



¹ Spatial and seasonal variability in volatile organic sulfur

² compounds in seawater and overlying atmosphere of the Bohai

3 and Yellow Seas

4 Juan Yu^{1,2,3,†}, Lei Yu^{1,†}, Zhen He^{1,2,3}, Gui-Peng Yang^{1,2,3,*}, Jing-Guang Lai¹, Qian Liu¹

5 ¹Frontiers Science Center for Deep Ocean Multispheres and Earth System, Key Laboratory of Marine Chemistry

6 Theory and Technology, Ministry of Education, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266100, China.

²Laboratory for Marine Ecology and Environmental Science, Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and
 Technology, Qingdao 266237, China.

9 ³Institute of Marine Chemistry, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266100, China.

¹¹ Abstract. To better understand the production and loss processes of volatile organic sulfur compounds (VSCs) and 12 their influence factors, VSCs including carbon disulfide (CS₂), dimethyl sulfide (DMS), and carbonyl sulfide (COS) 13 were surveyed in the seawater and atmosphere of the Bohai and Yellow Seas during spring and summer of 2018. The 14 concentration ranges of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in the surface seawater during spring were 0.14–0.42, 0.41–7.74, and 0.01-0.18 nmol L⁻¹, respectively, and 0.32-0.61, 1.31-18.12, and 0.01-0.65 nmol L⁻¹ during summer. COS and CS₂ 15 16 had high concentrations in coastal waters, which may be due to elevated photochemical production rates. High DMS 17 concentrations occurred near the Yellow River, Laizhou Bay, and Yangtze River Estuary coinciding with high nitrate 18 and Chl a concentrations due to river discharge during summer. The depth distributions of COS, DMS, and CS₂ were 19 characterized by high concentrations in the surface seawater that decreased with depth. The mixing ratios of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in the atmosphere were 255.9–620.2 pptv, 1.3–191.2 pptv, and 5.2–698.8 pptv during spring, and 20

^{*} Corresponding author at: Key Laboratory of Marine Chemistry Theory and Technology, Ministry of Education, Ocean University of China, 238 Songling Road, Qingdao 266100, China. E-mail address: gpyang@mail.ouc.edu.cn (G.-P. Yang) [†]These authors contributed equally to this work and should be considered co-first authors.





- 21 394.6–850.1 pptv, 10.3–464.3 pptv, and 15.3–672.7 pptv in summer. The mean oceanic/atmospheric concentrations
- of COS, DMS, and CS₂ were 1.8/1.7-, 3.1/4.7-, and 3.7/1.6-fold higher in summer than spring due to the high Chl *a*
- 23 concentrations in summer. The mean sea-to-air fluxes of COS, DMS, and CS₂ were 1.3-, 2.1-, and 3.0-fold higher in
- 24 summer than spring. The sea-to-air fluxes of VSCs indicated that these marginal seas are major sources of VSCs in
- 25 the atmosphere. The results provide help with a better understanding of the control of VSCs distributions in marginal
- 26 seas.
- 27 Keywords: Volatile organic sulfur compound; Carbonyl sulfide; Dimethyl sulfide; Carbon disulfide





28 1 Introduction

29 Carbonyl sulfide (COS), dimethyl sulfide (DMS), and carbon disulfide (CS₂) are three major volatile organic sulfur 30 compounds (VSCs) in seawater and the marine atmosphere and their biogeochemical cycles are closely related to 31 climate change (Charlson et al., 1987; Li et al., 2022). VSCs are important in the formation of atmospheric cloud 32 condensation nuclei (CCN) and sulfate aerosols, which have significant implications in the global radiation budget 33 and ozone concentration (Andreae and Crutzen, 1997). COS can be converted to sulfate aerosols in the stratosphere 34 and affect Earth's radiation balance (Crutzen, 1976). Atmospheric DMS can react with OH and NO3 radicals to form SO₂ and methane sulfonic acid (MSA, CH₃SO₃H), and then form non-sea salt sulfates (nss-SO₄²⁻), all of which 35 36 contribute to acid deposition and CCN (Charlson et al., 1987). CS₂ is the key precursor of COS and 82% COS is the 37 oxidation production of CS₂ (Lennartz et al., 2020). Hence, interest in the distribution, production, and chemistry of 38 VSCs has grown in recent years (Lennartz et al., 2017; Lennartz et al., 2020; Li et al., 2022; Remaud et al., 2022; 39 Whelan et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2022). Some researches indicates that the ocean is the source of VSCs 40 (Chin and Davis, 1993; Yu et al., 2022). Opposite results also were reported that the ocean is the sink of VSCs (Zhu 41 et al., 2019).

42 COS, with an average tropospheric residence time of 2-7 years, is the most abundant and widely distributed reduced 43 sulfur trace gas in the atmosphere (Brihl et al., 2012). Atmospheric COS is mainly directly originated from oceanic 44 emissions and indirectly from oxidations of dimethylsulfide (DMS) and carbon disulfide (CS₂) (Kettle et al., 2002; 45 Lennartz et al., 2020). Uptake by terrestrial vegetation and soil is the most important sink of atmospheric COS (Kettle 46 et al., 2002; Maignan et al., 2021). Therefore, COS is recognized as a proxy for estimating the photosynthesis rate in 47 ecosystems (Campbell et al., 2008). DMS is the predominant biogenic sulfur originated from 48 dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) which is mainly produced by bacteria and phytoplankton (Curson et al., 2017; 49 Keller et al., 1989). Community composition of phytoplankton and bacteria can affect the net DMSP concentrations 50 via synthesis and degradation (O'Brien et al., 2022, Zhao et al., 2021). DMS entering the atmosphere via sea-to-air 51 exchange accounts for about 50% of all natural sulfur release (Cline and Bates, 1983). Photochemical reaction with 52 dissolved organic matter is a principal source of CS₂ in seawater (Xie et al., 1998). The anthropogenic CS₂ source 53 were rayon and/or aluminum production, fuel combustion, oil refineries, and coal combustion (Campbell et al., 2015; 54 Zumkehr et al., 2018). Two different approaches (ice core (Aydin et al., 2020) and isotope measurements (Hattori et





- al., 2020)) were used to evaluate anthropogenic COS emissions. The latter study and a modeling approach used by Remaud et al. (2022) all found the gradient of anthropogenic COS in East Asia. Anthropogenic COS is initially emitted as CS_2 and oxidized by OH to COS in the atmosphere (Kettle et al., 2002). The production and loss of VSCs involves in phytoplankton and bacteria synthesis, zooplankton grazing, bacterial degradation, sea-air diffusion, photo-oxidation and/or photochemical reaction (Sch äfer et al., 2010). The mechanisms of production and loss processes still need to be declared.
- 61 Yu et al. (2022) investigated the distributions of COS, DMS, and CS₂ and sea-to-air flux in Changjiang Estuary and 62 its adjacent East China Sea and showed that the oceanic VSCs (COS, DMS, and CS₂) are sources of atmospheric 63 VSCs. Different from Yu et al. (2022), Zhu et al. (2019) showed that the ocean is a sink for COS. The YS and BS are 64 semi-enclosed seas of the northwestern Pacific Ocean, the hydrological characteristics of this area are greatly affected the BS coastal current, YS coastal current, and YS warm current (Chen, 2009), which may alter the VSCs distributions 65 via exchanges of water mass. In addition, the Yellow Sea Cold Water Mass (YSCWM), a seasonal hydrological 66 67 phenomenon, located in the 35 N transect forms, peaks, and disappears in spring, summer, and after September, respectively (Zhang et al., 2014). In this study, we investigate the spatial distributions and seasonal variability of COS, 68 69 DMS, and CS₂ in the seawater and overlying atmosphere of the Yellow Sea (YS) and Bohai Sea (BS) and the effects 70 of YSCWM on VSCs distributions to better understand the production and loss processes of VSCs.

