2 were 11.0-, 7.6-, and 10.5-fold higher at the surface (~ 3 m) than at depths > 60 m. However, the COS 205 concentration was high in the bottom waters of station H16 (0.465 nmol L⁻¹) (Fig. 5). The mean concentrations of Chl 206 *a*, COS, DMS, and CS₂ of all samples at different depths were 1.2-, 0.0-, 4.6-, and 1.0-fold higher or equal to those in 207 summer (1.34 µg L⁻¹, 0.20 nmol L⁻¹, 4.38 nmol L⁻¹, and 0.158 nmol L⁻¹, respectively) than in spring (0.61 µg L⁻¹, 0.20 208 nmol L⁻¹, 0.78 nmol L⁻¹, and 0.080 nmol L⁻¹, respectively).

209 **3.3 VSCs in the atmosphere**

210 3.3.1 Spring

211 The mixing ratios of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in the atmosphere overlying the BS and YS in spring were in the ranges of 212 255.9–620.2 pptv, 1.3–191.2 pptv, and 5.2–698.8 pptv (Figs. 6a-6c), and their mean mixing ratios were 345.6 ±79.2 pptv, 47.5 \pm 49.8 pptv, and 113.2 \pm 172.3 pptv, respectively. The decreasing order of the mean mixing ratios of the 213 214 three VSCs in the atmosphere during spring was $COS > CS_2 > DMS$. The highest mixing ratio of atmospheric COS 215 occurred at station B72 (Fig. 6a) near the northern Shandong Peninsula. The highest atmospheric DMS mixing ratio 216 was observed at station B08 (Fig. 6b). The DMS concentration in the seawater (Fig. 2) was not as high as that in the 217 atmosphere at station B49 (Fig. 6b). According to the 72 h backward trajectory map (Fig. S3), the air mass over station 218 B49 had migrated from the land to the ocean, passing through Beijing, Tianjin, and other densely populated areas. 219 The air mass over station B47 was slightly different from that of station B49, and the distance across the ocean was 220 shorter than that of B49 and passed over land (Fig. S3). The lowest atmospheric DMS mixing ratio was observed at 221 station B47 (Fig. 6b), probably due to the low DMS concentration in seawater (0.5 nmol L^{-1}) and the loss across the 222 land. The highest atmospheric DMS mixing ratio occurred at station B08 (Fig. 6b); high oceanic DMS concentrations 223 at or near stations where air masses were passing through (such as station H05) may be the reason (Figs. 2 and S3). In 224 addition, there were high mixing ratios of CS_2 at stations in the BS, such as B57, B60, and B72, and low mixing ratios 225 at stations B17 and B21 in the northern YS (Fig. 6c).

226 **3.3.2 Summer**

227 The mixing ratios of COS, DMS, and CS_2 in summer ranged from 394.6 to 850.1 pptv, from 10.3 to 464.3 pptv, and

from 15.3 to 672.7 pptv, with mean values of 563.8 \pm 168.9 pptv, 216.6 \pm 136.0 pptv, and 164.4 \pm 225.5 pptv,

respectively (Fig. 6d-6f). The order of the three VSCs in terms of the mean mixing ratios in the atmosphere during summer was $COS > DMS > CS_2$. The mean mixing ratios of atmospheric COS, DMS, and CS_2 were 0.6-, 3.6-, and 0.5-fold higher in summer than in spring. The three VSCs in the atmosphere over the BS and YS had similar spatial distributions. COS and DMS exhibited the highest mixing ratios at station B64 (Fig. 6d and 6e). The highest mixing ratio of CS_2 in summer appeared at station B49 near the shore, and the lowest one occurred far from shore at station H09 (Fig. 6f). The air masses over stations B49, B64, and H09 had migrated from the land, land, and ocean, respectively (Fig. S3). The distributions of CS_2 showed a decreasing trend from inshore to offshore (Fig. 6f).

236 **3.4 Relationships between environmental factors and COS, DMS, and CS₂ concentrations**

A significant correlation was found between the DMS and CS₂ concentrations in the surface seawater in spring (P < 0.05) and summer (P < 0.01) (Table 1). A positive correlation occurred between the COS and DOC concentrations in seawater (P < 0.05) and between the CS₂ and Chl *a* concentrations in seawater (P < 0.05) during summer (Table 1). There was a significant correlation between the atmospheric COS and CS₂ mixing ratios in spring and summer (P < 0.01, Table 1).

242 **3.5 Sea-to-air fluxes of VSCs**

243 **3.5.1 Spring**

244 The sea-to-air fluxes of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in spring were 0.03–1.59, 0.06–25.40, and 0.003–0.30 μ mol m⁻²d⁻¹, with averages of 0.50 ± 0.38 , 2.99 ± 4.24 , and 0.09 ± 0.08 µmol m⁻² d⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 7). The highest COS sea-to-air 245 246 flux was observed at station B36, which had a high wind speed (11.3 m s⁻¹). In comparison, the lowest COS sea-to-air 247 flux occurred at station B12, where the minimum wind speed occurred (1.5 m s⁻¹). The lowest sea-to-air fluxes of DMS and CS₂ occurred at stations H01 and B41 (Fig. 7), where the wind speeds were 0.4 m s⁻¹ and 2 m s⁻¹, respectively. 248 249 The highest DMS and CS₂ sea-to-air fluxes appeared at stations HS4 and B68, respectively, due to high wind speeds 250 and high DMS and CS₂ concentrations in seawater (Fig. 7). A significant correlation was found between the sea-to-251 air fluxes of COS, DMS, and CS₂ and the wind speeds (P < 0.01).

252 3.5.2 Summer

- 253 The sea-to-air fluxes of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in summer were 0.06-1.51, 0.10-25.44, and $0.02-0.99 \,\mu$ mol m⁻²d⁻¹, with averages of 0.60 ± 0.59 , 6.26 ± 6.27 , and $0.39 \pm 0.42 \mu mol m^{-2} d^{-1}$, respectively, (Fig. 8). The mean sea-to-air fluxes 254 255 of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in summer were 0.2-, 1.1-, and 3.3-fold higher than those in spring. Consistent with their order in seawater, the order of the sea-to-air fluxes of the VSCs was $DMS > COS > CS_2$. The lowest sea-to-air fluxes of 256 257 COS, DMS, and CS₂ in summer occurred at stations B64, B05, and B57, which had the low wind speeds of 1 m s⁻¹, 0.4 m s⁻¹, and 1.1 m s⁻¹, respectively and low seawater VSC concentrations. The highest sea-to-air flux of COS and 258 259 DMS occurred at stations B70 and H14, respectively, coinciding with high wind speeds and high COS and DMS 260 concentrations in seawater (Fig. 8). The maximum CS_2 sea-to-air flux appeared at station H09, where the concentration 261 of CS_2 in seawater was 0.31 nmol L⁻¹ (Fig. 8). A significant correlation was found between the sea-to-air fluxes of 262 COS, DMS, and CS₂ and the wind speeds (P < 0.05).
- 263 4 Discussion