71 2 Materials and methods

72 2.1 Sampling

73 Two cruises were conducted aboard the R/V "Dong Fang Hong 2" in the YS and BS from 28 March to 16 April 74 (spring) 2018 and from 24 July to 8 August (summer) 2018. The sampling stations are shown in Fig. 1. Seawater 75 samples were collected using 12 L Niskin bottles mounted on a Seabird 911 CTD (conductivity-temperature-depth) 76 rosette. The seawater was slowly siphoned from the Niskin bottles into 100 mL glass jaw bottles (CNW Technologies 77 GmbH, GER) via a translucent silicone tube. The seawater was allowed to overflow the sampling bottle by twice its 78 volume before the silicone tube was gently removed and the bottle was immediately sealed with an aluminum cap 79 containing a Teflon-lined butyl rubber septum without any headspace. After seawater the samples were collected, the 80 concentrations of oceanic VSCs were immediately measured on the ship. The environmental and hydrological





81 parameters such as seawater temperature and salinity were measured simultaneously by the CTD equipment.

Atmospheric samples were collected using cleaned and vacuumed SilcoCan canisters (Restek, USA) placed where atmospheric VSCs were collected in the windward direction approximately 10 m above the ocean. The stability of VSCs in this kind of fused silica-lined canister has been verified by previous studies (Brown et al., 2015). Brown et al. (2015) verified the stability of VSCs during storage for 16 d at room temperature. The atmospheric samples were analyzed immediately after being brought back to the laboratory.

87 2.2 Analytical procedures

88 VSCs in seawater were measured using a gas chromatographer (GC) (Agilent 7890A, USA) with a flame photometric 89 detector (FPD) and atmospheric VSCs were measured using a GC equipped with mass spectrometric detector (GC-90 MSD) (Agilent 7890A/5975C, USA) according to the methods of Inomata et al. (2006) and Staubes and Georgii 91 (1993), respectively. A CP-Sil 5 CB column (30 m × 0.32 mm × 4.0 µm, Agilent Technologies, USA) was used to 92 separate the three VSCs. A standard curve was performed by measuring different concentrations of standard VSC 93 gases (COS, DMS, and CS₂ mixing ratios were 1 pptv, Beijing Minnick Analytical Instrument Equipment Center). 94 Qualitative analysis was conducted via comparison with the retention times of the standards, while quantitative 95 analysis was conducted by diluting the VSC standard gases to specific concentrations using the 2202A dynamic 96 dilution meter (Nutech, USA) and then injecting different volumes of the diluted VSC standards into the GC using a 97 gas-tight syringe. The VSC standard gases were used to provide a range of different concentrations and peak areas. Based on the similarities between the concentrations and the peak areas of the standards and samples, the 98 99 concentrations of VSCs in the samples were obtained.

The concentrations of VSCs in seawater were determined using a cryogenic purge-and-trap system coupled with the GC-FPD. A 30 mL seawater sample was injected into glass bubbling chamber by a gas tight syringe (SGE, Australia). The VSCs were stripped from the seawater with high purity N₂ at a rate of 60 mL min⁻¹ for 15 min and passed through an anhydrous CaCl₂-filled drying tube and a 100% degreasing cotton-filled 1/4 Teflon tube to remove water and oxides. After that, the VSCs gases were passed through a six-way valve and trapped in a loop of a 1/16 Teflon capture tube immersed in liquid nitrogen. When the VSCs were all purged from the seawater, the capture tube was removed from the liquid nitrogen and placed it into hot water (> 90 °C) to desorb the trapped VSCs. At the same





107	time, VSCs gases were carried into the GC by N_2 and then detected by the FPD. The column temperature was
108	programmed with an initial temperature of 55 °C, followed by an increase to 100 °C at 10 °C min ⁻¹ , and a final increase
109	to 150 °C at 15 °C min ⁻¹ . The inlet and detector temperatures were 150 °C and 160 °C, respectively, and the split ratio
110	of pure N_2 was 10:1. The detection limit of the method for VSCs was 2.5~3.5 ng and the measurement precision was
111	3.2%~5.1% (Zhu et al., 2017).

112 The concentrations of atmospheric VSCs were analyzed using an Entech 7100 pre-concentrator (Nutech, USA) 113 coupled with the GC-MSD. A sampled SilcoCan canister was connected to the pre-concentrator and 200 mL of gas 114 was drawn into the preconcentration system with a three-stage cold trap. The pre-concentrator parameters of three-115 stage cold trap are shown in Table S1. The first trap removes the N_2 , O_2 , and $H_2O(g)$ from the atmospheric samples 116 and the second trap eliminates the CO₂. As for the third trap, it is necessary to obtain the better peak shapes and 117 separation of the three VSCs. The temperature programming of the column was the same as for the seawater samples. 118 In addition, the temperature of the quadrupole and ion source were 110 °C and 230 °C, respectively, and the electron 119 ionization source was run at 70 ev. The carrier gas had a split ratio of 10:1 and a flow rate of 2.0 mL min⁻¹. Qualitative 120 and quantitative analysis of the VSCs was tested using the full scan mode (SCAN) and the selected ion monitoring 121 mode (SIM). The detection limit of the method for VSCs was 0.1~0.5 pptv (Zhu et al., 2017).

122 2.3 Calculation of VSCs sea-to-air flux

To estimate the VSCs volatilization from ocean to atmosphere, the sea-to-air fluxes of the VSCs were calculated according to the model established by Liss and Slater (1974) with the following equation: $F = k_w(c_w - c_g/H)$, where *F* is the sea-to-air flux of VSCs (µmol m⁻² d⁻¹); k_w is the VSCs transfer velocity (m d⁻¹); c_w and c_g are the equilibrium concentrations of VSCs in the surface seawater and the atmosphere (nmol L⁻¹), respectively; and *H* is the Henry's constant calculated using the formula found in Table S2, which is converted to a dimensionless constant using the conversion formula constructed by Sander (2015). k_w was calculated by the N2000 method (Nightingale et al., 2000), which has been internationally accepted, and the specific calculation introduced by Kettle et al. (2001).

130 2.4 Nitrate, Chl a and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) measurement

- 131 Seawater were filtered through Whatman GF/F filters (0.7 µm), and then the filtered water samples were stored at
- 132 -20 °C before nitrate analysis. A continuous flow analyzer (Skalar Analytical, Breda, Netherlands) were used to





- 133 measure nitrate concentrations. Chl a were extracted with 90% acetone for 24 h at 4 °C in darkness. Chl a
- 134 concentrations were determined following the method of Parsons et al. (1984) with a fluorescence
- 135 spectrophotometer (F-4500, Hitachi). Nitrate and Chl *a* data were provided by open research cruise supported by
- 136 NSFC Shiptime Sharing Project.
- 137 DOC concentrations were measured according to the method of Chen et al. (2021). Seawater were filtered through
- 138 Whatman GF/F filters (precombusted at 500 $^{\circ}$ C for 4 h), and the filtrate was stored at -20 $^{\circ}$ C for DOC analyses. The
- 139 DOC concentrations were determined by a total organic carbon analyzer (Shimadzu TOC-VCPH) after adding two
- 140 drops of 12 mol/L HCl in the DOC samples.

141 **2.5 Data analysis**

- 142 To better understand the sources and sinks of the three VSCs and the factors affecting their distributions, SPSS 24.0
- 143 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to analyze the relationships between environmental factors and the
- 144 three VSCs in seawater and atmosphere during spring and summer.

145 3 Results

146 3.1 Spatial distributions of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in surface seawater

147 3.1.1 Spring distributions

- 148 The temperature in the surface seawater showed a decreasing trend from south to north and the salinity increased from
- 149 the inshore to offshore sites due to the influences of the Yellow Sea warm current, Yalu River, and Yellow River (Fig.
- 150 2). The Chl *a* concentrations in the surface water of the BS and YS in the spring ranged between 0.17–4.45 μ g L⁻¹
- 151 with an average of $1.19 \pm 0.96 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$. The highest Chl *a* concentration (4.45 $\ \mu g \ L^{-1}$) occurred at station B39 in the
- 152 Bohai (Fig. 2), which may be related to the enhanced phytoplankton growth from the abundance of nutrients due to
- 153 the seawater exchange between the BS and YS. In addition, high Chl *a* concentrations were observed in the central
- area of the southern YS.
- The concentrations of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in the surface seawater of the BS and YS during spring ranged between 0.14–0.42, 0.41–7.74, and 0.01–0.18 nmol L⁻¹, respectively, with mean values of 0.24 \pm 0.06, 1.74 \pm 1.61, and 0.07 \pm





157 0.05 nmol L⁻¹ (Fig. 2). The high COS concentrations observed during the spring all occurred in the YS (Fig. 2). The highest COS concentration appeared at station H21 and coincided with a high Chl a concentration. At the same time, 158 the two areas with high concentrations of COS in the central waters of the southern YS partially coincided with areas 159 160 with high Chl a concentrations. High DMS concentrations existed in the coastal waters of the southern Shandong 161 Peninsula as well as at station B21 in the central part of the northern YS. The distribution of CS2 in seawater exhibited 162 a decreasing trend from inshore to offshore (Fig. 2), which was similar with that of DOC. High CS₂ concentrations 163 appeared at stations H18 and H19 in the coastal waters of YSCWM (Fig. 2). There was also a high CS₂ concentration 164 at station B30 near the shore of the Liaodong Peninsula (Fig. 2).

165 3.1.2 Summer distributions

The temperature and salinity in the BS and YS in summer were relatively high and the high Chl *a* concentrations were concentrated in coastal waters (Fig. 3). The Chl *a* concentrations in the seawater during summer ranged between 0.10-4.74 µg L⁻¹ with an average of 1.60 ± 1.19 µg L⁻¹. Station B43 near the Yellow River estuary had a high Chl *a* concentration (Fig. 3), which may have been due to the abundance of nutrients carried by nearby rivers or coastal currents. There were low salinities, high nitrate and Chl *a* concentrations at Stations H32, H34, and H35 in the northeast of Yangtze River Estuary, and Stations B66 and B68 near the Laizhou Bay and Yellow River Estuary (Fig. 3 and S1).

173 The concentrations of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in the surface water of the BS and YS during summer were 0.32–0.61, 174 1.31-18.12, and 0.01-0.65 nmol L⁻¹, respectively, with mean values of 0.44 ± 0.06 , 5.43 ± 3.60 , and 0.26 ± 0.15 nmol L⁻¹ 175 L^{-1} (Fig. 3). The mean concentrations of Chl a, COS, DMS, and CS₂ were 1.3-, 1.8-, 3.1-, and 3.7-fold higher in 176 summer than spring. High COS concentrations were observed at stations B38 and B54 in the BS during summer. In 177 addition, COS had a high concentration at station H25 in the central part of the southern YS, which was near where 178 CS_2 also exhibited a high concentration (Fig. 3). High DMS concentrations were common in the northern BS, and 179 were generally coincident with high Chl a levels. However, high Chl a and DMS concentrations were found in the 180 coastal waters of the Yangtze River Estuary due to the Changjiang Diluted Water. In addition, the DMS concentration 181 was high at station H12 (Fig. 3). There were high CS₂ concentrations in the northeastern area of the Yangtze River 182 estuary (Fig. 3).





183 **3.2 Depth distributions of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in seawater**

184 **3.2.1 Depth distributions in spring**

There was a clear tendency for temperature and Chl *a* to gradually decreased from the surface to the bottom seawater (Fig. 4). The mean concentrations of Chl *a*, COS, DMS, and CS₂ were 5.4-, 5.1-, 5.9-, and 8.9-fold higher in the surface at about 4 m compared to > 60 m (Fig. 4). Consistent with the Chl *a* distribution, the depth distribution of DMS in the seawater decreased from the euphotic zone to the bottom seawater (Fig. 4). The high COS concentrations occurred in the surface seawater and decreased with depth, and the lowest concentrations occurred in the bottom waters. CS₂ exhibited obvious depth gradients at most stations during spring, with higher concentrations in the surface except for station H15, where the CS₂ concentrations were high in the bottom seawater.

192 **3.2.2 Depth distributions in summer**

193 In the 35 N section there was clear influence of the YSCWM in summer. There were obvious temperature differences 194 between the surface seawater and bottom seawater in summer, and stratification in the water bodies was observed (Fig. 195 5). A distinct thermocline emerged at a depth of 20 m, indicating that the YSCWM had formed (Fig. 5). The high Chl 196 a concentrations in the surveyed area of the BS and YS during summer all occurred in the euphotic zone, and the highest concentrations occurred in the waters at depths of 10-20 m (Fig. 5). The mean Chl a concentrations were 5.4-197 198 fold higher at depths of 10-20 m compared to > 60 m. The depth distribution of DMS in seawater during summer decreased from the surface to the bottom seawater (Fig. 5). COS and CS₂ showed a significant depth gradient at most 199 200 stations and decreased with the increasing depth. The mean concentrations of COS, DMS, and CS2 were 17.5-, 21.6-, 201 and 21.0-fold higher in the surface at about 3 m compared to > 60 m. However, in the bottom waters of station H16, 202 COS had a relatively high concentration (Fig. 5). The mean concentrations of Chl a, COS, DMS, and CS2 at different 203 depths were 41.7-, 18.9-, 107-, and 37.6-fold higher in summer than spring.

204 **3.3 VSCs in the atmosphere**

205 3.3.1 Spring

- The concentrations of COS, DMS, and CS_2 in the atmosphere overlying the BS and YS in spring were in the range of
- 207 255.9-620.2 pptv, 1.3-191.2 pptv, and 5.2-698.8 pptv, respectively (Fig. 6a) and their mean concentrations were





208 345.6 ± 79.2 pptv, 47.5 ± 49.8 pptv, and 113.2 ± 172.3 pptv. The decreasing order of the three VSCs mean 209 concentrations in the atmosphere during spring was $COS > CS_2 > DMS$.

210 3.3.2 Summer

- 211 The concentrations of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in summer ranged from 394.6 to 850.1 pptv, from 10.3 to 464.3 pptv, and
- from 15.3 to 672.7 pptv, respectively, with mean values of 570.7 \pm 179.2 pptv, 223.8 \pm 143.6 pptv, and 180.3 \pm 235.6
- 213 pptv (Fig. 6b), and the order of the three VSCs in terms of mean concentrations in the atmosphere during summer was
- $214 \qquad COS > DMS > CS_2.$

215 **3.3.3 Seasonal variability of VSCs in the atmosphere**

216 In spring, the highest concentration of atmospheric COS appeared at station B72 (Fig. 6a), which was located near the 217 northern Shandong Peninsula. The highest atmospheric DMS concentration appeared at station B08 (Fig. 6a). At 218 station B49, the DMS concentration in the seawater (Fig. 2) was not as high as that in the atmosphere (Fig. 6a). 219 According to the 72 h backward trajectory map (Fig. S2), the air mass over station B49 had migrated from the land to 220 the ocean, passing through Beijing, Tianjin, and other densely populated areas. The lowest atmospheric DMS 221 concentration appeared at station B47 (Fig. 6a), probably due to the low DMS concentration in seawater (0.5 nmol L-222 ¹). In addition, there were high concentrations of CS_2 at stations in the BS, such as B57, B60, and B72, and low 223 concentrations at stations B17 and B21 in the northern YS (Fig. 6a).

The mean concentrations of atmospheric COS, DMS, and CS₂ were 1.7-, 4.7-, and 1.6-fold higher in summer than spring. In summer, the three VSCs in the atmosphere over the BS and YS had similar spatial distributions. At station B64, both COS and DMS exhibited their highest concentrations (Fig. 6b). The highest concentration of CS₂ in summer appeared at station B49 near the shore, and the lowest concentration appeared far from shore at station H09 (Fig. 6b). The distributions of CS₂ showed an obvious decreasing trend from inshore to offshore (Fig. 6b).

229 3.4 Relationships among COS, DMS, and CS₂ and environment factors

A positive correlation was found between COS and DOC in seawater during summer (P > 0.05) (Table S4). There was no significant correlation among the three VSCs in seawater in spring (P > 0.05), but there was a significant correlation between COS and CS₂ in the atmosphere (P < 0.01) (Table S3). A significant correlation was found





- 233 between DMS and CS₂ in surface seawater in summer (P < 0.01, Table S4). In addition, there was a significant
- correlation between atmospheric COS and CS₂ in summer (P < 0.01, Table S4). The correlations between the three
- 235 oceanic VSCs and atmospheric VSCs were not significant (P > 0.05, Table S3 and S4).