4.1 Spatial and depth distributions and seasonal variations in VSCs in seawater

265 4.1.1 Spatial distributions of VSCs and the impact factors

266 The COS concentrations in this study were similar to those in six tidal European estuaries (Scheldt, Gironde, Rhine, 267 Elbe, Ems, and Loire) (0.22 nmol L^{-1}) (Sciare et al., 2002), the DMS concentrations were lower than previous observations in the BS and YS in autumn (3.92 nmol L^{-1}) (Yang et al., 2014), and the CS₂ concentrations were lower 268 than those in the coastal waters off the eastern coast of the United States (0.004–0.51 nmol L⁻¹) (Kim and Andreae, 269 270 1992). Besides, the VSC concentrations in the seawater of the BS and YS were significantly higher than those in 271 oceanic areas, such as the North Atlantic Ocean (Simó et al., 1997; Ulshöfer et al., 1995). Zepp and Andreae (1994) 272 also showed that the COS concentrations were 40-fold higher in nearshore waters than in the open sea. The higher 273 CDOM concentrations in the nearshore waters may be the reason for the difference (Gueguen et al., 2005). Our results 274 showed that the average COS, DMS, and CS₂ concentrations in the surface seawater of the BS and YS during summer 275 were higher than those in the Changjiang estuary and the adjacent East China Sea (Yu et al., 2022). The reasons may be different sea areas, temperatures, and industrial production. For example, rayon production is the main source of anthropogenic CS_2 (Campbell et al., 2015) in the northern cities of the BS.

278 Different production and consumption mechanisms resulted in different spatial distributions of COS, DMS, and 279 CS₂. DMS and DMSP concentrations are related to the composition and abundance of phytoplankton (Kurian et al., 280 2020; Naik et al., 2020; O'Brien et al., 2022; Yu et al., 2023). The highest DMS concentrations at station B21 in spring 281 coincided with high Chl a concentrations (Fig. 2). Low salinities (< 30) occurred at stations H25, H26, H34, H35, 282 B43, B66, and B68 due to river water discharge from the Yangtze River Estuary, Yellow River, and Laizhou Bay in 283 summer, consistent with the high nitrate, silicate, Chl a, and DMS concentrations (Figs. 3 and S2). High CS₂ 284 concentrations in the coastal waters of the Yellow River estuary and at stations H18, H19, and B30 in spring may be due to high CDOM carried by the YS coastal current and Yellow River and terrestrial input. The significant correlation 285 286 between the DMS and CS₂ concentrations in the surface seawater was consistent with the results of Ferek and Andreae 287 (1983) and Yu et al. (2022). DMS in seawater is primarily derived from the degradation of DMSP, which is released 288 from algal cell lysis (O'Brien et al., 2022). Moreover, the algae decay increased the CS₂ emission rate due to the 289 degradation of sulfur-containing amino acids (Wang et al., 2023). The commonality of their sources resulted in a high 290 correlation between the DMS and CS_2 concentrations in seawater. Xie et al. (1998) pointed out that CS_2 has a 291 photochemical production mechanism similar to that of COS. Both are primarily produced by photochemical reactions 292 of thiol-containing compounds, such as methyl mercaptan (MeSH) or glutathione, under the catalysis of CDOM. 293 Terrestrial CDOM has higher photochemical reactivity and is more conducive to the photochemical generation of CS₂ 294 (Xie et al., 1998). COS production rates increase with an increase in the absorption coefficient at 350 nm (a₃₅₀) (Li et 295 al., 2022). Uher and Andreae (1997) showed that the COS concentration in seawater was significantly correlated with 296 the CDOM concentration. The positive correlation between the COS and DOC concentrations in seawater during 297 summer in this study suggested that COS was produced by the photochemical reaction of CDOM. COS and CS₂ are 298 formed via a reaction between cysteine and intermediates (e.g., CDOM', 'OH) (Chu et al., 2016; Du et al., 2017; 299 Modiri Gharehveran et al., 2020). Modiri Gharehveran and Shah (2021) showed that DOM could photochemically produce ³CDOM^{*}, ¹O₂, H₂O₂, and [•]OH by sunlight reacting with DMS, forming a sulfur- or carbon-centered radical 300 301 and subsequently COS and CS₂. Li et al. (2022) demonstrated that a high nitrate concentration resulted in a high COS 302 production rate. The high COS concentrations at stations H25 and B43 during summer coincided with high nitrate

concentrations (Figs. 3 and S2). However, no significant correlations were found between the COS and nitrate
 concentrations during summer (Tables 1).

305 4.1.2 Depth distributions of VSCs and impact factors

306 The depth distributions of DMS, COS and CS₂ showed similar patterns; their concentrations decreased with increasing 307 depth, in agreement with the results of Yu et al. (2022). Yu et al. (2023) also showed that the DMS concentrations in 308 the 35 N transect of the BS and YS in autumn decreased with an increase in seawater depth. The highest Chl a 309 concentrations during summer occurred at depths of 10-20 m. This result was attributed to the abundance of nutrients 310 and suitable water temperatures near the thermocline, benefitting phytoplankton growth. Yu et al. (2021) reported that 311 the highest DMSP-consuming bacterial abundance and DMSP lyase activity at the 35 N transect in the summer of 312 2013 occurred at depths of 10-15 m, consistent with our Chl a concentrations. DMS originates primarily from 313 phytoplankton; thus, its concentration trend is similar to that of Chl a. COS and CS₂ in seawater are predominantly 314 derived from photochemical reactions of organic sulfides catalyzed by CDOM; therefore, light is the limiting factor 315 for their production in seawater (Uher and Andreae, 1997). Ulshöfer et al. (1996) studied the depth distribution of 316 COS in seawater and found that high COS concentrations occurred in the euphotic zone. The high COS concentrations 317 in the surface seawater in spring in this study may be attributed to the photochemical production reactions of CS_2 and 318 COS in the euphotic zone because they are dependent on light (Flöck et al., 1997; Xie et al., 1998). The addition of 319 photosensitizers-natural DOM and commercial humic acid (HA) photo-catalyzed glutathione (GSH) and cysteine, and 320 enhanced the COS formation (Flöck et al., 1997). An excited triplet state CDOM (³CDOM^{*}) is produced by COS in 321 the presence of ultraviolet light (Li et al., 2022). Hobe et al. (2001) stated that the non-photochemical production of 322 COS is critical for the global budget. Consistent with Hobe et al. (2001), the high COS concentration in the bottom 323 waters at station H16 in summer may be related to the non-photochemical production of COS or release by underlying 324 sediments. Consistent with our CS_2 results, Xie et al. (1998) showed that the CS_2 concentrations decreased with the 325 depth, coinciding with solar radiation changes. Decreased photochemical reaction due to decreasing solar radiation 326 with water depth may explain the vertical distribution of CS_2 (Xie et al., 1998). Similar to the results of Xie et al. 327 (1998), the high CS_2 concentrations in the bottom seawater at station H15 in spring may be attributable to a 328 sedimentary source.