236 3.5 Sea-to-air fluxes of VSCs

- 237 3.5.1 Spring
- 238 The sea-to-air fluxes of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in spring were 0.01–1.59, 0.06–25.40, and 0.002–0.42 μ mol m⁻² d⁻¹,
- respectively, with averages of 0.46 ± 0.35 , 2.99 ± 4.24 , and $0.10 \pm 0.10 \mu mol m^{-2} d^{-1}$ (Fig. 7). The highest COS sea-
- to-air flux appeared at station B36, which had a high wind speed (11.3 m s⁻¹). In comparison, the lowest COS sea-to-
- 241 air flux occurred at station H01, where the minimum wind speed occurred (0.4 m s^{-1}) ; the lowest sea-to-air fluxes of
- 242 DMS and CS₂ also occurred at station H01 (Fig. 7). The highest DMS and CS₂ sea-to-air fluxes appeared at stations
- 243 HS4 and B70, respectively, due to high wind speeds and DMS and CS₂ concentrations (Fig. 7).

244 3.5.2 Summer

245 The sea-to-air fluxes of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in summer were 0.02-2.47, 0.10-25.44, and 0.003-1.72 µmol m⁻² d⁻¹, respectively, with the averages of 0.59 ± 0.52 , 6.26 ± 6.27 , and $0.30 \pm 0.32 \mu$ mol m⁻² d⁻¹ (Fig. 8). The mean sea-to-air 246 247 fluxes of COS, DMS, and CS₂ were 1.3-, 2.1-, and 3.0-fold higher in summer than spring. Consistent with their order in seawater, the order of the sea-to-air fluxes of the VSCs was DMS > COS > CS₂. The lowest sea-to-air fluxes of 248 249 VSCs in summer occurred at station B05, which had the lowest wind speed (0.4 m s⁻¹) and low seawater concentrations 250 of VSCs. The highest sea-to-air flux of COS and DMS appeared at stations B23 and H14, respectively, coinciding 251 with high wind speeds and high COS and DMS concentrations in seawater (Fig. 8). The maximum CS₂ sea-to-air flux 252 appeared at station H28, where the concentration of CS₂ in seawater was high (Fig. 8).

253 4 Discussion

254 4.1 Spatial and depth distributions of three VSCs in seawater

255 **4.1.1 Spatial distributions**





256 The COS concentrations in this study were similar to those in six tidal European estuaries (Scheldt, Gironde, Rhine, Elbe, Ems, and Loire) (0.22 nmol L⁻¹) (Sciare et al., 2002), the DMS concentrations were lower than previous 257 observations in the BS and YS in autumn (3.92 nmol L⁻¹) (Yang et al., 2014), and the CS₂ concentrations were lower 258 259 than those in the coastal waters off the eastern coast of the United States (0.004-0.51 nmol L⁻¹) (Kim and Andreae, 260 1992). Besides, the VSC concentrations in the seawater of the BS and YS were significantly higher than those in the 261 oceanic areas such as the North Atlantic Ocean (Simóet al., 1997; Ulshöfer et al., 1995). Zepp and Andreae (1994) 262 also showed that COS concentrations in nearshore waters were 40-fold higher than those in the open sea waters. The 263 higher CDOM concentrations in the nearshore waters may be the reason of the difference (Gueguen et al., 2005).

264 Different production and consumption mechanisms led to the spatial distributions of COS, DMS, and CS₂ being 265 different. DMS and DMSP concentrations are related to the composition and abundance of phytoplankton (Kurian et 266 al., 2020; Naik et al., 2020; O'Brien et al., 2022). The highest DMS concentrations at station B21 in spring coincided with high Chl a concentrations (Fig. 2). Low salinities (< 30) appeared at stations of H25, H26, H34, H35, B43, B66, 267 268 and B68 due to river water discharge from Yangtze River Estuary, Yellow River and Laizhou Bay were consistent with high nitrate, Chl a, and DMS concentrations (Fig. 3 and S1). High CS2 concentrations in the coastal waters of 269 270 the Yellow River estuary and at stations H18, H19, B30 in spring may be due to high CDOM carried by the Yellow 271 Sea coastal current and Yellow River and terrestrial input. The emergence of high-CS₂-value areas in the YS may 272 have been because the Yangtze River experiences a flood season during summer and large amounts of sediment are 273 carried into the sea, making the coastal waters of the South Yellow Sea (especially the surface seawater) more turbid, 274 while the open seas were affected less by the Yangtze River and were more transparent. With higher transparency, 275 light-induced reactions in water are more likely to occur. The significant correlation between DMS and CS₂ in surface 276 seawater in summer was consistent with the results of Ferek and Andreae (1983) and Yu et al. (2022). DMS in seawater 277 is mainly derived from the degradation of DMSP, which is released from algal cell lysis (O'Brien et al., 2022). 278 Moreover, the algae decay increased CS₂ emission rate for the reason of degradation of sulfur-containing amino acids 279 (Wang et al., 2023). The commonality of their sources resulted in a good correlation between DMS and CS2 in seawater. 280 Xie et al. (1998) pointed out that CS_2 has a photochemical production mechanism that is similar to that of COS, and 281 both are primarily produced by photochemical reactions of thiol-containing compounds such as methyl mercaptan 282 (MeSH) or glutathione under the catalysis of CDOM. Terrestrial CDOM had higher photochemical reactivity and was 283 more conducive to the photochemical generation of CS₂ (Xie et al., 1998). COS and CS₂ are formed via reaction





284 between cysteine and intermediates (e.g., CDOM', 'OH) (Chu et al., 2016; Du et al., 2017; Modiri Gharehveran et al., 2020). Modiri Gharehveran and Shah (2021) proposed that DOM can photochemically produce ³CDOM^{*}, ¹O₂, H₂O₂, 285 and 'OH via sunlight, which react with DMS and form a sulfur- or - carbon centered radical and then COS and CS2. 286 287 High COS concentrations in spring may be due to the influence of the sediment input from the Yellow River into the 288 BS, which was more turbid and not conducive to the photochemical production of COS. Li et al. (2022) demonstrated 289 that high nitrate concentration played a key role in high COS production. Two high COS concentrations at stations 290 H25 and B43 during summer coincided with high nitrate concentration. However, no significant correlations were 291 found between COS and nitrate concentrations for all the stations during both spring and summer (Tables S3 and S4). 292 Zepp and Andreae (1994) showed that the photochemical production rates of COS were higher in coastal waters than 293 in the open sea. Fl öck et al. (1997) also believed that the photochemical production of COS benefited from the catalysis 294 by CDOM. Uher and Andreae (1997) showed that COS in seawater was significantly correlated with CDOM, 295 indicating that organic compounds affect the concentrations and distributions of COS in seawater. The positive 296 correlation between COS and DOC in seawater during summer was consistent with Uher and Andreae (1997). 297 The VSCs in seawater exhibited significant seasonal differences between spring and summer in this study. Xu et al.

298 (2001) observed that the COS concentrations in the R/V Polarstern of South Africa in summer were higher than those 299 in autumn. In addition, observations by Weiss et al. (1995) showed that the COS concentrations in the seawater of the 300 Atlantic and Pacific Oceans were very low in winter. In this study, the concentrations of the three VSCs in seawater 301 during summer were higher than those in spring, which may be due to the higher Chl a in summer (mean: $1.60 \ \mu g L^{-1}$ 302 ¹) than in spring (mean: $1.19 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$). Similar to the seasonal changes in Chl *a*, DMS concentrations were higher in 303 summer than in spring. Indeed, higher phytoplankton biomass in summer has been linked to higher DMS 304 concentrations in summer than in autumn (Yang et al., 2015). Our results showed that the average concentrations of 305 COS, DMS, and CS₂ in the surface seawater of the BS and YS during summer were higher than those during spring, 306 and also higher than those in the Changjiang estuary and the adjacent East China Sea (Yu et al., 2022). Different sea 307 areas, temperature, and industry production may be the difference reasons, and in terms of the latter, the rayon 308 production which is the main source of anthropogenic CS_2 (Campbell et al., 2015) in the northern city of BS.