329 4.1.3 Seasonal and diurnal variations in VSCs in seawater

330 The VSCs in seawater exhibited significant seasonal differences (VSCs in summer > VSCs in spring) in this study. 331 Similar seasonal variations in COS were also observed by Xu et al. (2001), who found that the COS concentrations in 332 South Africa were higher in summer than in autumn. In addition, observations by Weiss et al. (1995) showed that the 333 COS concentrations in the seawater of the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans were very low in winter. Xu et al. (2001) 334 concluded that warmer seasons and high biological productivity resulted in enhanced COS concentrations. The 335 significant correlation between the oceanic COS concentrations and the temperatures in spring (Table 1) can prove 336 this. Xie et al. (1998) showed that the order of the CS_2 production rates was summer > spring> fall > winter. The 337 significant positive correlations between the CS_2 and Chl *a* concentrations during summer may explain the higher CS_2 338 concentration in seawater during summer than during spring in this study. Similar to the seasonal changes in Chl a, 339 the DMS concentrations were higher in summer than in spring. A higher phytoplankton biomass in summer has been 340 linked to higher DMS concentrations in summer than in autumn (Yang et al., 2015).

In addition, diurnal variations in the COS concentrations in seawater (high during the daytime and low at night) were reported (Xu et al., 2001; Ferek and Andreae, 1984). COS photoproduction via photochemical reactions is more rapid than hydrolysis during the daytime (Xu et al., 2001). The COS concentration depends on the light intensity (Ferek and Andreae, 1984). The maximum COS concentration occurred 3 h after the maximal global radiation intensity (COS: 15:00; global radiation intensity: 12:00) due to the balance between COS production and removal (Xu et al., 2001).

347 **4.2 VSCs in the atmosphere**

348 Similar to our results for the VSC mixing ratios in the atmosphere during summer, Kettle et al. (2001) found that the 349 COS mixing ratio in the Atlantic Ocean atmosphere was 552 pptv, while Cooper and Saltzman (1993) measured a 350 DMS mixing ratio of 118 pptv. In addition, the mixing ratios of atmospheric CS_2 in this study were similar to those in 351 a polluted atmosphere (Sandalls and Penkett, 1977) but much higher than those in unpolluted atmospheres, such as over the North Atlantic (Cooper and Saltzman, 1993). This finding indicated that industrial production and human 352 353 activities significantly affect the mixing ratios of CS_2 in the atmosphere. The mean VSC mixing ratios in the 354 atmosphere during summer in this study were all higher than those in the Changjiang estuary and the adjacent East 355 China Sea (Yu et al., 2022), and the Western Pacific during autumn (Xu et al., 2023).

356 No significant correlation was found between the oceanic VSC concentrations and atmospheric VSC mixing ratios 357 (Table 1). The reason may be that VSCs in the atmosphere were not only derived from sea-to-air diffusion but also 358 from anthropogenic sources, such as the soil, incomplete burning of biomass, and industrial releases (Blake et al., 359 2004; Chin and Davis, 1993; Whelan et al., 2018). Anthropogenic VSC emissions can be evaluated using isotope 360 measurements (Hattori et al., 2020). However, anthropogenic VSCs emissions were not evaluated in this study, and 361 isotope measurements will be obtained in future studies. The highest mixing ratios of atmospheric COS at station B72 362 and DMS at station B08 in spring coincided with anthropogenic emissions and high DMS concentration in seawater, respectively (Fig. 6). The CS_2 generated by industrial activities may have influenced the atmosphere at station B49, 363 364 which is near industrial cities, such as Tianjin. Chemical production and pharmaceutical industries are large emitters 365 of CS_2 into the atmosphere (Chin and Davis, 1993). CS_2 is the main precursor of COS in the atmosphere, and atmospheric CS₂ is oxidized to COS by radicals such as OH with a conversion efficiency of 0.81 (Chin and Davis, 366 367 1995). The significant correlation between atmospheric COS and CS_2 in our study (Table 1) demonstrated this.

368 The 72 h backward trajectories showed that air masses from different sources (land or ocean) and passing through different regions may have affected the atmospheric COS, DMS, and CS₂ mixing ratios. Jiang et al. (2021) stated that 369 370 different sources of air masses might have affected atmospheric DMS oxidation to MSA. The 72 h backward trajectory 371 over station B49 indicated that the high atmospheric DMS mixing ratio was attributable to human activities. The wind 372 direction is from continental Asia to the Pacific in spring. The backward trajectories of B49, B47, and B08 showed 373 that anthropogenic and oceanic DMS emissions accounted for the atmospheric DMS sources. The wind direction of 374 the air mass from the back trajectories of Miyakojima, Yokohama, and Otaru in Japan in winter (January to March) 375 observed by Hattori et al. (2020) was similar to ours in spring (March to April). Hattori et al. (2020) reported that the anthropogenic COS originated primarily from the Chinese industry and was transported by air to southern Japan. The 376 377 backward trajectory of H09 showed that the wind direction was from the south of Taiwan Island in summer, and 378 oceanic sources accounted for the atmospheric DMS. The air masses showed that the highest mixing ratios of COS 379 and DMS at station B64 in summer were caused by terrestrial sources from northeast China and oceanic sources in 380 the BS, respectively. The highest CS_2 mixing ratio in summer at station B49 may be due to the air mass transported 381 from the northeast, i.e., industrial cities in China.

382 4.3 Sea-to-air fluxes of VSCs

383 The spatial variability in the sea-to-air fluxes was consistent with changes in the wind speed because sea-to-air fluxes 384 depend on the transmission velocities of VSCs in seawater, which are related to the wind speed and viscosity of seawater. Significant correlations between the sea-to-air fluxes of VSCs and the wind speeds confirmed this. In 385 386 addition, the sea-to-air fluxes of all three VSCs were positive in spring and summer, indicating that the seawater was 387 a source of COS, DMS, and CS₂ to the atmosphere through sea-to-air diffusion. Although our findings agree with 388 those of Chin and Davis (1993) and Yu et al. (2022), who showed that the ocean was a major atmospheric source of 389 COS, they conflict with the results of Weiss et al. (1995) and Zhu et al. (2019), who found significant COS 390 undersaturation in some sea areas. Therefore, the ocean may be a sink of atmospheric COS in some areas or at certain 391 times of the year.

392 The model of Lennartz et al. (2021) was not used to evaluate the global sea-air fluxes of DMS, OCS, CS₂ in this 393 study due to a lack of parameters, i.e., the absorption coefficient of CDOM at 350 nm (a350), global radiation 394 (converted to UV radiation), and sea surface pressure. Therefore, the global sea-air fluxes of DMS were calculated 395 following Hulswar et al. (2022) with minor modifications. The global sea-air fluxes of OCS or CS_2 were evaluated by 396 the mean sea-air fluxes of OCS or CS_2 multiplied by the ocean area and the time. The global sea-air fluxes of DMS, OCS, and CS₂ were 21.3, 2.3, and 2.0 TgS year⁻¹, respectively. The global sea-air flux of DMS was similar to the 397 398 results of Hulswar et al. (2022) (27.1 TgS year⁻¹). In comparison, the global sea-air fluxes of OCS and CS₂ were 15.9-399 and 9.9-fold higher than the results of Lennartz et al. (2021). The different calculation method we used may 400 overestimate the global sea-air fluxes of OCS and CS₂. The another reason may be the high sea-air fluxes of OCS or 401 CS_2 in the BS and YS because marginal seas are significantly influenced by anthropogenic emissions (Watts, 2000). The sea-air fluxes of DMS, OCS, and CS₂ in the BS and YS were 28.2, 3.1, and 2.7 GgS year⁻¹, accounting for 0.10%, 402 403 2.23%, and 1.44% of global sea-air fluxes. The BS and YS comprise 0.13% of the global sea area; therefore, they 404 contribute considerably to global sea-air fluxes.

405 **5 Conclusions**

The COS, DMS, and CS₂ distributions in the surface seawater and marine atmosphere of the BS and YS during spring and summer exhibited significant spatial and seasonal variability. First, the COS, DMS, and CS₂ concentrations were higher in summer than in spring. Second, the COS, DMS, and CS₂ concentrations were the highest in the surface seawater and decreased with the depth. The positive correlation between the oceanic COS and DOC concentrations in summer suggested the photochemical production of COS from CDOM. In addition, the atmospheric VSC mixing ratios of the BS and YS exhibited substantial seasonal differences, with higher mixing ratios in summer than in spring. There was a significant correlation between the atmospheric COS and CS_2 mixing ratios, which may verify the COS production from oxidation of CS_2 . The backward trajectories showed that the atmospheric mixing ratios of VSCs were affected by anthropogenic and/or oceanic emissions. Finally, the high sea-to-air fluxes of COS, DMS, and CS_2 in the BS and YS indicated that marginal seas are major sources of atmospheric VSCs and may contribute considerably to the global sulfur budget.

417 Data availability. Data to support this article are available at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14971644.

418 *Author contributions.* All authors were involved in the writing of the paper and approved the final submitted paper.

419 YJ and YL were major contributors to the study's conception, data analysis and drafting of the paper. HZ, LJG and

420 LQ contributed significantly to writing-original draft. YGP contributed to writing-reviewing, and editing.

421 *Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

- 422 *Acknowledgements.* We are grateful to the captain and crew of the R/V "*Dong Fang Hong 2*" for their help and 423 cooperation during the in situ investigation.
- 424 Financial support. This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41976038, 41876122),

425 and the National Key Research and Development Program (2016YFA0601301).

426 References

- 427 Andreae, M. O., and Crutzen, P. J.: Atmospheric aerosols: biogeochemical sources and role in atmospheric chemistry,
- 428 Science, 276 (5315), 1052–1058, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.276.5315.1052, 1997.
- 429 Aydin, M., Britten, G. L., Montzka, S. A., Buizert, C., Primeau, F. W., Petrenko, V. V., Battle, M. O., Nicewonger,
- 430 M. R., Patterson, J., Hmiel, B., and Saltzman, E. S.: Anthropogenic impacts on atmospheric carbonyl sulfide since
- the 19th century inferred from polar firn air and ice core measurements, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 125(16),
 e2020JD033074, https://doi.org/10.1002/essoar.10503126.1, 2020.
- 433 Blake, N. J., Streets, D. G., Woo, J.-H., Simpson, I. J., Green, J., Meinardi, S., Kita, K., Atlas, E., Fuelberg, H. E.,
- 434 Sachse, G., Avery, M. A., Vay, S. A., Talbot, R. W., Dibb, J. E., Bandy, A. R., Thornton, D. C., Rowland, F. S.,
- 435 and Blake, D. R.: Carbonyl sulfide and carbon disulfide: large-scale distributions over the western Pacific and
- 436 emissions from Asia during TRACE-P, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D15S05,

437 https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004259, 2004.

440

- Brown, A. S., van der Veen, A. M. H., Arrhenius, K., Murugan, A., Culleton, L. P., Ziel, P. R., and Li, J.: Sampling
 of gaseous sulfur-containing compounds at low concentrations with a review of best-practice methods for biogas
- 441 Br ühl, C., Lelieveld, J., Crutzen, P. J., and Tost, H.: The role of carbonyl sulphide as a source of stratospheric sulphate

and natural gas applications, Trac-Trends Anal. Chem., 64, 42–52, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2014.08.012, 2015.

- 442 aerosol and its impact on climate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(3), 1239–1253, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-1239443 2012, 2012.
- 444 Campbell, J. E., Carmichael, G. R., Chai T., Mena-Carrasco, M., Tang, Y., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J., Vay, S. A.,
- 445 Collatz, G. J., Baker, I., Berry, J. A., Montzka, S. A., Sweeney, C., Schnoor, J. L., and Stanier, C. O.: Photosynthetic
- 446 control of atmospheric carbonyl sulfide during the growing season, Science, 322, 1085–1088,
- 447 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1164015, 2008.
- Campbell, J. E., Whelan, M. E., Seibt U., Smith S. J., Berry, J. A., and Hilton, T. W.: Atmospheric carbonyl sulfide
 sources from anthropogenic activity: Implications for carbon cycle constraints, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 3004–3010,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL063445, 2015.
- 451 Charlson, R. J., Lovelock, J. E., Andreae, M. O., and Warren, S. G.: Oceanic phytoplankton, atmospheric sulphur,
- 452 cloud albedo and climate, Nature, 326, 655–661, https://doi.org/10.1038/326655a0, 1987.
- 453 Chen C.-T. A.: Chemical and physical fronts in the Bohai, Yellow and East China seas, J. Mar. Syst., 78(3), 394–410,
- 454 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2008.11.016, 2009.
- 455 Chen, Y., Wang, P., Shi, D., Ji, C.-X., Chen, R., Gao, X.-C., and Yang, G.-P.: Distribution and bioavailability of
- 456 dissolved and particulate organic matter in different water masses of the Southern Yellow Sea and East China Sea,
- 457 J. Marine Syst., 222, 103596, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2021.103596, 2021.
- 458 Chin, M., and Davis, D. D.: Global sources and sinks of OCS and CS₂ and their distributions, Global Biogeochem.
- 459 Cy., 7(2), 321–337, https://doi.org/10.1029/93GB00568, 1993.
- 460 Chin, M., and Davis, D. D.: A reanalysis of carbonyl sulfide as a source of stratospheric background sulfur aerosol, J.
- 461 Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 100(D5), 8993–9005, https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD00275, 1995.