309 4.1.2 Depth distributions

310 DMS, COS and CS_2 showed similar patterns and decreased with the increasing depth, which agreed with the results





312 due to the abundance of nutrients and suitable water temperature near the thermocline, which benefitted phytoplankton 313 growth. DMS is mainly originated from phytoplankton and coincided with Chl a changing pattern. COS and CS₂ in seawater were mainly derived from photochemical reactions of organic sulfides catalyzed by CDOM, so light became 314 315 the limiting factor for their production in seawater (Uher and Andreae, 1997). Ulsh öfer et al. (1996) studied the depth 316 distribution of COS in seawater and found that the high concentrations of COS all appeared in the euphotic zone. High 317 COS concentrations in the surface seawater in spring in this study may be due to the fact that the photochemical 318 production reactions of CS_2 and COS mainly occurred in the euphotic zone because they are dependent on light (Flöck 319 et al., 1997; Xie et al., 1998). Hobe et al. (2001) argued that non-photochemical production of COS plays an important 320 role in its global budget. Consistent with Hobe et al. (2001), high COS concentration in the bottom waters of station 321 H16 in summer may be related to the non-photochemical production of COS or release by underlying sediments. High 322 CS_2 concentrations in the bottom seawater at station H15 in spring may be caused by release from the underlying 323 sediments. Jørgensen and Okholm-Hansen (1985) found that the release rate of VSCs (such as CS₂) in oxygen-324 containing surface seawater was usually 10 to 100 times lower than that in underlying sediments in a Danish estuary, 325 indicating that release from sediments is an important source of CS_2 . It has been shown that CS_2 can be produced by 326 anaerobic fermentation by bacteria and by reactions between H₂S and organic matter in pore water (and anoxic basins) 327 (Andreae, 1986). This hypothesis agreed with the results of Wakeham et al. (1987), where the concentration of CS_2 328 peaked (at about 20 nmol L-1) near the sediment-water interface.

329 4.2 VSCs in the atmosphere

330 Similar to our results for VSCs concentrations in the atmosphere during summer, Kettle et al. (2001) found that the 331 COS concentration in the Atlantic Ocean atmosphere was 552 pptv and Cooper and Saltzman (1993) measured a DMS 332 concentration of 118 pptv. In addition, the concentrations of atmospheric CS_2 in this study were similar to 333 concentrations of CS₂ observed in a polluted atmosphere (Sandalls and Penkett, 1977), but much higher than the CS₂ 334 concentration in unpolluted atmospheres such as over the North Atlantic (Cooper and Saltzman, 1993). This indicated 335 that industrial production and human activities had a significant impact on the concentration of CS_2 in the atmosphere. The mean VSCs concentrations in the atmosphere during summer in this study were all higher than those in the 336 337 Changjiang estuary and the adjacent East China Sea (Yu et al., 2022) due to different areas.





338 The difference in the order of atmospheric VSC concentrations between spring and summer was mainly due to the 339 high DMS concentrations in the surface seawater during summer. The concentrations of VSCs in the atmosphere 340 during summer were much higher than in spring, which may be attributed to anthropogenic activities and industrial 341 emissions. Chin and Davis (1993) showed that anthropogenic sources can significantly affect the concentration of 342 VSCs in the atmosphere. Anthropogenic VSCs emissions can be evaluated by using isotope measurements (Hattori et 343 al., 2020). Unfortunately, anthropogenic VSCs emissions were not evaluated in this study, and isotope measurements 344 will be further studied in the future. In addition, the seasonal changes in the COS, DMS, and CS₂ concentrations in 345 the atmosphere overlying the BS and YS were consistent with those in seawater. Therefore, the high concentrations 346 of atmospheric COS, DMS, and CS₂ during summer were likely associated with high VSCs concentrations in seawater, 347 which meant that sea-to-air diffusion was an important source of atmospheric VSCs. However, no significance was found between VSCs in the seawater and atmosphere, which may be because that VSCs in the atmosphere were not 348 349 only from sea-to-air diffusion, but also from complex sources such as soil, incomplete burning of biomass, and 350 industrial releases (Chin and Davis, 1993).

351 The distributions of atmospheric COS above the BS and YS were relatively consistent with respect to their 352 concentrations in seawater, which was related to the chemical inertness of COS in the atmosphere (Crutzen, 1976). In spring, the highest concentration of atmospheric COS at station B72 and DMS at station B08 may coincided with the 353 354 anthropogenic emissions and high DMS concentration in seawater, respectively (Fig. 6). The CS₂ generated by 355 industrial activities may influence the atmosphere at station B49 which is near industrial cities like Tianjin. Studies 356 have shown that anthropogenic input accounts for about 50% of the total CS2 in the global atmosphere, and both 357 chemical production and pharmaceutical industries are large emitters of CS_2 into the atmosphere (Chin and Davis, 358 1993). CS₂ is the main precursor of COS in the atmosphere, and atmospheric CS₂ is oxidized to COS by radicals such 359 as OH with a conversion efficiency of 0.81 (Chin and Davis, 1995). Significant correlation between atmospheric COS 360 and CS₂ in our study demonstrated this.

The 72 h backward trajectory map over station B49 indicated that the high atmospheric DMS concentration was produced by human activities. The highest concentrations of COS and DMS at station B64 in summer may be caused





by anthropogenic emissions in the coastal region. The highest CS_2 concentration in summer at station B49 near industrial cities like Tianjin may be related to the CS_2 generation by industrial activities.

365 4.3 Sea-to-air fluxes of VSCs

- 366 The spatial variability in sea-to-air fluxes were consistent with changes in wind speed, which was because sea-to-air 367 fluxes are dependent on the transmission velocities of VSCs in seawater, which are related to wind speed and viscosity 368 of seawater, i.e., the highest COS sea-to-air flux at station B36 and the lowest three VSCs sea-to-air flux at station 369 H01 in spring (Fig. 7). Besides wind speed, the oceanic VSCs concentrations are related to the sea-to-air fluxes, i.e., 370 highest DMS and CS2 sea-to-air fluxes at stations HS4 and B70 in spring (Fig. 7). Wind speed was the main influencing 371 factor compared with the concentration of VSCs in the seawater. Although the oceanic VSCs concentrations at station 372 H01 were high, the low wind speed reduced the transmission velocity of the VSCs at the sea-to-air interface in this 373 region, resulting in low sea-to-air fluxes.
- 374 In addition, the sea-to-air fluxes of all three VSCs in both spring and summer were positive, indicating that the 375 seawater was a source of COS, DMS, and CS₂ to the atmosphere through sea-to-air diffusion. However, while our 376 findings agreed with Chin and Davis (1993) and Yu et al. (2022) who showed that the ocean was a major atmospheric 377 source of COS, they conflicted with Weiss et al. (1995) and Zhu et al. (2019) who found significant COS 378 undersaturation in some seas. Therefore, the ocean may become a sink of atmospheric COS in some areas or times of 379 year. The results of this study, which covered offshore and inshore areas, showed that most of coastal and estuarine 380 seas were sources of COS in the atmosphere, but open seas can have markedly lower concentrations of COS and may 381 become sinks of COS from the atmosphere under the right conditions. The ocean was the main atmospheric source of 382 DMS and CS₂ due to their low concentrations in atmosphere.

383 5 Conclusions

The distributions of COS, DMS, and CS_2 in the surface seawater and marine atmosphere of the BS and YS during spring and summer exhibited significant spatial and seasonal variability. First, COS, DMS, and CS_2 had higher concentrations in summer than in spring. Second, both COS and CS_2 had higher concentrations in coastal waters than in offshore waters, which may due to higher photochemical reaction rates in nearshore waters compared with the open sea. In summer, areas with similarly high values of COS and CS_2 emerged, indicating that they may have common





- photochemical production mechanisms. The depth distributions of COS, DMS, and CS_2 were characterized by high concentrations in the surface seawater that decreased with depth.
- 391 In addition, the atmospheric VSC concentrations of the BS and YS exhibited obvious seasonal differences, with
- 392 higher concentrations in the summer than in the spring. The VSCs in the atmosphere over the BS and YS had similar
- spatial distributions, with declining trends from inshore to offshore areas, especially for CS₂. This phenomenon
- illustrated the effect of anthropogenic emissions on the atmospheric concentrations of VSCs. Finally, high sea-to-air
- 395 fluxes of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in the BS and YS indicated that marginal seas were major sources of atmospheric VSCs
- 396 and may make considerable contributions to the global sulfur budget.
- 397 Data availability. Data to support this article are available at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14971644.
- 398 Author contributions. All authors were involved in the writing of the paper and approved the final submitted paper.
- 399 YJ and YL were major contributors to the study's conception, data analysis and drafting of the paper. HZ, LJG and
- 400 LQ contributed significantly to writing-original draft. YGP contributed to writing-reviewing, and editing.
- 401 *Competing interests.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
- 402 Acknowledgements. We are grateful to the captain and crew of the R/V "Dong Fang Hong 2" for their help and
- 403 cooperation during the in situ investigation.
- 404 Financial support. This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41976038, 41876122),
- 405 and the National Key Research and Development Program (2016YFA0601301).
- 406 References
- 407 Andreae, M. O.: The ocean as a source of atmospheric sulfur compounds, in: The role of air-sea exchange in 408 geochemical cycling, edited by: Buat-Ménard, P., Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany, 331–362,
- 409 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-4738-2_9, 1986.
- 410 Andreae, M. O., and Crutzen, P. J.: Atmospheric aerosols: biogeochemical sources and role in atmospheric chemistry,
- 411 Science, 276 (5315), 1052–1058, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.276.5315.1052, 1997.
- 412 Aydin, M., Britten, G. L., Montzka, S. A., Buizert, C., Primeau, F. W., Petrenko, V. V., Battle, M. O., Nicewonger,
- 413 M. R., Patterson, J., Hmiel, B., and Saltzman, E. S.: Anthropogenic impacts on atmospheric carbonyl sulfide since
- the 19th century inferred from polar firn air and ice core measurements, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 125(16),