- 462 Chu, C., Erickson, P. R., Lundeen, R. A., Stamatelatos, D., Alaimo, P. J., Latch D. E., and McNeill, K.: Photochemical
- and nonphotochemical transformations of cysteine with dissolved organic matter, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 6363–
 6373, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01291, 2016.
- Cline, J. D., and Bates, T. S.: Dimethyl sulfide in the Equatorial Pacific Ocean: a natural source of sulfur to the
 atmosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 10(10), 949–952, https://doi.org/10.1029/GL010i010p00949, 1983.
- 467 Cooper, D. J., and Saltzman, E. S.: Measurements of atmospheric dimethylsulfide, hydrogen sulfide, and carbon
 468 disulfide during GTE/CITE 3, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 98(D12), 23397–23409,
 469 https://doi.org/10.1029/92JD00218, 1993.
- 470 Crutzen, P. J.: The possible importance of CSO for the sulfate layer of the stratosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 3(2), 73–
 471 76, https://doi.org/10.1029/GL003i002p00073, 1976.
- 472 Curson, A. R. J., Liu, J., Bermejo Mart nez, A., Green, R. T., Chan, Y., Carrión, O., Williams, B. T., Zhang, S.-H.,
- Yang, G.-P., Bulman Page, P. C., Zhang, X.-H., and Todd, J. D.: Dimethylsulfoniopropionate biosynthesis in marine
 bacteria and identification of the key gene in this process, Nat. Microbiol., 2: 17009,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.9, 2017.
- Dacey, J. W. H., Wakeham, S. G., and Howes, B. L.: Henry's law constants for dimethylsulfide in freshwater and
 seawater, Geophys. Res. Lett., 11, 991–994, https://doi.org/10.1029/GL011i010p00991, 1984.
- 478 De Bruyn, W. J., Swartz, E., Hu, J. H., Shorter, J. A., Davidovits, P., Worsnop, D. R., Zahniser, M. S., and Kolb, C.
- 479 E.: Henry's law solubilities and Šetchenow coefficients for biogenic reduced sulfur species obtained from gas-liquid
- 480 uptake measurements, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 100, 7245–7251, https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD00217, 1995.
- 481 Du, Q., Mu, Y., Zhang, C., Liu, J., Zhang, Y., and Liu, C.: Photochemical production of carbonyl sulfide, carbon 482 disulfide and dimethyl sulfide in a lake water. J. Environ. Sci., 51. 146-156, 483 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2016.08.006, 2017.
- Ferek, R. J., and Andreae, M. O.: Photochemical production of carbonyl sulphide in marine surface waters. Nature,
 307, 148–150, https://doi.org/10.1038/307148a0, 1984.
- 486 Ferek, R. J., and Andreae, M. O.: The supersaturation of carbonyl sulfide in surface waters of the Pacific Ocean off
- 487 Peru, Geophys. Res. Lett., 10(5), 393–396, https://doi.org/10.1029/GL010I005P00393, 1983.

- 488 Flöck, O. R., Andreae, M. O., and Dräger, M.: Environmentally relevant precursors of carbonyl sulfide in aquatic
- 489 systems, Mar. Chem., 59(1-2), 71–85, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(97)00012-1, 1997.
- 490 Guéguen, C., Guo, L., and Tanaka, N.: Distributions and characteristics of colored dissolved organic matter in the
- 491 Western Arctic Ocean, Cont. Shelf Res., 25, 1195–1207, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2005.01.005, 2005.
- Hattori, S., Kamezaki, K., and Yoshida, N.: Constraining the atmospheric OCS budget from sulfur isotopes, Proc.
- 493 Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 117(34), 20447–20452, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2007260117, 2020.
- Hobe, M. V., Cutter, G. A., Kettle, A. J., and Andreae, M. O.: Dark production: a significant source of oceanic COS,
 J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans., 106(C12), 31217–31226, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JC000567, 2001.
- 496 Hulswar, S., Sim ó, R., Gal í M., Bell, T. G., Lana, A., Inamdar, S., Halloran, P. R., Manville, G., and Mahajan, A. S.:
- 497 Third revision of the global surface seawater dimethyl sulfide climatology (DMS-Rev3), Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 14,
- 498 2963–2987, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-14-2963-2022, 2022.
- Inomata, Y., Hayashi, M., Osada, K., and Iwasaka, Y.: Spatial distributions of volatile sulfur compounds in surface
 seawater and overlying atmosphere in the northwestern Pacific Ocean, eastern Indian Ocean, and Southern Ocean,
 Global Biogeochem. Cy., 20(2), GB2022, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002518, 2006.
- Jiang, B., Xie, Z., Qiu, Y., Wang, L., Yue, F., Kang, H., Yu, X., and Wu, X.: Modification of the conversion of
 dimethylsulfide to methanesulfonic acid by anthropogenic pollution as revealed by long-term observations, ACS
- 504 Earth Space Chem., 5, 2839–2845, https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.1c00222, 2021.
- Keller, M. D., Bellows, W. K., and Guillard, R. R. L.: Dimethyl sulfide production in marine phytoplankton, in:
 Biogenic sulfur in the environment, edited by: Millero, F. J., Hershey, J. P., Saltzman, E. S., and Cooper, W. J.,
- 507 American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 167–182, http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bk-1989-0393.ch011, 1989.
- Kettle, A. J., Kuhn, U., von Hobe, M., Kesselmeier, J., and Andreae, M. O.: Global budget of atmospheric carbonyl
 sulfide: temporal and spatial variations of the dominant sources and sinks, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D22), 4658,
- 510 https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002187, 2002.
- Kettle, A. J., Rhee, T. S., von Hobe, M., Poulton, A., Aiken, J., and Andreae, M. O.: Assessing the flux of different
 volatile sulfur gases from the ocean to the atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106(D11), 12193–12209,
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900630, 2001.

- Kim, K.-H., and Andreae, M. O.: Carbon disulfide in estuarine, coastal and oceanic environments, Mar. Chem., 40,
 179–197, https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(92)90022-3, 1992.
- 516 Kurian S, Chndrasekhararao A. V., Vidya P. J., Shenoy D. M., Gauns M., Uskaikar, H., and Aparna, S. G.: Role of
- 517 oceanic fronts in enhancing phytoplankton biomass in the eastern Arabian Sea during an oligotrophic period, Mar.
- 518 Environ. Res., 160, 105023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.105023, 2020.
- Lennartz, S. T., Gauss, M., von Hobe, M., and Marandino, C. A.: Monthly resolved modelled oceanic emissions of
 carbonyl sulphide and carbon disulphide for the period 2000–2019, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 13, 2095–2110,
- 521 https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-2095-2021, 2021.
- 522 Lennartz, S. T., Marandino, C. A., von Hobe, M., Andreae, M. O., Aranami, K., Atlas, E., Berkelhammer, M.,
- 523 Bingemer, H., Booge, D., Cutter, G., Cortes, P., Kremser, S., Law, C. S., Marriner, A., Sim & R., Quack, B., Uher,
- 524 G., Xie, H., and Xu, X.: Marine carbonyl sulfide (OCS) and carbon disulfide (CS₂): a compilation of measurements
- in seawater and the marine boundary layer, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12, 591–609, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12591-2020, 2020.
- 527 Lennartz, S. T., Marandino, C. A., von Hobe, M., Cortes, P., Quack, B., Simo, R., Booge, D., Pozzer, A., Steinhoff,
- 528 T., Arevalo-Martinez, D. L., Kloss, C., Bracher, A., Röttgers, R., Atlas, E., and Krüger, K.: Direct oceanic emissions
- 529 unlikely to account for the missing source of atmospheric carbonyl sulfide, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 385–402,
- 530 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-385-2017, 2017.
- Li, J.-L., Zhai, X., and Du, L.: Effect of nitrate on the photochemical production of carbonyl sulfide from surface
 seawater, Geophys. Res. Lett., 49, e2021GL097051, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL097051, 2022.
- Liss, P. S., and Slater, P. G.: Flux of gases across the air-sea interface, Nature, 247(5438), 181–184,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/247181a0, 1974.
- 535 Maignan, F., Abadie, C., Remaud, M., Kooijmans, L. M. J., Kohonen, K.-M., Commane, R., Wehr, R., Campbell, J.
- 536 E., Belviso, S., Montzka, S. A., Raoult, N., Seibt, U., Shiga, Y. P., Vuichard, N., Whelan, M. E., and Peylin, P.:
- 537 Carbonyl sulfide: comparing a mechanistic representation of the vegetation uptake in a land surface model and the
- leaf relative uptake approach, Biogeosciences, 18, 2917–2955, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-2917-2021, 2021.
- 539 Modiri Gharehveran, M., Hain E, Blaney L, and Shah, A. D.: Influence of dissolved organic matter on carbonyl sulfide
- 540 and carbon disulfide formation from cysteine during sunlight photolysis, Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts, 22,

- 541 1852–1864, https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EM00219D, 2020.
- Modiri Gharehveran, M., and Shah, A. D.: Influence of dissolved organic matter on carbonyl sulfide and carbon
 disulfide formation from dimethyl sulfide during sunlight photolysis, Water Environ. Res., 93, 2982–2997,
- 544 https://doi.org/10.1002/wer.1650, 2021.
- 545 Naik, B. R., Gauns, M., Bepari, K., Uskaikar, H., and Shenoy, D. M.: Variation in phytoplankton community and its
- 546 implication to dimethylsulphide production at a coastal station off Goa, India, Mar. Environ. Res., 157, 104926,
- 547 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.104926, 2020.
- 548 Nightingale, P. D., Malin, G., Law, C. S., Watson, A. J., Liss, P. S., Liddicoat, M. I., Boutin, J., and Upstill-Goddard,

549 R. C.: In situ evaluation of air-sea gas exchange parameterizations using novel conservative and volatile tracers,

550 Global Biogeochem. Cy., 14(1), 373–387, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GB900091, 2000.

- O'Brien, J., McParland, E. L., Bramucci, A. R., Ostrowski, M., Siboni, N., Ingleton, T., Brown, M. V., Levine, N. M.,
 Laverock, B., Petrou, K., and Seymour, J.: The microbiological drivers of temporally dynamic
 dimethylsulfoniopropionate cycling processes in Australian coastal shelf waters, Front. Microbiol., 13, 894026,
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.894026, 2022.
- 555 Parsons, T. R., Maita, Y., and Lalli, C. M.: A manual of chemical and biological methods for seawater analysis, in
- 556 Fluorometric determination of chlorophylls, edited by: Parsons, T. R., Maita, Y., and Lalli, C. M., Great Britain,
- 557 CA: Pergamon Press, 107–109, 1984.
- 558 Reisch, C. R., Stoudemayer, M. J., Varaljay, V. A., Amster, I. J., Moran, M. A., and Whitman, W. B.: Novel pathway
- for assimilation of dimethylsulphoniopropionate widespread in marine bacteria, Nature, 473(7346), 208–211,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10078, 2011.
- Remaud, M., Chevallier, F., Maignan, F., Belviso, S., Berchet, A., Parouffe, A., Abadie, C., Bacour, C., Lennartz, S.,
 and Peylin, P.: Plant gross primary production, plant respiration and carbonyl sulfide emissions over the globe
- inferred by atmospheric inverse modelling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22(4), 2525–2552, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-
- 564 22-2525-2022, 2022.
- Sandalls, F. J., and Penkett, S. A.: Measurements of carbonyl sulphide and carbon disulphide in the atmosphere, Atmos.
 Environ., 11(2), 197–199, https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(77)90227-X, 1977.
- 567 Sander, R.: Compilation of Henry's law constants (version 4.0) for water as solvent, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 4399–

- 568 4981, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-4399-2015, 2015.
- 569 Schäfer, H., Myronova, N., and Boden, R.: Microbial degradation of dimethylsulphide and related C1-sulphur
- 570 compounds: organisms and pathways controlling fluxes of sulphur in the biosphere, J. Exp. Bot., 61(2), 315–334,
- 571 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erp355, 2010.
- 572 Schlitzer, R.: Ocean Data View, odv.awi.de, 2023.
- 573 Sciare, J., Mihalopoulos, N., and Nguyen, B. C.: Spatial and temporal variability of dissolved sulfur compounds in
- 574 European estuaries, Biogeochemistry, 59(1–2), 121–141, http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1015539725017, 2002.
- Simó, R., Grimalt, J. O., and Albaigés, J.: Dissolved dimethylsulphide, dimethylsulphoniopropionate and
 dimethylsulphoxide in western Mediterranean waters, Deep-Sea Res. Pt II, 44(3-4), 929–950,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(96)00099-9, 1997.
- Staubes, R., and Georgii, H.-W.: Biogenic sulfur compounds in seawater and the atmosphere of the Antarctic region,
 Tellus B, 45(2), 127–137, https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v45i2.15587, 1993.
- 580 Tian, X., Hu, M., and Ma, Q.: Determination of volatile sulfur compounds in the atmosphere and surface seawater in 581 Qingdao, Acta Scien. Circum., 25(1), 30-33. (in Chinese with English abstract), 582 https://doi.org/10.13671/j.hjkxxb.2005.01.005, 2005.
- Uher, G., and Andreae, M. O.: Photochemical production of carbonyl sulfide in North Sea water: a process study,
 Limnol. Oceanogr., 42(3), 432–442, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1997.42.3.0432, 1997.
- Ulshöfer, V. S., Flöck, O. R., Uher, G., and Andreae, M. O.: Photochemical production and air-sea exchange of
 carbonyl sulfide in the eastern Mediterranean Sea, Mar. Chem., 53(53), 25–39, https://doi.org/10.1016/03044203(96)00010-2, 1996.
- Ulshöfer, V. S., Uher, G., and Andreae, M. O.: Evidence for a winter sink of atmospheric carbonyl sulfide in the
 northeast Atlantic Ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett., 22(19), 2601–2604, https://doi.org/10.1029/95GL02656, 1995.
- 590 Wang, J., Chu, Y.-X., Tian, G., and He, R.: Estimation of sulfur fate and contribution to VSC emissions from lakes
- 591 during algae decay, Sci. Total Environ., 856, 159193, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159193, 2023.
- 592 Watts, S. F.: The mass budgets of carbonyl sulfide, dimethyl sulfide, carbon disulfide and hydrogen sulfide, Atmos.
- 593 Environ., 34, pp. 761-779, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00342-8, 2000.