- 415 e2020JD033074, https://doi.org/10.1002/essoar.10503126.1, 2020.
- 416 Brown, A. S., van der Veen, A. M. H., Arrhenius, K., Murugan, A., Culleton, L. P., Ziel, P. R., and Li, J.: Sampling
- 417 of gaseous sulfur-containing compounds at low concentrations with a review of best-practice methods for biogas
- 418 and natural gas applications, Trac-Trends Anal. Chem., 64, 42–52, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2014.08.012, 2015.
- 419 Br ihl, C., Lelieveld, J., Crutzen, P. J., and Tost, H.: The role of carbonyl sulphide as a source of stratospheric sulphate
- 420 aerosol and its impact on climate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(3), 1239–1253, http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-1239-

421 2012, 2012.

- 422 Campbell, J. E., Carmichael, G. R., Chai T., Mena-Carrasco, M., Tang, Y., Blake, D. R., Blake, N. J., Vay, S. A.,
- 423 Collatz, G. J., Baker, I., Berry, J. A., Montzka, S. A., Sweeney, C., Schnoor, J. L., and Stanier, C. O.: Photosynthetic
- 424 control of atmospheric carbonyl sulfide during the growing season, Science, 322, 1085–1088,
- 425 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1164015, 2008.
- 426 Campbell, J. E., Whelan, M. E., Seibt U., Smith S. J., Berry, J. A., and Hilton, T. W.: Atmospheric carbonyl sulfide
- 427 sources from anthropogenic activity: Implications for carbon cycle constraints, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, 3004–3010,
- 428 https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL063445, 2015.
- 429 Charlson, R. J., Lovelock, J. E., Andreae, M. O., and Warren, S. G.: Oceanic phytoplankton, atmospheric sulphur,
- 430 cloud albedo and climate, Nature, 326, 655–661, https://doi.org/10.1038/326655a0, 1987.
- 431 Chen C.-T. A.: Chemical and physical fronts in the Bohai, Yellow and East China seas, J. Mar. Syst., 78(3), 394–410,
- 432 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2008.11.016, 2009.
- 433 Chen, Y., Wang, P., Shi, D., Ji, C.-X., Chen, R., Gao, X.-C., and Yang, G.-P.: Distribution and bioavailability of
- 434 dissolved and particulate organic matter in different water masses of the Southern Yellow Sea and East China Sea,
- 435 J. Marine Syst., 222, 103596, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2021.103596, 2021.
- 436 Chin, M., and Davis, D. D.: Global sources and sinks of OCS and CS₂ and their distributions, Global Biogeochem.
- 437 Cy., 7(2), 321–337, https://doi.org/10.1029/93GB00568, 1993.
- 438 Chin, M., and Davis, D. D.: A reanalysis of carbonyl sulfide as a source of stratospheric background sulfur aerosol, J.
- 439 Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 100(D5), 8993–9005, https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD00275, 1995.





- 440 Chu, C., Erickson, P. R., Lundeen, R. A., Stamatelatos, D., Alaimo, P. J., Latch D. E., and McNeill, K.: Photochemical
- 441 and nonphotochemical transformations of cysteine with dissolved organic matter, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 6363–
- 442 6373, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01291, 2016.
- Cline, J. D., and Bates, T. S.: Dimethyl sulfide in the Equatorial Pacific Ocean: a natural source of sulfur to the
 atmosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 10(10), 949–952, https://doi.org/10.1029/GL010i010p00949, 1983.
- 445 Cooper, D. J., and Saltzman, E. S.: Measurements of atmospheric dimethylsulfide, hydrogen sulfide, and carbon
- 446 disulfide during GTE/CITE 3, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 98(D12), 23397–23409,
 447 https://doi.org/10.1029/92JD00218, 1993.
- Crutzen, P. J.: The possible importance of CSO for the sulfate layer of the stratosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 3(2), 73–
 76, https://doi.org/10.1029/GL003i002p00073, 1976.
- 450 Curson, A. R. J., Liu, J., Bermejo Mart nez, A., Green, R. T., Chan, Y., Carri n, O., Williams, B. T., Zhang, S.-H.,
- 451 Yang, G.-P., Bulman Page, P. C., Zhang, X.-H., and Todd, J. D.: Dimethylsulfoniopropionate biosynthesis in marine
- 452 bacteria and identification of the key gene in this process, Nat. Microbiol., 2: 17009,
- 453 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.9, 2017.
- 454 Du, Q., Mu, Y., Zhang, C., Liu, J., Zhang, Y., and Liu, C.: Photochemical production of carbonyl sulfide, carbon
- 455 disulfide and dimethyl sulfide in а lake water, J. Environ. Sci.. 51. 146-156. 456 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2016.08.006, 2017.
- Ferek, R. J., and Andreae, M. O.: The supersaturation of carbonyl sulfide in surface waters of the Pacific Ocean off
 Peru, Geophys. Res. Lett., 10(5), 393–396, https://doi.org/10.1029/GL010I005P00393, 1983.
- 459 Flöck, O. R., Andreae, M. O., and Dräger, M.: Environmentally relevant precursors of carbonyl sulfide in aquatic
- 460 systems, Mar. Chem., 59(1-2), 71–85, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4203(97)00012-1, 1997.
- 461 Guéguen, C., Guo, L., and Tanaka, N.: Distributions and characteristics of colored dissolved organic matter in the
- 462 Western Arctic Ocean, Cont. Shelf Res., 25, 1195–1207, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2005.01.005, 2005.
- 463 Hattori, S., Kamezaki, K., and Yoshida, N.: Constraining the atmospheric OCS budget from sulfur isotopes, Proc.
- 464 Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 117(34), 20447–20452, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2007260117, 2020.
- 465 Hobe, M. V., Cutter, G. A., Kettle, A. J., and Andreae, M. O.: Dark production: a significant source of oceanic COS,