- 594 Weiss, P. S., Johnson, J. E., Gammon, R. H., and Bates, T. S.: Reevaluation of the open ocean source of carbonyl
- sulfide to the atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 100(D11), 23083–23092, https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD01926,
 1995.
- 597 Whelan, M. E., Lennartz, S. T., Gimeno, T. E., Wehr, R., Wohlfahrt, G., Wang, Y., Kooijmans, L. M. J., Hilton, T.
- 598 W., Belviso, S., Peylin, P., Commane, R., Sun, W., Chen, H., Kuai, L., Mammarella, I., Maseyk, K., Berkelhammer,
- 599 M., Li, K.-F., Yakir, D., Zumkehr, A., Katayama, Y., Og é, J., Spielmann, F. M., Kitz, F., Rastogi, B., Kesselmeier,
- 500 J., Marshall, J., Erkkilä, K.-M., Wingate, L., Meredith, L. K., He, W., Bunk, R., Launois, T., Vesala, T., Schmidt,
- J. A., Fichot, C. G., Seibt, U., Saleska, S., Saltzman, E. S., Montzka, S. A., Berry, J. A., and Campbell, J. E.:
- 602 Reviews and syntheses: carbonyl sulfide as a multi-scale tracer for carbon and water cycles, Biogeosciences, 15,
- 603 3625–3657, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-3625-2018, 2018.
- Xie, H., Moore, R. M., and Miller, W. L.: Photochemical production of carbon disulphide in seawater, J. Geophys.
 Res.-Oceans, 103(C3), 5635–5644, https://doi.org/10.1029/97JC02885, 1998.
- Xu, F., Zhang, H.-H., Yan, S.-B., Sun, M.-X., Wu, J.-W., and Yang, G.-P.: Biogeochemical controls on climatically
 active gases and atmospheric sulfate aerosols in the western Pacific, Environ. Res., 220, 115211,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2023.115211, 2023.
- Ku, X., Bingemer, H. G., Georgii, H.-W., Schmidt, U., and Bartell, U.: Measurements of carbonyl sulfide (COS) in
- surface seawater and marine air, and estimates of the air-sea flux from observations during two Atlantic cruises, J.
- 611 Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106(D4), 3491–3502, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900571, 2001.
- 612 Yang, G.-P., Jing, W.-W., Kang, Z.-Q., Zhang, H.-H., and Song, G.-S.: Spatial variations of dimethylsulfide and
- dimethylsulfoniopropionate in the surface microlayer and in the subsurface waters of the South China Sea during
- 614 springtime, Mar. Environ. Res., 65, 85–97, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2007.09.002, 2008.
- 615 Yang, G.-P., Song, Y.-Z., Zhang, H.-H., Li, C.-X., and Wu, G.-W.: Seasonal variation and biogeochemical cycling of
- 616 dimethylsulfide (DMS) and dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) in the Yellow Sea and Bohai Sea, J. Geophys.
- 617 Res.-Oceans, 119(12), 8897–8915, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010373, 2014.
- 618 Yang, G.-P., Zhang, S.-H., Zhang, H.-H., Yang, J., and Liu, C.-Y.: Distribution of biogenic sulfur in the Bohai Sea
- and northern Yellow Sea and its contribution to atmospheric sulfate aerosol in the late fall, Mar. Chem., 169, 23–
- 620 32, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2014.12.008, 2015.

- 621 Yu, J., Zhang, S.-H., Tian, J.-Y., Zhang, Z.-Y., Zhao, L.-J., Xu, R., Yang, G.-P., Lai, J.-G., Wang, X.-D.: Distribution
- and dimethylsulfoniopropionate degradation of dimethylsulfoniopropionate-consuming bacteria in the Yellow Sea
- 623 and East China Sea, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 126, e2021JC017679, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JC017679, 2021.
- 424 Yu, J., Sun, M.-X., and Yang, G.-P.: Occurrence and emissions of volatile sulfur compounds in the Changjiang estuary
- and the adjacent East China Sea, Mar. Chem., 238, 104062, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2021.104062, 2022.
- 426 Yu, J., Wang, S., Lai, J.-G., Tian, J.-Y., Zhang, H.-Q., Yang, G.-P., and Chen, R.: The effect of zooplankton on the
- 627 distributions of dimethyl sulfide and dimethyl sulfoniopropionate in the Bohai and Yellow Seas, J. Geophys. Res.-
- 628 Oceans, 128, e2022JC019030, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JC019030, 2023.
- Zepp, R. G., and Andreae, M. O.: Factors affecting the photochemical production of carbonyl sulfide in seawater,
 Geophys. Res. Lett., 21(25), 2813–2816, https://doi.org/10.1029/94GL03083, 1994.
- 631 Zhang, Y., Tan, D.-D., He, Z., Yu, J., Yang, G.-P.: Dimethylated sulfur, methane and aerobic methane production in 632 Yellow Sea 128. e2023JC019736, the and Bohai Sea. J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 633 https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JC019736, 2023.
- Zhang, S.-H., Yang, G.-P., Zhang, H.-H., and Yang, J.: Spatial variation of biogenic sulfur in the south Yellow Sea
 and the East China Sea during summer and its contribution to atmospheric sulfate aerosol, Sci. Total Environ., 488489, 157–167, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.04.074, 2014.
- Zhao, Y., Schlundt, C., Booge, D., and Bange, H. W.: A decade of dimethyl sulfide (DMS),
 dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) measurements in the southwestern Baltic Sea,
 Biogeosciences, 18, 2161–2179, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-2161-2021, 2021.
- Zhu, R., Yang, G.-P., and Zhang, H.-H.: Temporal and spatial distributions of carbonyl sulfide, dimethyl sulfide, and
 carbon disulfide in seawater and marine atmosphere of the Changjiang Estuary and its adjacent East China Sea,
- 642 Limnol. Oceanogr., 64, 632–649, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11065, 2019.
- 643 Zhu, R., Zhang, H.-H., and Yang, G.-P.: Determination of volatile sulfur compounds in seawater and atmosphere,
- 644 Chin. J. Anal. Chem., 45(10), 1504–1510, (in Chinese with English abstract), https://doi.org/10.11895/j.issn.0253645 3820.170291, 2017.
- 546 Zumkehr, A., Hilton, T. W., Whelan, M., Smith, S., Kuai, L., Worden, J., and Campbell, J. E.: Global gridded

- 647 anthropogenic emissions inventory of carbonyl sulfide, Atmos. Environ., 183, 11-19,
- 648 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.03.063, 2018.

650 Figure captions

- **Fig. 1.** Sampling stations in the Yellow Sea and Bohai Sea during (a) spring and (b) summer (▲ indicates stations
- 652 where atmospheric samples were collected). Yellow Sea Cold Water Mass: YSCWM. The maps were plotted with
- 653 Ocean Data View (ODV software) (Schlitzer, 2023).
- **Fig. 2.** Spatial distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl *a*, COS, DMS, CS₂, and DOC in the surface water of the BS
- and YS in spring.
- Fig. 3. Spatial distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl *a*, COS, DMS, CS₂, and DOC in the surface water of the BS
 and YS in summer.
- **Fig. 4.** Depth distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl *a*, COS, DMS, and CS₂ in seawater in spring.
- **Fig. 5.** Depth distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl a, COS, DMS, and CS₂ in seawater in summer.
- Fig. 6. Spatial distributions of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in the atmosphere over the BS and YS in (a)-(c) spring and (d)-(f)
- 661 summer. (Unit: pptv)
- Fig. 7. Variations in sea-to-air fluxes of VSCs, VSCs concentrations in seawater, and wind speeds in the BS and YS
 in spring 2018.
- 664 Fig. 8. Variations in sea-to-air fluxes of VSCs, VSCs concentrations in seawater, and wind speeds in the BS and YS
- 665 in summer 2018.
- 666



where atmospheric samples were collected). Yellow Sea Cold Water Mass: YSCWM. The maps were plotted with
Ocean Data View (ODV software) (Schlitzer, 2023).



Fig. 2. Spatial distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl *a*, COS, DMS, CS₂, and DOC in the surface water of the BS

675

and YS in spring.



Fig. 3. Spatial distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl *a*, COS, DMS, CS₂, and DOC in the surface water of the BS

678

and YS in summer.



Fig. 4. Depth distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl a, COS, DMS, and CS₂ in seawater in spring.



Fig. 5. Depth distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl a, COS, DMS, and CS₂ in seawater in summer.



685

Fig. 6. Spatial distributions of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in the atmosphere over the BS and YS in (a)-(c) spring and (d)-(f)

687 summer. (Unit: pptv)







Fig. 7. Variations in sea-to-air fluxes of VSCs, VSCs concentrations in seawater, and wind speeds in the BS and YS

691

in spring 2018.









Fig. 8. Variations in sea-to-air fluxes of VSCs, VSCs concentrations in seawater, and wind speeds in the BS and YS

in summer 2018.

Spring	COS (seawater)	DMS (seawater)	CS ₂ (seawater)	COS (atmosphere)	DMS (atmosphere)	CS ₂ (atmosphere)
COS (seawater)	1					
DMS (seawater)	0.021	1				
CS ₂ (seawater)	0.193	0.281*	1			
COS (atmosphere)	-0.246	-0.355	-0.182	1		
DMS (atmosphere)	0.296	0.04	0.274	0.117	1	
CS ₂ (atmosphere)	-0.201	-0.264	-0.213	0.554**	-0.013	1
Chl a	0.132	0.044	-0.095	0.033	0.179	-0.141
Temperature	0.286*	0.082	0.319**	-0.257	0.179	-0.372
Salinity	0.11	-0.009	-0.109	0.24	0.019	0.236
Silicate	-0.103	-0.252*	-0.029	0.351	-0.008	0.54
Phosphate	-0.084	-0.205	-0.353**	0.621	-0.128	0.36
Nitrate	-0.299*	-0.293*	-0.226	0.075	-0.096	0.044
DOC	-0.146	-0.153	-0.073	0.037	-0.122	0.008
Summer	COS (seawater)	DMS (seawater)	CS ₂ (seawater)	COS (atmosphere)	DMS (atmosphere)	CS ₂ (atmosphere)
COS (seawater)	1					
COS (seawater) DMS (seawater)	1 0.009	1				
COS (seawater) DMS (seawater) CS ₂ (seawater)	1 0.009 -0.007	1 0.424**	1			
COS (seawater) DMS (seawater) CS ₂ (seawater) COS (atmosphere)	1 0.009 -0.007 0.358	1 0.424** 0.472	1 0.184	1		
COS (seawater) DMS (seawater) CS ₂ (seawater) COS (atmosphere) DMS (atmosphere)	1 0.009 -0.007 0.358 -0.266	1 0.424** 0.472 0.404	1 0.184 0.31	1 0.451	1	
COS (seawater) DMS (seawater) CS ₂ (seawater) COS (atmosphere) DMS (atmosphere) CS ₂ (atmosphere)	1 0.009 -0.007 0.358 -0.266 0.452	1 0.424** 0.472 0.404 0.229	1 0.184 0.31 0.424	1 0.451 0.855**	1 0.251	1
COS (seawater) DMS (seawater) CS ₂ (seawater) COS (atmosphere) DMS (atmosphere) CS ₂ (atmosphere) Chl <i>a</i>	1 0.009 -0.007 0.358 -0.266 0.452 -0.059	1 0.424** 0.472 0.404 0.229 0.25	1 0.184 0.31 0.424 0.274*	1 0.451 0.855** 0.461	1 0.251 -0.294	1 0.565
COS (seawater) DMS (seawater) CS ₂ (seawater) COS (atmosphere) DMS (atmosphere) CS ₂ (atmosphere) Chl <i>a</i> Temperature	1 0.009 -0.007 0.358 -0.266 0.452 -0.059 0.088	1 0.424** 0.472 0.404 0.229 0.25 -0.076	1 0.184 0.31 0.424 0.274* -0.143	1 0.451 0.855** 0.461 -0.097	1 0.251 -0.294 -0.349	1 0.565 0.072
COS (seawater) DMS (seawater) CS ₂ (seawater) COS (atmosphere) DMS (atmosphere) CS ₂ (atmosphere) CS ₂ (atmosphere) Chl <i>a</i> Temperature Salinity	1 0.009 -0.007 0.358 -0.266 0.452 -0.059 0.088 0.128	1 0.424** 0.472 0.404 0.229 0.25 -0.076 -0.172	1 0.184 0.31 0.424 0.274* -0.143 -0.143	1 0.451 0.855** 0.461 -0.097 -0.12	1 0.251 -0.294 -0.349 -0.352	1 0.565 0.072 -0.044
COS (seawater) DMS (seawater) CS ₂ (seawater) COS (atmosphere) DMS (atmosphere) CS ₂ (atmosphere) Chl <i>a</i> Temperature Salinity Silicate	1 0.009 -0.007 0.358 -0.266 0.452 -0.059 0.088 0.128 0.114	1 0.424** 0.472 0.404 0.229 0.25 -0.076 -0.172 0.122	1 0.184 0.31 0.424 0.274* -0.143 -0.143 0.276*	1 0.451 0.855** 0.461 -0.097 -0.12 0.312	1 0.251 -0.294 -0.349 -0.352 -0.548	1 0.565 0.072 -0.044 0.377
COS (seawater) DMS (seawater) CS ₂ (seawater) COS (atmosphere) DMS (atmosphere) CS ₂ (atmosphere) CS ₂ (atmosphere) Chl <i>a</i> Temperature Salinity Silicate Phosphate	1 0.009 -0.007 0.358 -0.266 0.452 -0.059 0.088 0.128 0.114 0.104	1 0.424** 0.472 0.404 0.229 0.25 -0.076 -0.172 0.122 -0.169	1 0.184 0.31 0.424 0.274* -0.143 -0.143 0.276* -0.245	1 0.451 0.855** 0.461 -0.097 -0.12 0.312 -0.49	1 0.251 -0.294 -0.349 -0.352 -0.548 -0.539	1 0.565 0.072 -0.044 0.377 -0.482
COS (seawater) DMS (seawater) CS ₂ (seawater) COS (atmosphere) DMS (atmosphere) CS ₂ (atmosphere) Chl <i>a</i> Temperature Salinity Silicate Phosphate Nitrate	1 0.009 -0.007 0.358 -0.266 0.452 -0.059 0.088 0.128 0.114 0.104 -0.095	1 0.424** 0.472 0.404 0.229 0.25 -0.076 -0.172 0.122 -0.169 0.145	1 0.184 0.31 0.424 0.274* -0.143 -0.143 0.276* -0.245 0.057	1 0.451 0.855** 0.461 -0.097 -0.12 0.312 -0.49 -0.008	1 0.251 -0.294 -0.349 -0.352 -0.548 -0.539 0.224	1 0.565 0.072 -0.044 0.377 -0.482 -0.155

Table 1 Correlation analyses of the three VSCs and environmental factors in the BS and YS in spring and summer.

701 * indicates P < 0.05, ** indicates P < 0.01.