- 466 J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans., 106(C12), 31217–31226, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JC000567, 2001.
- 467 Inomata, Y., Hayashi, M., Osada, K., and Iwasaka, Y.: Spatial distributions of volatile sulfur compounds in surface
- 468 seawater and overlying atmosphere in the northwestern Pacific Ocean, eastern Indian Ocean, and Southern Ocean,
- 469 Global Biogeochem. Cy., 20(2), GB2022, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002518, 2006.
- 470 Jørgensen, B. B., and Okholm-Hansen, B.: Emissions of biogenic sulfur gases from a Danish estuary, Atmos. Environ.,
- 471 19, 1737–1749, https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(85)90001-0, 1985.
- 472 Keller, M. D., Bellows, W. K., and Guillard, R. R. L.: Dimethyl sulfide production in marine phytoplankton, in:
- 473 Biogenic sulfur in the environment, edited by: Millero, F. J., Hershey, J. P., Saltzman, E. S., and Cooper, W. J.,
- 474 American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 167–182, http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bk-1989-0393.ch011, 1989.
- 475 Kettle, A. J., Kuhn, U., von Hobe, M., Kesselmeier, J., and Andreae, M. O.: Global budget of atmospheric carbonyl
- 476 sulfide: temporal and spatial variations of the dominant sources and sinks, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D22), 4658,
- 477 https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002187, 2002.
- 478 Kettle, A. J., Rhee, T. S., von Hobe, M., Poulton, A., Aiken, J., and Andreae, M. O.: Assessing the flux of different
- volatile sulfur gases from the ocean to the atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106(D11), 12193–12209,
- 480 https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900630, 2001.
- 481 Kim, K.-H., and Andreae, M. O.: Carbon disulfide in estuarine, coastal and oceanic environments, Mar. Chem., 40,
- 482 179–197, https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(92)90022-3, 1992.
- Kurian S, Chndrasekhararao A. V., Vidya P. J., Shenoy D. M., Gauns M., Uskaikar, H., and Aparna, S. G.: Role of
 oceanic fronts in enhancing phytoplankton biomass in the eastern Arabian Sea during an oligotrophic period, Mar.
- to the custom in the manneng phytophankton bronkess in the custom i hadran bed during an ongot opine period, in
- 485 Environ. Res., 160, 105023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.105023, 2020.
- 486 Lennartz, S. T., Marandino, C. A., von Hobe, M., Andreae, M. O., Aranami, K., Atlas, E., Berkelhammer, M.,
- 487 Bingemer, H., Booge, D., Cutter, G., Cortes, P., Kremser, S., Law, C. S., Marriner, A., Sim & R., Quack, B., Uher,
- 488 G., Xie, H., and Xu, X.: Marine carbonyl sulfide (OCS) and carbon disulfide (CS₂): a compilation of measurements
- in seawater and the marine boundary layer, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12, 591–609, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12591-2020, 2020.
- 491 Lennartz, S. T., Marandino, C. A., von Hobe, M., Cortes, P., Quack, B., Simo, R., Booge, D., Pozzer, A., Steinhoff,





- 492 T., Arevalo-Martinez, D. L., Kloss, C., Bracher, A., Rötgers, R., Atlas, E., and Krüger, K.: Direct oceanic emissions
- 493 unlikely to account for the missing source of atmospheric carbonyl sulfide, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 385–402,
- 494 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-385-2017, 2017.
- Li, J.-L., Zhai, X., and Du, L.: Effect of nitrate on the photochemical production of carbonyl sulfide from surface
 seawater, Geophys. Res. Lett., 49, e2021GL097051, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL097051, 2022.
- 497 Liss, P. S., and Slater, P. G.: Flux of gases across the air-sea interface, Nature, 247(5438), 181-184,
- 498 https://doi.org/10.1038/247181a0, 1974.
- 499 Maignan, F., Abadie, C., Remaud, M., Kooijmans, L. M. J., Kohonen, K.-M., Commane, R., Wehr, R., Campbell, J.
- 500 E., Belviso, S., Montzka, S. A., Raoult, N., Seibt, U., Shiga, Y. P., Vuichard, N., Whelan, M. E., and Peylin, P.:
- 501 Carbonyl sulfide: comparing a mechanistic representation of the vegetation uptake in a land surface model and the
- leaf relative uptake approach, Biogeosciences, 18, 2917–2955, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-2917-2021, 2021.
- 503 Modiri Gharehveran, M., Hain E, Blaney L, and Shah, A. D.: Influence of dissolved organic matter on carbonyl sulfide
- and carbon disulfide formation from cysteine during sunlight photolysis, Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts, 22,
- 505 1852–1864, https://doi.org/10.1039/D0EM00219D, 2020.
- 506 Modiri Gharehveran, M., and Shah, A. D.: Influence of dissolved organic matter on carbonyl sulfide and carbon
- disulfide formation from dimethyl sulfide during sunlight photolysis, Water Environ. Res., 93, 2982–2997,
 https://doi.org/10.1002/wer.1650, 2021.
- 509 Naik, B. R., Gauns, M., Bepari, K., Uskaikar, H., and Shenoy, D. M.: Variation in phytoplankton community and its
- 510 implication to dimethylsulphide production at a coastal station off Goa, India, Mar. Environ. Res., 157, 104926,
- 511 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.104926, 2020.
- 512 Nightingale, P. D., Malin, G., Law, C. S., Watson, A. J., Liss, P. S., Liddicoat, M. I., Boutin, J., and Upstill-Goddard,
- 513 R. C.: In situ evaluation of air-sea gas exchange parameterizations using novel conservative and volatile tracers,
- 514 Global Biogeochem. Cy., 14(1), 373–387, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GB900091, 2000.
- 515 O'Brien, J., McParland, E. L., Bramucci, A. R., Ostrowski, M., Siboni, N., Ingleton, T., Brown, M. V., Levine, N. M.,
- 516 Laverock, B., Petrou, K., and Seymour, J.: The microbiological drivers of temporally dynamic
- 517 dimethylsulfoniopropionate cycling processes in Australian coastal shelf waters, Front. Microbiol., 13, 894026,
- 518 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.894026, 2022.





- 519 Parsons, T. R., Maita, Y., and Lalli, C. M.: A manual of chemical and biological methods for seawater analysis, in
- 520 Fluorometric determination of chlorophylls, edited by: Parsons, T. R., Maita, Y., and Lalli, C. M., Great Britain,
- 521 CA: Pergamon Press, 107–109, 1984.
- 522 Remaud, M., Chevallier, F., Maignan, F., Belviso, S., Berchet, A., Parouffe, A., Abadie, C., Bacour, C., Lennartz, S.,
- 523 and Peylin, P.: Plant gross primary production, plant respiration and carbonyl sulfide emissions over the globe
- 524 inferred by atmospheric inverse modelling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22(4), 2525–2552, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-
- 525 22-2525-2022, 2022.
- 526 Sandalls, F. J., and Penkett, S. A.: Measurements of carbonyl sulphide and carbon disulphide in the atmosphere, Atmos.
- 527 Environ., 11(2), 197–199, https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(77)90227-X, 1977.
- Sander, R.: Compilation of Henry's law constants (version 4.0) for water as solvent, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 4399–
 4981, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-4399-2015, 2015.
- 530 Schäfer, H., Myronova, N., and Boden, R.: Microbial degradation of dimethylsulphide and related C1-sulphur
- 531 compounds: organisms and pathways controlling fluxes of sulphur in the biosphere, J. Exp. Bot., 61(2), 315–334,
- 532 https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erp355, 2010.
- 533 Schlitzer, R.: Ocean Data View, odv.awi.de, 2023.
- 534 Sciare, J., Mihalopoulos, N., and Nguyen, B. C.: Spatial and temporal variability of dissolved sulfur compounds in
- 535 European estuaries, Biogeochemistry, 59(1–2), 121–141, http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1015539725017, 2002.
- 536 Simó, R., Grimalt, J. O., and Albaig &, J.: Dissolved dimethylsulphide, dimethylsulphoniopropionate and
- 537 dimethylsulphoxide in western Mediterranean waters, Deep-Sea Res. Pt II, 44(3-4), 929–950,
- 538 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(96)00099-9, 1997.
- 539 Staubes, R., and Georgii, H.-W.: Biogenic sulfur compounds in seawater and the atmosphere of the Antarctic region,
- 540 Tellus B, 45(2), 127–137, https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v45i2.15587, 1993.
- 541 Uher, G., and Andreae, M. O.: Photochemical production of carbonyl sulfide in North Sea water: a process study,
 542 Limnol. Oceanogr., 42(3), 432–442, https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1997.42.3.0432, 1997.
- 543 Ulshöfer, V. S., Flöck, O. R., Uher, G., and Andreae, M. O.: Photochemical production and air-sea exchange of
- 544 carbonyl sulfide in the eastern Mediterranean Sea, Mar. Chem., 53(53), 25–39, https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-





- 545 4203(96)00010-2, 1996.
- 546 Ulshöfer, V. S., Uher, G., and Andreae, M. O.: Evidence for a winter sink of atmospheric carbonyl sulfide in the
- 547 northeast Atlantic Ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett., 22(19), 2601–2604, https://doi.org/10.1029/95GL02656, 1995.
- 548 Wakeham, S. G., Howes, B. L., Dacey, J. W. H., Schwarzenbach, R. P., and Zeyer, J.: Biogeochemistry of
- 549 dimethylsulfide in a seasonally stratified coastal salt pond, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta., 51(6), 1675–1684,
- 550 https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(87)90347-4, 1987.
- 551 Wang, J., Chu, Y.-X., Tian, G., and He, R.: Estimation of sulfur fate and contribution to VSC emissions from lakes
- during algae decay, Sci. Total Environ., 856, 159193, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159193, 2023.
- 553 Weiss, P. S., Johnson, J. E., Gammon, R. H., and Bates, T. S.: Reevaluation of the open ocean source of carbonyl
- sulfide to the atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 100(D11), 23083–23092, https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD01926,
 1995.
- 556 Whelan, M. E., Lennartz, S. T., Gimeno, T. E., Wehr, R., Wohlfahrt, G., Wang, Y., Kooijmans, L. M. J., Hilton, T.
- 557 W., Belviso, S., Peylin, P., Commane, R., Sun, W., Chen, H., Kuai, L., Mammarella, I., Maseyk, K., Berkelhammer,
- 558 M., Li, K.-F., Yakir, D., Zumkehr, A., Katayama, Y., Og ée, J., Spielmann, F. M., Kitz, F., Rastogi, B., Kesselmeier,
- J., Marshall, J., Erkkilä, K.-M., Wingate, L., Meredith, L. K., He, W., Bunk, R., Launois, T., Vesala, T., Schmidt,
- 560 J. A., Fichot, C. G., Seibt, U., Saleska, S., Saltzman, E. S., Montzka, S. A., Berry, J. A., and Campbell, J. E.:
- 561 Reviews and syntheses: carbonyl sulfide as a multi-scale tracer for carbon and water cycles, Biogeosciences, 15,
- 562 3625–3657, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-3625-2018, 2018.
- Xie, H., Moore, R. M., and Miller, W. L.: Photochemical production of carbon disulphide in seawater, J. Geophys.
 Res.-Oceans, 103(C3), 5635–5644, https://doi.org/10.1029/97JC02885, 1998.
- 565 Xu, X., Bingemer, H. G., Georgii, H.-W., Schmidt, U., and Bartell, U.: Measurements of carbonyl sulfide (COS) in
- 566 surface seawater and marine air, and estimates of the air-sea flux from observations during two Atlantic cruises, J.
- 567 Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106(D4), 3491–3502, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900571, 2001.
- 568 Yang, G.-P., Jing, W.-W., Kang, Z.-Q., Zhang, H.-H., and Song, G.-S.: Spatial variations of dimethylsulfide and
- 569 dimethylsulfoniopropionate in the surface microlayer and in the subsurface waters of the South China Sea during
- 570 springtime, Mar. Environ. Res., 65, 85–97, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2007.09.002, 2008.
- 571 Yang, G.-P., Song, Y.-Z., Zhang, H.-H., Li, C.-X., and Wu, G.-W.: Seasonal variation and biogeochemical cycling of





- 572 dimethylsulfide (DMS) and dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) in the Yellow Sea and Bohai Sea, J. Geophys.
- 573 Res.-Oceans, 119(12), 8897–8915, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JC010373, 2014.
- 574 Yang, G.-P., Zhang, S.-H., Zhang, H.-H., Yang, J., and Liu, C.-Y.: Distribution of biogenic sulfur in the Bohai Sea
- and northern Yellow Sea and its contribution to atmospheric sulfate aerosol in the late fall, Mar. Chem., 169, 23–
- 576 32, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2014.12.008, 2015.
- 577 Yu, J., Sun, M.-X., and Yang, G.-P.: Occurrence and emissions of volatile sulfur compounds in the Changjiang estuary
- and the adjacent East China Sea, Mar. Chem., 238, 104062, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2021.104062, 2022.
- Zepp, R. G., and Andreae, M. O.: Factors affecting the photochemical production of carbonyl sulfide in seawater,
 Geophys. Res. Lett., 21(25), 2813–2816, https://doi.org/10.1029/94GL03083, 1994.
- $360 \qquad \text{Geophys. Res. Lett., 21(23), 2815-2816, https://doi.org/10.1029/94GL03083, 1994.}$
- 581 Zhang, S.-H., Yang, G.-P., Zhang, H.-H., and Yang, J.: Spatial variation of biogenic sulfur in the south Yellow Sea
- and the East China Sea during summer and its contribution to atmospheric sulfate aerosol, Sci. Total Environ., 488489, 157–167, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.04.074, 2014.
- 584 Zhao, Y., Schlundt, C., Booge, D., and Bange, H. W.: A decade of dimethyl sulfide (DMS),
- 585 dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) measurements in the southwestern Baltic Sea,
- 586 Biogeosciences, 18, 2161–2179, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-2161-2021, 2021.
- 587 Zhu, R., Yang, G.-P., and Zhang, H.-H.: Temporal and spatial distributions of carbonyl sulfide, dimethyl sulfide, and
- carbon disulfide in seawater and marine atmosphere of the Changjiang Estuary and its adjacent East China Sea,
 Limnol. Oceanogr., 64, 632–649, https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11065, 2019.
- 590 Zhu, R., Zhang, H.-H., and Yang, G.-P.: Determination of volatile sulfur compounds in seawater and atmosphere,
- 591 Chin. J. Anal. Chem., 45(10), 1504–1510, (in Chinese with English abstract), https://doi.org/10.11895/j.issn.0253592 3820.170291, 2017.
- 593 Zumkehr, A., Hilton, T. W., Whelan, M., Smith, S., Kuai, L., Worden, J., and Campbell, J. E.: Global gridded
- anthropogenic emissions inventory of carbonyl sulfide, Atmos. Environ., 183, 11–19,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.03.063, 2018.





597 Figure captions

- 598 Fig. 1. Sampling stations in the Yellow Sea and Bohai Sea during (a) spring and (b) summer (▲ indicates stations
- 599 where atmospheric samples were collected). Yellow Sea Costal Current: YSCC; Changjiang Diluted Water: CDW;
- 600 Yellow Sea Cold Water Mass: YSCWM; Yellow Sea Warm Current: YSWC; Taiwan Warm Current: TWC; Kuroshio
- 601 Current: KC. The maps were plotted with Ocean Data View (ODV software) (Schlitzer, 2023).
- 602 Fig. 2. Spatial distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl a, COS, DMS, CS₂, and DOC in the surface water of the BS
- 603 and YS in spring.
- 604 Fig. 3. Spatial distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl a, COS, DMS, CS₂, and DOC in the surface water of the BS
- 605 and YS in summer.
- **Fig. 4.** Depth distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl *a*, COS, DMS, and CS₂ in seawater in spring.
- 607 **Fig. 5.** Depth distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl *a*, COS, DMS, and CS₂ in seawater in summer.
- **Fig. 6.** Spatial distributions of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in the atmosphere over the BS and YS in (a) spring and (b) summer.
- 609 Fig. 7. Variations of sea-to-air fluxes of VSCs, VSCs concentrations in seawater, and wind speeds in the BS and YS
- 610 in spring 2018.
- 611 Fig. 8. Variations of sea-to-air fluxes of VSCs, VSCs concentrations in seawater, and wind speeds in the BS and YS
- 612 in summer 2018.





613



Fig. 1. Sampling stations in the Yellow Sea and Bohai Sea during (a) spring and (b) summer (▲ indicates stations
where atmospheric samples were collected). Yellow Sea Costal Current: YSCC; Changjiang Diluted Water: CDW;
Yellow Sea Cold Water Mass: YSCWM; Yellow Sea Warm Current: YSWC; Taiwan Warm Current: TWC;
Kuroshio Current: KC. The maps were plotted with Ocean Data View (ODV software) (Schlitzer, 2023).











620 Fig. 2. Spatial distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl a, COS, DMS, CS₂, and DOC in the surface water of the BS

621

and YS in spring.











623 Fig. 3. Spatial distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl a, COS, DMS, CS₂, and DOC in the surface water of the BS

624

and YS in summer.







Fig. 4. Depth distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl a, COS, DMS, and CS₂ in seawater in spring.









Fig. 5. Depth distributions of temperature, salinity, Chl a, COS, DMS, and CS₂ in seawater in summer.







631



Fig. 6. Spatial distributions of COS, DMS, and CS₂ in the atmosphere over the BS and YS in (a) spring and (b) summer.







634









636

637 Fig. 7. Variations of sea-to-air fluxes of VSCs, VSCs concentrations in seawater, and wind speeds in the BS and YS

in spring 2018.







639









641

642 Fig. 8. Variations of sea-to-air fluxes of VSCs, VSCs concentrations in seawater, and wind speeds in the BS and YS

643

in summer 2018.